



## Public Action Team Implementation Proposal

Action Team: <b>Science/Research</b>	DNR Research and Technical Publications <b>H.2.</b>
<input type="checkbox"/> Draft <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Submitted	Deer Trustee Report Page 76

This document shows the original Deer Trustee Report recommendation that the Public Action Team considered when developing proposals for implementing the recommendation in Wisconsin. The Public Action Team's implementation proposal is presented then followed by additional background information.

### **I. ORIGINAL DEER TRUSTEE REPORT RECOMMENDATION**

**H.2. A significant effort should be developed in Human Dimensions research. Wisconsin is blessed with two excellent researchers (Holsman at UW-SP and Petchenik in house), and a plan for long-term monitoring of trends and issues should be developed between them. This does not exclude other scientists at the various campuses, however.**

### **II. PUBLIC ACTION TEAM PROPOSAL FOR IMPLEMENTING THE ABOVE RECOMMENDATION**

Below is the Public Action Team implementation proposal along with their rationale and supporting evidence, potential implementation obstacles and consideration of the proposal's potential impact on the overall deer management in Wisconsin.

#### 1. Action Team Implementation Proposal:

- Agree that this recommendation is important and endorse the need for the development of human dimensions research.
- Develop metrics for human-dimensions using long-term monitoring, potentially including such topics:
  - Deer hunter retention and recruitment monitoring or tracking.
  - Evaluation of preferences regarding trade-offs between seeing more deer, harvesting more deer and trophy deer opportunities.
  - How much public land and MFL land would we need to increase hunter satisfaction?
  - Baiting and feeding.
  - Better ways of using public lands and MFL land in a manner that reduces hunter conflict and increase hunter satisfaction.
  - Perceptions of citizens regarding the deer population, deer impacts, predator populations, and the relationships to their expected future resource use.

#### 2. Supporting data, references, rationale and other information to help others understand the proposed idea and perspective behind it.

- No comment submitted by Team

#### 3. Consider and describe potential implementation obstacles or drawbacks to your proposal and possible remedies to address these during implementation.

- Attraction of not doing rigorous work in favor of less expensive methods (e.g., self-selection surveys vs. proper sampling methods).
- Needs adequate staffing and funding.
- Lack of long-term perspective by policy makers



2013 Deer Trustee Report  
Public Action Team Implementation Proposal

4. Overall, consider and describe how the proposal might simplify or complicate deer hunting, management or scientific research in Wisconsin?

- No comments submitted by the Team