

What are the next steps?

Following the conclusion of the public review period for the draft master plan and environmental impact statement (EIS), the Department will evaluate all the comments received and summarize them in a document that will be posted on the DNR's web site. In addition, all responses, letters, emails, and other communications received will be posted in their entirety on the web site.

As with most draft master plans, the Department expects to receive a range of comments and suggested changes. Some people may note errors and mistakes, while others may seek clarifying explanations or revisions to confusing sections. Others may request changes to the substance of the draft plan – for example, different locations for facilities, expanded or reduced opportunities for particular recreation activities, or different habitat outcomes or management strategies. Department staff will carefully review these suggestions and requests and will revise the document as needed.

An accompanying document to the revised draft master plan and EIS will summarize the changes that were made based on the public comments. If requested changes are not included in the revised version of the draft master plan and EIS, the accompanying document will provide an explanation for why the proposed modifications were not incorporated.

If the public comments received on the draft document lead the Department to significantly change the proposed master plan or assessment of impacts, the Department may conclude that this revised document should again be presented to the public for a second review. However, if the modifications to the draft master plan and EIS are not substantial, then the revised document (along with the summary of comments received and the explanation of modifications to the document) will be forwarded to the Natural Resources Board for their consideration. The public can provide additional comments directly to the Board as they review the draft plan.

How to participate in the final phase of the development of the Sauk Prairie Recreation Area master plan:

- Review the draft Master Plan and Environmental Impact Statement.

An on-line version is available at the DNR's web site (dnr.wi.gov), search for keyword: *Sauk Prairie Recreation Area*. Printed copies are available at the Sauk City, Prairie du Sac, and Baraboo libraries.

- Attend the public hearing.

A hearing for the Department to receive comments from the public on the draft master plan will be held **September 10, 2015 from 5:00 to 8:00 p.m. at the River Arts Center (105 Ninth Street, Prairie du Sac)**. Materials describing the proposed master plan will be available for review starting at 4:00 p.m. In addition, DNR staff will give a short overview of the proposed master plan at 5:00 p.m.

- Submit comments by **September 25, 2015**.

ON-LINE SURVEY (same as this survey):

dnr.wi.gov and search for "Sauk Prairie Recreation Area"

MAILING ADDRESS:

John Pohlman – LF/6
Wisconsin DNR
P.O. Box 7921
Madison, WI 53707-7921

EMAIL:

DNRsaukprairie@wisconsin.gov

Thank you for your interest in Sauk Prairie Recreation Area.

PUBLIC INPUT on the draft master plan and environmental impact statement for:

SAUK PRAIRIE RECREATION AREA

This document is designed to gather public feedback and comments on the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources' draft Sauk Prairie Recreation Area (SPRA) master plan and environmental impact statement, which can be found at the DNR web site: dnr.wi.gov and search for "Sauk Prairie Recreation Area."

FEEDBACK ON SPECIFIC PARTS OF THE DRAFT MASTER PLAN.

RESTORATION (see the summary or pages 17-29 of the draft master plan for more information).

- Regarding the proposed mix of recreation activities, I think:
 - The mix of recreation activities proposed is appropriate.
 - The following recreation activities should be added: _____
 - The following recreation activities should be removed: Model rocket launch site, ATU's, shooting range, dual-sport motorcycles, snowmobiles
- Regarding the proposed amount of opportunities for different recreation activities, I think:
 - The amount of recreation opportunities proposed is about right.
 - There should be more opportunities for these activities: _____
 - There should be fewer opportunities for these activities: _____
- What other comments do you have regarding the proposed recreation activities? How could the proposed recreational experiences be improved?

By removing the recreation activities listed above.

HABITAT MANAGEMENT (see the summary or pages 30-45 of the draft master plan for more information).

- Regarding the mix of habitats proposed to be restored and managed, I think:
 - The mix of habitats proposed to be restored and managed is appropriate.
 - More emphasis should be placed on: _____
 - Less emphasis should be placed on: _____
- Regarding the mix of management techniques proposed to be used, I think:
 - The mix of management techniques proposed is appropriate.
 - More emphasis should be placed on: _____
 - Less emphasis should be placed on: _____
 - These management techniques should not be used: _____
- What other comments do you have regarding the proposed restoration and management of habitats? What are your thoughts regarding the proposed habitat management techniques?

[Signature]

CULTURAL AND HISTORIC RESOURCES

(see the summary or pages 80-83 of the draft master plan for information).

7. Regarding the cultural and historic interpretive opportunities proposed, I think:
- The mix of proposed cultural and historic interpretive opportunities is appropriate.
 - More emphasis should be placed on: _____
 - Less emphasis should be placed on: _____
8. What other comments do you have regarding the proposed cultural and historic interpretive opportunities? What do you think visitors will be most interested in learning about the property?
- _____
- _____

POTENTIAL OUTCOMES

(see the summary or pages 113-127 of the draft master plan for more information).

9. Environmental, ecological, and economic benefits may occur if the proposed master plan is implemented (e.g., larger populations of rare grassland and savanna birds, more recreational opportunities for nearby residents and visitors, and increased economic activity in the region). In addition, some adverse outcomes may result (e.g., the cost to restore habitats and construct recreation facilities, smoke from the use of prescribed fire to control invasive species, and increased traffic on local roads from visitors).
- What are your thoughts on the description of potential effects that may result if the master plan is implemented? Are there potential impacts that are not adequately explained? If so, how should they be described?
- _____
- _____

ALTERNATIVES

(see the summary or pages 129-138 of the draft master plan for more information).

10. Different options to achieve the habitat and recreation goals for the property were evaluated. What are your thoughts on the alternatives evaluated but not included in the final draft? Are there alternatives not selected that should be included in the proposed management plan? If so, which ones and why?
- _____
- _____

FEEDBACK ON THE OVERALL DRAFT MASTER PLAN.

11. In developing the draft master plan, the DNR sought to balance recreation experiences (including education and interpretation of the property) and the conservation of native habitats at Sauk Prairie Recreation Area. How do you view the balance between recreation and conservation in the proposed master plan?
- Far too much emphasis on recreation
 - Somewhat too much emphasis on recreation
 - About the right balance between conservation and recreation
 - Somewhat too much emphasis on conservation
 - Far too much emphasis on conservation
12. What are your overall thoughts on the draft master plan?
- Adding non-motor pathways would be good.
13. There are many aspects to this proposed master plan. Although the Department attempted to describe the proposed recreational use and habitat management clearly, there may be portions that are confusing or unclear. What parts of the master plan are confusing, missing, or need further explanation? What questions do you have?
- _____
- _____

VISITING SAUK PRAIRIE RECREATION AREA.

Although SPRA opened to the public in April 2015, the property will be in a transition phase for many years to come. Other than trails on some of the former roads, currently there are no amenities for visitors. The Department will initially focus its limited staff and funds on continuing to clean up rubble and debris, filling the worst of the potholes, placing boundary signs, and conducting other tasks to ensure that visitors have a safe experience.

14. Do you anticipate visiting the Sauk Prairie Recreation Area over the next 2 or 3 years?
- Yes
 - No
 - Don't know
15. If "Yes" in which of the following recreation activities are you likely to participate? (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)
- | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Hiking or walking | <input type="checkbox"/> Photography |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Recreational biking | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General sightseeing |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Horseback riding | <input type="checkbox"/> Automobile driving |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Hunting | <input type="checkbox"/> Cross country skiing or snowshoeing |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Deer | <input type="checkbox"/> Snowmobiling |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Pheasant | <input type="checkbox"/> Dual-sport motorcycle riding |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Turkey | <input type="checkbox"/> Rocketry |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Small game | <input type="checkbox"/> "Fat tire" bike riding |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> Running or conditioning |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Trapping | <input type="checkbox"/> Geocaching |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Bird and other wildlife watching | <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ |
16. If "No" which of the following might be reasons for not visiting? (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)
- | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> No interest | <input type="checkbox"/> I am concerned about safety |
| <input type="checkbox"/> I intend to wait until more recreation opportunities are available | <input type="checkbox"/> I don't live in the area |
| <input type="checkbox"/> I don't participate in the activities that are proposed here | <input type="checkbox"/> Don't know |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ |
17. What recreation opportunities should the DNR and partners focus on providing in the next 3 years?
- _____
- _____

FINAL THOUGHTS AND IDEAS.

18. What additional thoughts or comments would you like to share?
- _____
- _____
- _____

Zip code of primary residence: 53959 Name (Optional*): _____

* The Department will scan all responses, in their entirety (including names), and post on its web site following the end of the public comment period so that everyone can read all of the comments received.
Thank you!

What are the next steps?

Following the conclusion of the public review period for the draft master plan and environmental impact statement (EIS), the Department will evaluate all the comments received and summarize them in a document that will be posted on the DNR's web site. In addition, all responses, letters, emails, and other communications received will be posted in their entirety on the web site.

As with most draft master plans, the Department expects to receive a range of comments and suggested changes. Some people may note errors and mistakes, while others may seek clarifying explanations or revisions to confusing sections. Others may request changes to the substance of the draft plan – for example, different locations for facilities, expanded or reduced opportunities for particular recreation activities, or different habitat outcomes or management strategies. Department staff will carefully review these suggestions and requests and will revise the document as needed.

An accompanying document to the revised draft master plan and EIS will summarize the changes that were made based on the public comments. If requested changes are not included in the revised version of the draft master plan and EIS, the accompanying document will provide an explanation for why the proposed modifications were not incorporated.

If the public comments received on the draft document lead the Department to significantly change the proposed master plan or assessment of impacts, the Department may conclude that this revised document should again be presented to the public for a second review. However, if the modifications to the draft master plan and EIS are not substantial, then the revised document (along with the summary of comments received and the explanation of modifications to the document) will be forwarded to the Natural Resources Board for their consideration. The public can provide additional comments directly to the Board as they review the draft plan.

How to participate in the final phase of the development of the Sauk Prairie Recreation Area master plan:

- Review the draft Master Plan and Environmental Impact Statement.

An on-line version is available at the DNR's web site (dnr.wi.gov), search for keyword: *Sauk Prairie Recreation Area*. Printed copies are available at the Sauk City, Prairie du Sac, and Baraboo libraries.

- Attend the public hearing.

A hearing for the Department to receive comments from the public on the draft master plan will be held **September 10, 2015 from 5:00 to 8:00 p.m. at the River Arts Center (105 Ninth Street, Prairie du Sac)**. Materials describing the proposed master plan will be available for review starting at 4:00 p.m. In addition, DNR staff will give a short overview of the proposed master plan at 5:00 p.m.

- Submit comments by **September 25, 2015**.

ON-LINE SURVEY (same as this survey):

dnr.wi.gov and search for "Sauk Prairie Recreation Area"

MAILING ADDRESS:

John Pohlman – LF/6
Wisconsin DNR
P.O. Box 7921
Madison, WI 53707-7921

EMAIL:

DNRsaukprairie@wisconsin.gov

Thank you for your interest in Sauk Prairie Recreation Area.

PUBLIC INPUT on the draft master plan and environmental impact statement for:

SAUK PRAIRIE RECREATION AREA

This document is designed to gather public feedback and comments on the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources' draft Sauk Prairie Recreation Area (SPRA) master plan and environmental impact statement, which can be found at the DNR web site: dnr.wi.gov and search for "Sauk Prairie Recreation Area."

FEEDBACK ON SPECIFIC PARTS OF THE DRAFT MASTER PLAN.

RECREATION

(see the summary or pages 17-29 of the draft master plan for more information).

1. Regarding the proposed mix of recreation activities, I think:
 - The mix of recreation activities proposed is appropriate.
 - The following recreation activities should be added: _____
 - The following recreation activities should be removed: _____
2. Regarding the proposed amount of opportunities for different recreation activities, I think:
 - The amount of recreation opportunities proposed is about right.
 - There should be more opportunities for these activities: a longer equestrian trail would
 - There should be fewer opportunities for these activities: make this park a destination
3. What other comments do you have regarding the proposed recreation activities? How could the proposed recreational experiences be improved?

Combine/mult use horse trails with other users - snowmobile
outer loop as horse trail would be great!
it would be great if we could role to overlook or points of interest

HABITAT MANAGEMENT

(see the summary or pages 30-45 of the draft master plan for more information).

4. Regarding the mix of habitats proposed to be restored and managed, I think:
 - The mix of habitats proposed to be restored and managed is appropriate.
 - More emphasis should be placed on: _____
 - Less emphasis should be placed on: _____
5. Regarding the mix of management techniques proposed to be used, I think:
 - The mix of management techniques proposed is appropriate.
 - More emphasis should be placed on: _____
 - Less emphasis should be placed on: _____
 - These management techniques should not be used: _____
6. What other comments do you have regarding the proposed restoration and management of habitats? What are your thoughts regarding the proposed habitat management techniques?

VISITING SAUK PRAIRIE RECREATION AREA.

Although SPRA opened to the public in April 2015, the property will be in a transition phase for many years to come. Other than trails on some of the former roads, currently there are no amenities for visitors. The Department will initially focus its limited staff and funds on continuing to clean up rubble and debris, filling the worst of the potholes, placing boundary signs, and conducting other tasks to ensure that visitors have a safe experience.

14. Do you anticipate visiting the Sauk Prairie Recreation Area over the next 2 or 3 years?

- Yes
 No
 Don't know

15. If "Yes" in which of the following recreation activities are you likely to participate? (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)

- | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Hiking or walking | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Photography |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Recreational biking | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General sightseeing |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Horseback riding | <input type="checkbox"/> Automobile driving |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Hunting | <input type="checkbox"/> Cross country skiing or snowshoeing |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Deer | <input type="checkbox"/> Snowmobiling |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Pheasant | <input type="checkbox"/> Dual-sport motorcycle riding |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Turkey | <input type="checkbox"/> Rocketry |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Small game | <input type="checkbox"/> "Fat tire" bike riding |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> Running or conditioning |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Trapping | <input type="checkbox"/> Geocaching |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Bird and other wildlife watching | <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ |

16. If "No" which of the following might be reasons for not visiting? (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)

- | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> No interest | <input type="checkbox"/> I am concerned about safety |
| <input type="checkbox"/> I intend to wait until more recreation opportunities are available | <input type="checkbox"/> I don't live in the area |
| <input type="checkbox"/> I don't participate in the activities that are proposed here | <input type="checkbox"/> Don't know |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ |

17. What recreation opportunities should the DNR and partners focus on providing in the next 3 years?

primitive horse trails would be a great start

FINAL THOUGHTS AND IDEAS.

18. What additional thoughts or comments would you like to share?

Zip code of primary residence: 53538 Name (Optional*): Kricket Jewett

* The Department will scan all responses, in their entirety (including names), and post on its web site following the end of the public comment period so that everyone can read all of the comments received.

Thank you!

Recreation-

- moving the rocketry to a location not near the horse trails would be appreciated
the over head noise from the rockets could cause problems with horses
- Please consider locating the parking lot so that it could be expanded
only space for 10 trailers could very well become too small for the use.
 - Please reference the UW Madison Study on horse manure study - Horses do NOT spread invasive plants

What are the next steps?

Following the conclusion of the public review period for the draft master plan and environmental impact statement (EIS), the Department will evaluate all the comments received and summarize them in a document that will be posted on the DNR's web site. In addition, all responses, letters, emails, and other communications received will be posted in their entirety on the web site.

As with most draft master plans, the Department expects to receive a range of comments and suggested changes. Some people may note errors and mistakes, while others may seek clarifying explanations or revisions to confusing sections. Others may request changes to the substance of the draft plan – for example, different locations for facilities, expanded or reduced opportunities for particular recreation activities, or different habitat outcomes or management strategies. Department staff will carefully review these suggestions and requests and will revise the document as needed.

An accompanying document to the revised draft master plan and EIS will summarize the changes that were made based on the public comments. If requested changes are not included in the revised version of the draft master plan and EIS, the accompanying document will provide an explanation for why the proposed modifications were not incorporated.

If the public comments received on the draft document lead the Department to significantly change the proposed master plan or assessment of impacts, the Department may conclude that this revised document should again be presented to the public for a second review. However, if the modifications to the draft master plan and EIS are not substantial, then the revised document (along with the summary of comments received and the explanation of modifications to the document) will be forwarded to the Natural Resources Board for their consideration. The public can provide additional comments directly to the Board as they review the draft plan.

How to participate in the final phase of the development of the Sauk Prairie Recreation Area master plan:

- Review the draft Master Plan and Environmental Impact Statement.

An on-line version is available at the DNR's web site (dnr.wi.gov), search for keyword: *Sauk Prairie Recreation Area*. Printed copies are available at the Sauk City, Prairie du Sac, and Baraboo libraries.

- Attend the public hearing.

A hearing for the Department to receive comments from the public on the draft master plan will be held **September 10, 2015 from 5:00 to 8:00 p.m. at the River Arts Center (105 Ninth Street, Prairie du Sac)**. Materials describing the proposed master plan will be available for review starting at 4:00 p.m. In addition, DNR staff will give a short overview of the proposed master plan at 5:00 p.m.

- Submit comments by September 25, 2015.

ON-LINE SURVEY (same as this survey):

dnr.wi.gov and search for "Sauk Prairie Recreation Area"

MAILING ADDRESS:

John Pohlman – LF/6
Wisconsin DNR
P.O. Box 7921
Madison, WI 53707-7921

EMAIL:

DNRsaukprairie@wisconsin.gov

Thank you for your interest in Sauk Prairie Recreation Area.

PUBLIC INPUT on the draft master plan and environmental impact statement for:

SAUK PRAIRIE RECREATION AREA

This document is designed to gather public feedback and comments on the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources' draft Sauk Prairie Recreation Area (SPRA) master plan and environmental impact statement, which can be found at the DNR web site: dnr.wi.gov and search for "Sauk Prairie Recreation Area."

FEEDBACK ON SPECIFIC PARTS OF THE DRAFT MASTER PLAN.

RECREATION

(see the summary or pages 17-29 of the draft master plan for more information).

1. Regarding the proposed mix of recreation activities, I think:

The mix of recreation activities proposed is appropriate.

The following recreation activities should be added: _____

The following recreation activities should be removed: _____

2. Regarding the proposed amount of opportunities for different recreation activities, I think:

The amount of recreation opportunities proposed is about right.

There should be more opportunities for these activities: _____

There should be fewer opportunities for these activities: _____

3. What other comments do you have regarding the proposed recreation activities? How could the proposed recreational experiences be improved?

I support snowmobiling and hunting.

HABITAT MANAGEMENT

(see the summary or pages 30-45 of the draft master plan for more information).

4. Regarding the mix of habitats proposed to be restored and managed, I think:

The mix of habitats proposed to be restored and managed is appropriate.

More emphasis should be placed on: _____

Less emphasis should be placed on: _____

5. Regarding the mix of management techniques proposed to be used, I think:

The mix of management techniques proposed is appropriate.

More emphasis should be placed on: _____

Less emphasis should be placed on: _____

These management techniques should not be used: _____

6. What other comments do you have regarding the proposed restoration and management of habitats? What are your thoughts regarding the proposed habitat management techniques?

CULTURAL AND HISTORIC RESOURCES

(see the summary or pages 80-83 of the draft master plan for information).

7. Regarding the cultural and historic interpretive opportunities proposed, I think:

- The mix of proposed cultural and historic interpretive opportunities is appropriate.
- More emphasis should be placed on: _____
- Less emphasis should be placed on: _____

8. What other comments do you have regarding the proposed cultural and historic interpretive opportunities? What do you think visitors will be most interested in learning about the property?

POTENTIAL OUTCOMES

(see the summary or pages 113-127 of the draft master plan for more information).

9. Environmental, ecological, and economic benefits may occur if the proposed master plan is implemented (e.g., larger populations of rare grassland and savanna birds, more recreational opportunities for nearby residents and visitors, and increased economic activity in the region). In addition, some adverse outcomes may result (e.g., the cost to restore habitats and construct recreation facilities, smoke from the use of prescribed fire to control invasive species, and increased traffic on local roads from visitors).

What are your thoughts on the description of potential effects that may result if the master plan is implemented? Are there potential impacts that are not adequately explained? If so, how should they be described?

ALTERNATIVES

(see the summary or pages 129-138 of the draft master plan for more information).

10. Different options to achieve the habitat and recreation goals for the property were evaluated. What are your thoughts on the alternatives evaluated but not included in the final draft? Are there alternatives not selected that should be included in the proposed management plan? If so, which ones and why?

FEEDBACK ON THE OVERALL DRAFT MASTER PLAN.

11. In developing the draft master plan, the DNR sought to balance recreation experiences (including education and interpretation of the property) and the conservation of native habitats at Sauk Prairie Recreation Area. How do you view the balance between recreation and conservation in the proposed master plan?
- Far too much emphasis on recreation
 - Somewhat too much emphasis on recreation
 - About the right balance between conservation and recreation
 - Somewhat too much emphasis on conservation
 - Far too much emphasis on conservation

12. What are your overall thoughts on the draft master plan?

13. There are many aspects to this proposed master plan. Although the Department attempted to describe the proposed recreational use and habitat management clearly, there may be portions that are confusing or unclear. What parts of the master plan are confusing, missing, or need further explanation? What questions do you have?

VISITING SAUK PRAIRIE RECREATION AREA.

Although SPRA opened to the public in April 2015, the property will be in a transition phase for many years to come. Other than trails on some of the former roads, currently there are no amenities for visitors. The Department will initially focus its limited staff and funds on continuing to clean up rubble and debris, filling the worst of the potholes, placing boundary signs, and conducting other tasks to ensure that visitors have a safe experience.

14. Do you anticipate visiting the Sauk Prairie Recreation Area over the next 2 or 3 years?

- Yes
- No
- Don't know

15. If "Yes" in which of the following recreation activities are you likely to participate? (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)

- | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Hiking or walking | <input type="checkbox"/> Photography |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Recreational biking | <input type="checkbox"/> General sightseeing |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Horseback riding | <input type="checkbox"/> Automobile driving |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Hunting | <input type="checkbox"/> Cross country skiing or snowshoeing |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Deer | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Snowmobiling |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pheasant | <input type="checkbox"/> Dual-sport motorcycle riding |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Turkey | <input type="checkbox"/> Rocketry |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Small game | <input type="checkbox"/> "Fat tire" bike riding |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> Running or conditioning |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Trapping | <input type="checkbox"/> Geocaching |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Bird and other wildlife watching | <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ |

16. If "No" which of the following might be reasons for not visiting? (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)

- | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> No interest | <input type="checkbox"/> I am concerned about safety |
| <input type="checkbox"/> I intend to wait until more recreation opportunities are available | <input type="checkbox"/> I don't live in the area |
| <input type="checkbox"/> I don't participate in the activities that are proposed here | <input type="checkbox"/> Don't know |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ |

17. What recreation opportunities should the DNR and partners focus on providing in the next 3 years?

FINAL THOUGHTS AND IDEAS.

18. What additional thoughts or comments would you like to share?

Zip code of primary residence: _____ Name (Optional*): _____

* The Department will scan all responses, in their entirety (including names), and post on its web site following the end of the public comment period so that everyone can read all of the comments received.
Thank you!

What are the next steps?

Following the conclusion of the public review period for the draft master plan and environmental impact statement (EIS), the Department will evaluate all the comments received and summarize them in a document that will be posted on the DNR's web site. In addition, all responses, letters, emails, and other communications received will be posted in their entirety on the web site.

As with most draft master plans, the Department expects to receive a range of comments and suggested changes. Some people may note errors and mistakes, while others may seek clarifying explanations or revisions to confusing sections. Others may request changes to the substance of the draft plan – for example, different locations for facilities, expanded or reduced opportunities for particular recreation activities, or different habitat outcomes or management strategies. Department staff will carefully review these suggestions and requests and will revise the document as needed.

An accompanying document to the revised draft master plan and EIS will summarize the changes that were made based on the public comments. If requested changes are not included in the revised version of the draft master plan and EIS, the accompanying document will provide an explanation for why the proposed modifications were not incorporated.

If the public comments received on the draft document lead the Department to significantly change the proposed master plan or assessment of impacts, the Department may conclude that this revised document should again be presented to the public for a second review. However, if the modifications to the draft master plan and EIS are not substantial, then the revised document (along with the summary of comments received and the explanation of modifications to the document) will be forwarded to the Natural Resources Board for their consideration. The public can provide additional comments directly to the Board as they review the draft plan.

How to participate in the final phase of the development of the Sauk Prairie Recreation Area master plan:

- Review the draft Master Plan and Environmental Impact Statement.

An on-line version is available at the DNR's web site (dnr.wi.gov), search for keyword: *Sauk Prairie Recreation Area*. Printed copies are available at the Sauk City, Prairie du Sac, and Baraboo libraries.

- Attend the public hearing.

A hearing for the Department to receive comments from the public on the draft master plan will be held **September 10, 2015 from 5:00 to 8:00 p.m. at the River Arts Center (105 Ninth Street, Prairie du Sac)**. Materials describing the proposed master plan will be available for review starting at 4:00 p.m. In addition, DNR staff will give a short overview of the proposed master plan at 5:00 p.m.

- Submit comments by September 25, 2015.

ON-LINE SURVEY (same as this survey):

dnr.wi.gov and search for "Sauk Prairie Recreation Area"

MAILING ADDRESS:

John Pohlman – LF/6
Wisconsin DNR
P.O. Box 7921
Madison, WI 53707-7921

EMAIL:

DNRsaukprairie@wisconsin.gov

Thank you for your interest in Sauk Prairie Recreation Area.

PUBLIC INPUT on the draft master plan and environmental impact statement for:

SAUK PRAIRIE RECREATION AREA

This document is designed to gather public feedback and comments on the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources' draft Sauk Prairie Recreation Area (SPRA) master plan and environmental impact statement, which can be found at the DNR web site: dnr.wi.gov and search for "Sauk Prairie Recreation Area."

FEEDBACK ON SPECIFIC PARTS OF THE DRAFT MASTER PLAN.

RECREATION (see the summary or pages 17-29 of the draft master plan for more information).

- Regarding the proposed mix of recreation activities, I think:
 - The mix of recreation activities proposed is appropriate.
 - The following recreation activities should be added: _____
 - The following recreation activities should be removed: _____
- Regarding the proposed amount of opportunities for different recreation activities, I think:
 - The amount of recreation opportunities proposed is about right.
 - There should be more opportunities for these activities: _____
 - There should be fewer opportunities for these activities: _____
- What other comments do you have regarding the proposed recreation activities? How could the proposed recreational experiences be improved?

I support snowmobiling

HABITAT MANAGEMENT (see the summary or pages 30-45 of the draft master plan for more information).

- Regarding the mix of habitats proposed to be restored and managed, I think:
 - The mix of habitats proposed to be restored and managed is appropriate.
 - More emphasis should be placed on: _____
 - Less emphasis should be placed on: _____
- Regarding the mix of management techniques proposed to be used, I think:
 - The mix of management techniques proposed is appropriate.
 - More emphasis should be placed on: _____
 - Less emphasis should be placed on: _____
 - These management techniques should not be used: _____
- What other comments do you have regarding the proposed restoration and management of habitats? What are your thoughts regarding the proposed habitat management techniques?

CULTURAL AND HISTORIC RESOURCES

(see the summary or pages 80-83 of the draft master plan for information).

7. Regarding the cultural and historic interpretive opportunities proposed, I think:
- The mix of proposed cultural and historic interpretive opportunities is appropriate.
 - More emphasis should be placed on: _____
 - Less emphasis should be placed on: _____
8. What other comments do you have regarding the proposed cultural and historic interpretive opportunities? What do you think visitors will be most interested in learning about the property?
- _____
- _____

POTENTIAL OUTCOMES

(see the summary or pages 113-127 of the draft master plan for more information).

9. Environmental, ecological, and economic benefits may occur if the proposed master plan is implemented (e.g., larger populations of rare grassland and savanna birds, more recreational opportunities for nearby residents and visitors, and increased economic activity in the region). In addition, some adverse outcomes may result (e.g., the cost to restore habitats and construct recreation facilities, smoke from the use of prescribed fire to control invasive species, and increased traffic on local roads from visitors).
- What are your thoughts on the description of potential effects that may result if the master plan is implemented? Are there potential impacts that are not adequately explained? If so, how should they be described?
- _____
- _____

ALTERNATIVES

(see the summary or pages 129-138 of the draft master plan for more information).

10. Different options to achieve the habitat and recreation goals for the property were evaluated. What are your thoughts on the alternatives evaluated but not included in the final draft? Are there alternatives not selected that should be included in the proposed management plan? If so, which ones and why?
- _____
- _____

FEEDBACK ON THE OVERALL DRAFT MASTER PLAN.

11. In developing the draft master plan, the DNR sought to balance recreation experiences (including education and interpretation of the property) and the conservation of native habitats at Sauk Prairie Recreation Area. How do you view the balance between recreation and conservation in the proposed master plan?
- Far too much emphasis on recreation
 - Somewhat too much emphasis on recreation
 - About the right balance between conservation and recreation
 - Somewhat too much emphasis on conservation
 - Far too much emphasis on conservation
12. What are your overall thoughts on the draft master plan?
- _____
- _____
13. There are many aspects to this proposed master plan. Although the Department attempted to describe the proposed recreational use and habitat management clearly, there may be portions that are confusing or unclear. What parts of the master plan are confusing, missing, or need further explanation? What questions do you have?
- _____
- _____

VISITING SAUK PRAIRIE RECREATION AREA.

Although SPRA opened to the public in April 2015, the property will be in a transition phase for many years to come. Other than trails on some of the former roads, currently there are no amenities for visitors. The Department will initially focus its limited staff and funds on continuing to clean up rubble and debris, filling the worst of the potholes, placing boundary signs, and conducting other tasks to ensure that visitors have a safe experience.

14. Do you anticipate visiting the Sauk Prairie Recreation Area over the next 2 or 3 years?
- Yes
 - No
 - Don't know
15. If "Yes" in which of the following recreation activities are you likely to participate? (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)
- | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Hiking or walking | <input type="checkbox"/> Photography |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Recreational biking | <input type="checkbox"/> General sightseeing |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Horseback riding | <input type="checkbox"/> Automobile driving |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Hunting | <input type="checkbox"/> Cross country skiing or snowshoeing |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Deer | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Snowmobiling |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Pheasant | <input type="checkbox"/> Dual-sport motorcycle riding |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Turkey | <input type="checkbox"/> Rocketry |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Small game | <input type="checkbox"/> "Fat tire" bike riding |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> Running or conditioning |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Trapping | <input type="checkbox"/> Geocaching |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Bird and other wildlife watching | <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ |
16. If "No" which of the following might be reasons for not visiting? (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)
- | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> No interest | <input type="checkbox"/> I am concerned about safety |
| <input type="checkbox"/> I intend to wait until more recreation opportunities are available | <input type="checkbox"/> I don't live in the area |
| <input type="checkbox"/> I don't participate in the activities that are proposed here | <input type="checkbox"/> Don't know |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ |
17. What recreation opportunities should the DNR and partners focus on providing in the next 3 years?
- _____
- _____

FINAL THOUGHTS AND IDEAS.

18. What additional thoughts or comments would you like to share?
- _____
- _____
- _____

Zip code of primary residence: 53528 Name (Optional*): _____

* The Department will scan all responses, in their entirety (including names), and post on its web site following the end of the public comment period so that everyone can read all of the comments received.
Thank you!

What are the next steps?

Following the conclusion of the public review period for the draft master plan and environmental impact statement (EIS), the Department will evaluate all the comments received and summarize them in a document that will be posted on the DNR's web site. In addition, all responses, letters, emails, and other communications received will be posted in their entirety on the web site.

As with most draft master plans, the Department expects to receive a range of comments and suggested changes. Some people may note errors and mistakes, while others may seek clarifying explanations or revisions to confusing sections. Others may request changes to the substance of the draft plan – for example, different locations for facilities, expanded or reduced opportunities for particular recreation activities, or different habitat outcomes or management strategies. Department staff will carefully review these suggestions and requests and will revise the document as needed.

An accompanying document to the revised draft master plan and EIS will summarize the changes that were made based on the public comments. If requested changes are not included in the revised version of the draft master plan and EIS, the accompanying document will provide an explanation for why the proposed modifications were not incorporated.

If the public comments received on the draft document lead the Department to significantly change the proposed master plan or assessment of impacts, the Department may conclude that this revised document should again be presented to the public for a second review. However, if the modifications to the draft master plan and EIS are not substantial, then the revised document (along with the summary of comments received and the explanation of modifications to the document) will be forwarded to the Natural Resources Board for their consideration. The public can provide additional comments directly to the Board as they review the draft plan.

How to participate in the final phase of the development of the Sauk Prairie Recreation Area master plan:

- Review the draft Master Plan and Environmental Impact Statement.

An on-line version is available at the DNR's web site (dnr.wi.gov), search for keyword: Sauk Prairie Recreation Area. Printed copies are available at the Sauk City, Prairie du Sac, and Baraboo libraries.

- Attend the public hearing.

A hearing for the Department to receive comments from the public on the draft master plan will be held **September 10, 2015 from 5:00 to 8:00 p.m. at the River Arts Center (105 Ninth Street, Prairie du Sac)**. Materials describing the proposed master plan will be available for review starting at 4:00 p.m. In addition, DNR staff will give a short overview of the proposed master plan at 5:00 p.m.

- Submit comments by **September 25, 2015**.

ON-LINE SURVEY (same as this survey):
dnr.wi.gov and search for "Sauk Prairie Recreation Area"

MAILING ADDRESS:
John Pohlman – LF/6
Wisconsin DNR
P.O. Box 7921
Madison, WI 53707-7921

EMAIL:
DNRsaukprairie@wisconsin.gov

Thank you for your interest in Sauk Prairie Recreation Area.

PUBLIC INPUT on the draft master plan and environmental impact statement for:

SAUK PRAIRIE RECREATION AREA

This document is designed to gather public feedback and comments on the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources' draft Sauk Prairie Recreation Area (SPRA) master plan and environmental impact statement, which can be found at the DNR web site: dnr.wi.gov and search for "Sauk Prairie Recreation Area."

FEEDBACK ON SPECIFIC PARTS OF THE DRAFT MASTER PLAN.

RECREATION (see the summary or pages 17-29 of the draft master plan for more information).

- Regarding the proposed mix of recreation activities, I think:
 - The mix of recreation activities proposed is appropriate.
 - The following recreation activities should be added: _____ *purpose*
 - The following recreation activities should be removed: *Rocketry, dual ~~purpose~~ motorcycling, shooting ranges, horseback riding, dog training with fire arms*
- Regarding the proposed amount of opportunities for different recreation activities, I think:
 - The amount of recreation opportunities proposed is about right.
 - There should be more opportunities for these activities: _____ *purpose*
 - There should be fewer opportunities for these activities: *Rocketry, dual ~~purpose~~ motorcycles, horseback riding, dog training with fire arms*
- What other comments do you have regarding the proposed recreation activities? How could the proposed recreational experiences be improved?

shorten proposed hunting season to protect grassland birds (breeding) and low-impact recreation users. areas. Keep snowmobile trails out of interior of property (perimeter only)

HABITAT MANAGEMENT (see the summary or pages 30-45 of the draft master plan for more information).

- Regarding the mix of habitats proposed to be restored and managed, I think:
 - The mix of habitats proposed to be restored and managed is appropriate.
 - More emphasis should be placed on: _____
 - Less emphasis should be placed on: _____
- Regarding the mix of management techniques proposed to be used, I think:
 - The mix of management techniques proposed is appropriate.
 - More emphasis should be placed on: *conservation, conservation agriculture*
 - Less emphasis should be placed on: *high recreation, esp. high impact*
 - These management techniques should not be used: *manage property as a whole.*
- What other comments do you have regarding the proposed restoration and management of habitats? What are your thoughts regarding the proposed habitat management techniques?

Rare grassland bird species should be given higher priority (protection)

CULTURAL AND HISTORIC RESOURCES

(see the summary or pages 80-83 of the draft master plan for information).

- 7. Regarding the cultural and historic interpretive opportunities proposed, I think:
 - The mix of proposed cultural and historic interpretive opportunities is appropriate.
 - More emphasis should be placed on: _____
 - Less emphasis should be placed on: _____

8. What other comments do you have regarding the proposed cultural and historic interpretive opportunities? What do you think visitors will be most interested in learning about the property?
I think most visitors will be interested in the restoration of the property.

POTENTIAL OUTCOMES

(see the summary or pages 113-127 of the draft master plan for more information).

9. Environmental, ecological, and economic benefits may occur if the proposed master plan is implemented (e.g., larger populations of rare grassland and savanna birds, more recreational opportunities for nearby residents and visitors, and increased economic activity in the region). In addition, some adverse outcomes may result (e.g., the cost to restore habitats and construct recreation facilities, smoke from the use of prescribed fire to control invasive species, and increased traffic on local roads from visitors).

What are your thoughts on the description of potential effects that may result if the master plan is implemented? Are there potential impacts that are not adequately explained? If so, how should they be described?

Short-term environmental impacts and cumulative impacts of all recreational activities should be explained in greater detail.

ALTERNATIVES

(see the summary or pages 129-138 of the draft master plan for more information).

10. Different options to achieve the habitat and recreation goals for the property were evaluated. What are your thoughts on the alternatives evaluated but not included in the final draft? Are there alternatives not selected that should be included in the proposed management plan? If so, which ones and why?

FEEDBACK ON THE OVERALL DRAFT MASTER PLAN.

- 11. In developing the draft master plan, the DNR sought to balance recreation experiences (including education and interpretation of the property) and the conservation of native habitats at Sauk Prairie Recreation Area. How do you view the balance between recreation and conservation in the proposed master plan?
 - Far too much emphasis on recreation
 - Somewhat too much emphasis on recreation
 - About the right balance between conservation and recreation
 - Somewhat too much emphasis on conservation
 - Far too much emphasis on conservation

12. What are your overall thoughts on the draft master plan?
It's a mixed bag. The restoration plan is good, but shooting ranges, ATVs, motorcycles, and rocketry are not appropriate uses.

13. There are many aspects to this proposed master plan. Although the Department attempted to describe the proposed recreational use and habitat management clearly, there may be portions that are confusing or unclear. What parts of the master plan are confusing, missing, or need further explanation? What questions do you have?
The EIS should be greatly expanded to examine the potential impacts of short-term recreational activities and the cumulative impacts of all recreational activities.

VISITING SAUK PRAIRIE RECREATION AREA.

Although SPRA opened to the public in April 2015, the property will be in a transition phase for many years to come. Other than trails on some of the former roads, currently there are no amenities for visitors. The Department will initially focus its limited staff and funds on continuing to clean up rubble and debris, filling the worst of the potholes, placing boundary signs, and conducting other tasks to ensure that visitors have a safe experience.

- 14. Do you anticipate visiting the Sauk Prairie Recreation Area over the next 2 or 3 years?
 - Yes
 - No
 - Don't know

15. If "Yes" in which of the following recreation activities are you likely to participate? (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)

- | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Hiking or walking | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Photography |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Recreational biking | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General sightseeing |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Horseback riding | <input type="checkbox"/> Automobile driving |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Hunting | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cross country skiing or snowshoeing |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Deer | <input type="checkbox"/> Snowmobiling |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Pheasant | <input type="checkbox"/> Dual-sport motorcycle riding |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Turkey | <input type="checkbox"/> Rocketry |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Small game | <input type="checkbox"/> "Fat tire" bike riding |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> Running or conditioning |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Trapping | <input type="checkbox"/> Geocaching |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Bird and other wildlife watching | <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ |

- 16. If "No" which of the following might be reasons for not visiting? (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)
 - No interest
 - I intend to wait until more recreation opportunities are available
 - I don't participate in the activities that are proposed here
 - I am concerned about safety
 - I don't live in the area
 - Don't know
 - Other _____

17. What recreation opportunities should the DNR and partners focus on providing in the next 3 years?
Low-impact recreation activities

FINAL THOUGHTS AND IDEAS.

18. What additional thoughts or comments would you like to share?
Thanks to all the DNR employees who worked so hard, in good faith, on this draft plan.

Zip code of primary residence: 53583 Name (Optional*): _____

* The Department will scan all responses, in their entirety (including names), and post on its web site following the end of the public comment period so that everyone can read all of the comments received.
 Thank you!

What are the next steps?

Following the conclusion of the public review period for the draft master plan and environmental impact statement (EIS), the Department will evaluate all the comments received and summarize them in a document that will be posted on the DNR's web site. In addition, all responses, letters, emails, and other communications received will be posted in their entirety on the web site.

As with most draft master plans, the Department expects to receive a range of comments and suggested changes. Some people may note errors and mistakes, while others may seek clarifying explanations or revisions to confusing sections. Others may request changes to the substance of the draft plan – for example, different locations for facilities, expanded or reduced opportunities for particular recreation activities, or different habitat outcomes or management strategies. Department staff will carefully review these suggestions and requests and will revise the document as needed.

An accompanying document to the revised draft master plan and EIS will summarize the changes that were made based on the public comments. If requested changes are not included in the revised version of the draft master plan and EIS, the accompanying document will provide an explanation for why the proposed modifications were not incorporated.

If the public comments received on the draft document lead the Department to significantly change the proposed master plan or assessment of impacts, the Department may conclude that this revised document should again be presented to the public for a second review. However, if the modifications to the draft master plan and EIS are not substantial, then the revised document (along with the summary of comments received and the explanation of modifications to the document) will be forwarded to the Natural Resources Board for their consideration. The public can provide additional comments directly to the Board as they review the draft plan.

How to participate in the final phase of the development of the Sauk Prairie Recreation Area master plan:

- Review the draft Master Plan and Environmental Impact Statement.

An on-line version is available at the DNR's web site (dnr.wi.gov), search for keyword: *Sauk Prairie Recreation Area*. Printed copies are available at the Sauk City, Prairie du Sac, and Baraboo libraries.

- Attend the public hearing.

A hearing for the Department to receive comments from the public on the draft master plan will be held **September 10, 2015 from 5:00 to 8:00 p.m. at the River Arts Center (105 Ninth Street, Prairie du Sac)**. Materials describing the proposed master plan will be available for review starting at 4:00 p.m. In addition, DNR staff will give a short overview of the proposed master plan at 5:00 p.m.

- Submit comments by **September 25, 2015**.

ON-LINE SURVEY (same as this survey):
dnr.wi.gov and search for "Sauk Prairie Recreation Area"

MAILING ADDRESS:
John Pohlman – LF/6
Wisconsin DNR
P.O. Box 7921
Madison, WI 53707-7921

EMAIL:
DNRsaukprairie@wisconsin.gov

Thank you for your interest in Sauk Prairie Recreation Area.

PUBLIC INPUT on the draft master plan and environmental impact statement for:

SAUK PRAIRIE RECREATION AREA

This document is designed to gather public feedback and comments on the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources' draft Sauk Prairie Recreation Area (SPRA) master plan and environmental impact statement, which can be found at the DNR web site: dnr.wi.gov and search for "Sauk Prairie Recreation Area."

FEEDBACK ON SPECIFIC PARTS OF THE DRAFT MASTER PLAN.

RECREATION (see the summary or pages 17-29 of the draft master plan for more information).

- Regarding the proposed mix of recreation activities, I think:
 - The mix of recreation activities proposed is appropriate.
 - The following recreation activities should be added: PERMANENT OFF HIGHWAY MOTORCYCLE TRAIL.
 - The following recreation activities should be removed: _____
- Regarding the proposed amount of opportunities for different recreation activities, I think:
 - The amount of recreation opportunities proposed is about right.
 - There should be more opportunities for these activities: OFF-HIGHWAY MOTORCYCLING
 - There should be fewer opportunities for these activities: _____
- What other comments do you have regarding the proposed recreation activities? How could the proposed recreational experiences be improved?

THE DNR HAS NOT PROVIDED OPPORTUNITIES FOR OFF-HIGHWAY MOTORCYCLING IN THE REGION WHILE PROVIDING MULTIPLE OPPORTUNITIES FOR OTHER TRAIL USERS AT PROPERTIES IN THE AREA. OFF-HIGHWAY MOTORCYCLISTS ARE ENTITLED TO OPPORTUNITIES AS WELL.

HABITAT MANAGEMENT (see the summary or pages 30-45 of the draft master plan for more information).

- Regarding the mix of habitats proposed to be restored and managed, I think:
 - The mix of habitats proposed to be restored and managed is appropriate.
 - More emphasis should be placed on: _____
 - Less emphasis should be placed on: _____
- Regarding the mix of management techniques proposed to be used, I think:
 - The mix of management techniques proposed is appropriate.
 - More emphasis should be placed on: _____
 - Less emphasis should be placed on: _____
 - These management techniques should not be used: _____
- What other comments do you have regarding the proposed restoration and management of habitats? What are your thoughts regarding the proposed habitat management techniques?

CULTURAL AND HISTORIC RESOURCES

(see the summary or pages 80-83 of the draft master plan for information).

7. Regarding the cultural and historic interpretive opportunities proposed, I think:
- The mix of proposed cultural and historic interpretive opportunities is appropriate.
 - More emphasis should be placed on: _____
 - Less emphasis should be placed on: _____
8. What other comments do you have regarding the proposed cultural and historic interpretive opportunities? What do you think visitors will be most interested in learning about the property?
- _____
- _____

POTENTIAL OUTCOMES

(see the summary or pages 113-127 of the draft master plan for more information).

9. Environmental, ecological, and economic benefits may occur if the proposed master plan is implemented (e.g., larger populations of rare grassland and savanna birds, more recreational opportunities for nearby residents and visitors, and increased economic activity in the region). In addition, some adverse outcomes may result (e.g., the cost to restore habitats and construct recreation facilities, smoke from the use of prescribed fire to control invasive species, and increased traffic on local roads from visitors).

What are your thoughts on the description of potential effects that may result if the master plan is implemented? Are there potential impacts that are not adequately explained? If so, how should they be described?

ECONOMIC BENEFITS COME WITH OFF-HIGHWAY MOTORCYCLING. NO SIGNIFICANT
ECOLOGICAL IMPACT BY MOTORCYCLISTS -

ALTERNATIVES

(see the summary or pages 129-138 of the draft master plan for more information).

10. Different options to achieve the habitat and recreation goals for the property were evaluated. What are your thoughts on the alternatives evaluated but not included in the final draft? Are there alternatives not selected that should be included in the proposed management plan? If so, which ones and why?

SHOULD HAVE COMMITTED TO A DEDICATED MOTORIZED TRAIL. WITHOUT THIS, THERE
SHOULD BE A LOT OF OPPORTUNITIES FOR MOTORCYCLISTS TO RIDE OTHER
DEDICATED TRAILS VIA REARUSING.

FEEDBACK ON THE OVERALL DRAFT MASTER PLAN.

11. In developing the draft master plan, the DNR sought to balance recreation experiences (including education and interpretation of the property) and the conservation of native habitats at Sauk Prairie Recreation Area. How do you view the balance between recreation and conservation in the proposed master plan?
- Far too much emphasis on recreation
 - Somewhat too much emphasis on recreation
 - About the right balance between conservation and recreation
 - Somewhat too much emphasis on conservation
 - Far too much emphasis on conservation

12. What are your overall thoughts on the draft master plan?
- DON'T LIKE THAT DNR WAS DISPROPORTIONATELY INFLUENCED BY A SMALL BUT LOCAL
CONTINGENT OF PEOPLE THAT DON'T LIKE MOTORIZED RECREATION. SHOULD HAVE GIVEN
GREATER CONSIDERATION TO TOOLS LIKE SCORP THAT SHOW SOME OF THE LIMITED DOMAINS.
13. There are many aspects to this proposed master plan. Although the Department attempted to describe the proposed recreational use and habitat management clearly, there may be portions that are confusing or unclear. What parts of the master plan are confusing, missing, or need further explanation? What questions do you have?
- _____
- _____

VISITING SAUK PRAIRIE RECREATION AREA.

Although SPRA opened to the public in April 2015, the property will be in a transition phase for many years to come. Other than trails on some of the former roads, currently there are no amenities for visitors. The Department will initially focus its limited staff and funds on continuing to clean up rubble and debris, filling the worst of the potholes, placing boundary signs, and conducting other tasks to ensure that visitors have a safe experience.

14. Do you anticipate visiting the Sauk Prairie Recreation Area over the next 2 or 3 years?

- Yes
- No
- Don't know

15. If "Yes" in which of the following recreation activities are you likely to participate? (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)

- | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Hiking or walking | <input type="checkbox"/> Photography |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Recreational biking | <input type="checkbox"/> General sightseeing |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Horseback riding | <input type="checkbox"/> Automobile driving |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Hunting | <input type="checkbox"/> Cross country skiing or snowshoeing |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Deer | <input type="checkbox"/> Snowmobiling |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Pheasant | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Dual-sport motorcycle riding |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Turkey | <input type="checkbox"/> Rocketry |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Small game | <input type="checkbox"/> "Fat tire" bike riding |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> Running or conditioning |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Trapping | <input type="checkbox"/> Geocaching |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Bird and other wildlife watching | <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ |

16. If "No" which of the following might be reasons for not visiting? (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)

- | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> No interest | <input type="checkbox"/> I am concerned about safety |
| <input type="checkbox"/> I intend to wait until more recreation opportunities are available | <input type="checkbox"/> I don't live in the area |
| <input type="checkbox"/> I don't participate in the activities that are proposed here | <input type="checkbox"/> Don't know |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ |

17. What recreation opportunities should the DNR and partners focus on providing in the next 3 years?

MAKE IT A POINT TO ~~BE~~ MAKE OPPORTUNITIES FOR OFF-HIGHWAY
MOTORCYCLISTS AVAILABLE SOON SINCE THIS GROUP IS VERY UNDERSERVED
IN THE REGION.

FINAL THOUGHTS AND IDEAS.

18. What additional thoughts or comments would you like to share?
- WE NEED A MORE SCIENTIFIC SYSTEM FOR EVALUATING USER
NEEDS AND SORTING THROUGH SOME OF THE ARGUMENTS THAT
A EMOTION BASED WITH LITTLE OR NO SUPPORTING FACTS TO
MAKE SURE WE DO THE BEST JOB WE CAN MEETING USER
NEEDS AS WE SHARE THE COMMONS

Zip code of primary residence: 53060 Name (Optional*): _____

* The Department will scan all responses, in their entirety (including names), and post on its web site following the end of the public comment period so that everyone can read all of the comments received.
Thank you!

What are the next steps?

Following the conclusion of the public review period for the draft master plan and environmental impact statement (EIS), the Department will evaluate all the comments received and summarize them in a document that will be posted on the DNR's web site. In addition, all responses, letters, emails, and other communications received will be posted in their entirety on the web site.

As with most draft master plans, the Department expects to receive a range of comments and suggested changes. Some people may note errors and mistakes, while others may seek clarifying explanations or revisions to confusing sections. Others may request changes to the substance of the draft plan – for example, different locations for facilities, expanded or reduced opportunities for particular recreation activities, or different habitat outcomes or management strategies. Department staff will carefully review these suggestions and requests and will revise the document as needed.

An accompanying document to the revised draft master plan and EIS will summarize the changes that were made based on the public comments. If requested changes are not included in the revised version of the draft master plan and EIS, the accompanying document will provide an explanation for why the proposed modifications were not incorporated.

If the public comments received on the draft document lead the Department to significantly change the proposed master plan or assessment of impacts, the Department may conclude that this revised document should again be presented to the public for a second review. However, if the modifications to the draft master plan and EIS are not substantial, then the revised document (along with the summary of comments received and the explanation of modifications to the document) will be forwarded to the Natural Resources Board for their consideration. The public can provide additional comments directly to the Board as they review the draft plan.

How to participate in the final phase of the development of the Sauk Prairie Recreation Area master plan:

- Review the draft Master Plan and Environmental Impact Statement.

An on-line version is available at the DNR's web site (dnr.wi.gov), search for keyword: *Sauk Prairie Recreation Area*. Printed copies are available at the Sauk City, Prairie du Sac, and Baraboo libraries.

- Attend the public hearing.

A hearing for the Department to receive comments from the public on the draft master plan will be held **September 10, 2015 from 5:00 to 8:00 p.m. at the River Arts Center (105 Ninth Street, Prairie du Sac)**. Materials describing the proposed master plan will be available for review starting at 4:00 p.m. In addition, DNR staff will give a short overview of the proposed master plan at 5:00 p.m.

- Submit comments by September 25, 2015.

ON-LINE SURVEY (same as this survey):

dnr.wi.gov and search for "Sauk Prairie Recreation Area"

MAILING ADDRESS:

John Pohlman – LF/6
Wisconsin DNR
P.O. Box 7921
Madison, WI 53707-7921

EMAIL:

DNRsaukprairie@wisconsin.gov

Thank you for your interest in Sauk Prairie Recreation Area.

PUBLIC INPUT on the draft master plan and environmental impact statement for:

SAUK PRAIRIE RECREATION AREA

This document is designed to gather public feedback and comments on the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources' draft Sauk Prairie Recreation Area (SPRA) master plan and environmental impact statement, which can be found at the DNR web site: dnr.wi.gov and search for "Sauk Prairie Recreation Area."

FEEDBACK ON SPECIFIC PARTS OF THE DRAFT MASTER PLAN.

RECREATION (see the summary or pages 17-29 of the draft master plan for more information).

1. Regarding the proposed mix of recreation activities, I think:

The mix of recreation activities proposed is appropriate.

The following recreation activities should be added: _____

The following recreation activities should be removed: _____

2. Regarding the proposed amount of opportunities for different recreation activities, I think:

The amount of recreation opportunities proposed is about right.

There should be more opportunities for these activities: Snowmobiles

There should be fewer opportunities for these activities: _____

3. What other comments do you have regarding the proposed recreation activities? How could the proposed recreational experiences be improved?

The snowmobile trail should be inside the SPRA + Not pushed against the border of the Area along Roads + Highways

HABITAT MANAGEMENT (see the summary or pages 30-45 of the draft master plan for more information).

4. Regarding the mix of habitats proposed to be restored and managed, I think:

The mix of habitats proposed to be restored and managed is appropriate.

More emphasis should be placed on: _____

Less emphasis should be placed on: _____

5. Regarding the mix of management techniques proposed to be used, I think:

The mix of management techniques proposed is appropriate.

More emphasis should be placed on: _____

Less emphasis should be placed on: _____

These management techniques should not be used: _____

6. What other comments do you have regarding the proposed restoration and management of habitats? What are your thoughts regarding the proposed habitat management techniques?

CULTURAL AND HISTORIC RESOURCES

(see the summary or pages 80-83 of the draft master plan for information).

7. Regarding the cultural and historic interpretive opportunities proposed, I think:

- The mix of proposed cultural and historic interpretive opportunities is appropriate.
- More emphasis should be placed on: _____
- Less emphasis should be placed on: _____

8. What other comments do you have regarding the proposed cultural and historic interpretive opportunities? What do you think visitors will be most interested in learning about the property?

POTENTIAL OUTCOMES

(see the summary or pages 113-127 of the draft master plan for more information).

9. Environmental, ecological, and economic benefits may occur if the proposed master plan is implemented (e.g., larger populations of rare grassland and savanna birds, more recreational opportunities for nearby residents and visitors, and increased economic activity in the region). In addition, some adverse outcomes may result (e.g., the cost to restore habitats and construct recreation facilities, smoke from the use of prescribed fire to control invasive species, and increased traffic on local roads from visitors).

What are your thoughts on the description of potential effects that may result if the master plan is implemented? Are there potential impacts that are not adequately explained? If so, how should they be described?

ALTERNATIVES

(see the summary or pages 129-138 of the draft master plan for more information).

10. Different options to achieve the habitat and recreation goals for the property were evaluated. What are your thoughts on the alternatives evaluated but not included in the final draft? Are there alternatives not selected that should be included in the proposed management plan? If so, which ones and why?

FEEDBACK ON THE OVERALL DRAFT MASTER PLAN.

11. In developing the draft master plan, the DNR sought to balance recreation experiences (including education and interpretation of the property) and the conservation of native habitats at Sauk Prairie Recreation Area. How do you view the balance between recreation and conservation in the proposed master plan?
- Far too much emphasis on recreation
 - Somewhat too much emphasis on recreation
 - About the right balance between conservation and recreation
 - Somewhat too much emphasis on conservation
 - Far too much emphasis on conservation

12. What are your overall thoughts on the draft master plan?
Mostly good Balance of user groups, thank you for being inclusive

13. There are many aspects to this proposed master plan. Although the Department attempted to describe the proposed recreational use and habitat management clearly, there may be portions that are confusing or unclear. What parts of the master plan are confusing, missing, or need further explanation? What questions do you have?
I would like to have a more concrete plan for the location of snowmobile trail thru the SPRA in writing and on the map

VISITING SAUK PRAIRIE RECREATION AREA.

Although SPRA opened to the public in April 2015, the property will be in a transition phase for many years to come. Other than trails on some of the former roads, currently there are no amenities for visitors. The Department will initially focus its limited staff and funds on continuing to clean up rubble and debris, filling the worst of the potholes, placing boundary signs, and conducting other tasks to ensure that visitors have a safe experience.

14. Do you anticipate visiting the Sauk Prairie Recreation Area over the next 2 or 3 years?

- Yes
- No
- Don't know

15. If "Yes" in which of the following recreation activities are you likely to participate? (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)

- Hiking or walking
- Recreational biking
- Horseback riding
- Hunting
 - Deer
 - Pheasant
 - Turkey
 - Small game
 - Other _____
- Trapping
- Bird and other wildlife watching
- Photography
- General sightseeing
- Automobile driving
- Cross country skiing or snowshoeing
- Snowmobiling
- Dual-sport motorcycle riding
- Rocketry
- "Fat tire" bike riding
- Running or conditioning
- Geocaching
- Other _____

16. If "No" which of the following might be reasons for not visiting? (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)

- No interest
- I intend to wait until more recreation opportunities are available
- I don't participate in the activities that are proposed here
- I am concerned about safety
- I don't live in the area
- Don't know
- Other _____

17. What recreation opportunities should the DNR and partners focus on providing in the next 3 years?
snow mobile trails could be installed + opened in a short amount of time with cooperation of local snow mobile clubs + their members/volunteers

FINAL THOUGHTS AND IDEAS.

18. What additional thoughts or comments would you like to share?
I would like to see a seric trail for snow mobile use thru this property. This could be a great opportunity for this user group to enjoy the winter season Beauty of this natural area

Zip code of primary residence: 53558 Name (Optional*): _____

* The Department will scan all responses, in their entirety (including names), and post on its web site following the end of the public comment period so that everyone can read all of the comments received.
Thank you!

What are the next steps?

Following the conclusion of the public review period for the draft master plan and environmental impact statement (EIS), the Department will evaluate all the comments received and summarize them in a document that will be posted on the DNR's web site. In addition, all responses, letters, emails, and other communications received will be posted in their entirety on the web site.

As with most draft master plans, the Department expects to receive a range of comments and suggested changes. Some people may note errors and mistakes, while others may seek clarifying explanations or revisions to confusing sections. Others may request changes to the substance of the draft plan – for example, different locations for facilities, expanded or reduced opportunities for particular recreation activities, or different habitat outcomes or management strategies. Department staff will carefully review these suggestions and requests and will revise the document as needed.

An accompanying document to the revised draft master plan and EIS will summarize the changes that were made based on the public comments. If requested changes are not included in the revised version of the draft master plan and EIS, the accompanying document will provide an explanation for why the proposed modifications were not incorporated.

If the public comments received on the draft document lead the Department to significantly change the proposed master plan or assessment of impacts, the Department may conclude that this revised document should again be presented to the public for a second review. However, if the modifications to the draft master plan and EIS are not substantial, then the revised document (along with the summary of comments received and the explanation of modifications to the document) will be forwarded to the Natural Resources Board for their consideration. The public can provide additional comments directly to the Board as they review the draft plan.

How to participate in the final phase of the development of the Sauk Prairie Recreation Area master plan:

- Review the draft Master Plan and Environmental Impact Statement.

An on-line version is available at the DNR's web site (dnr.wi.gov), search for keyword: Sauk Prairie Recreation Area. Printed copies are available at the Sauk City, Prairie du Sac, and Baraboo libraries.

- Attend the public hearing.

A hearing for the Department to receive comments from the public on the draft master plan will be held **September 10, 2015 from 5:00 to 8:00 p.m. at the River Arts Center (105 Ninth Street, Prairie du Sac)**. Materials describing the proposed master plan will be available for review starting at 4:00 p.m. In addition, DNR staff will give a short overview of the proposed master plan at 5:00 p.m.

- Submit comments by **September 25, 2015**.

ON-LINE SURVEY (same as this survey):
dnr.wi.gov and search for "Sauk Prairie Recreation Area"

MAILING ADDRESS:
John Pohlman – LF/6
Wisconsin DNR
P.O. Box 7921
Madison, WI 53707-7921

EMAIL:
DNRsaukprairie@wisconsin.gov

NOTE: I GREW UP IN EAGLE, WI
WE MADE TRAILS FROM EAGLE TO
PALMYRA TO DOVSMAN. I DNR
PERSON NEEDED, THOSE
TRAILS STILL EXIST TODAY.
LOTS OF BIRDS, DEER, ETC.
NATURE ADAPTS. PLEASE
INCLUDE MOTOR SPORTS, HUNTING,
ROCKETS ETC.

Thank you for your interest in Sauk Prairie Recreation Area.

PUBLIC INPUT on the draft master plan and environmental impact statement for:

SAUK PRAIRIE RECREATION AREA

This document is designed to gather public feedback and comments on the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources' draft Sauk Prairie Recreation Area (SPRA) master plan and environmental impact statement, which can be found at the DNR web site: dnr.wi.gov and search for "Sauk Prairie Recreation Area."

NOTE: THE LINK ON INTERNET DIDNT WORK 9-9-2015

FEEDBACK ON SPECIFIC PARTS OF THE DRAFT MASTER PLAN.

RECREATION (see the summary or pages 17-29 of the draft master plan for more information).

1. Regarding the proposed mix of recreation activities, I think: *when there is snow? 5 days last yr. winter only - Dec 1 to March 31*
- The mix of recreation activities proposed is appropriate.
 - The following recreation activities should be added: *Snowmobiling on the ridge*
 - The following recreation activities should be removed: *Dogs & Horse back riding*

2. Regarding the proposed amount of opportunities for different recreation activities, I think:
- The amount of recreation opportunities proposed is about right.
 - There should be more opportunities for these activities: *a place to fly my Drone.*
 - There should be fewer opportunities for these activities: _____

3. What other comments do you have regarding the proposed recreation activities? How could the proposed recreational experiences be improved?
- open up ATV trail. Re educate the people of Sauk that this park belongs to everybody, not just the Berdon-Bees. I have a camper in the woods in flat broadhead with 10 moose and a road back had close by. there are Eagles, Birds etc.*

HABITAT MANAGEMENT (see the summary or pages 30-45 of the draft master plan for more information).

4. Regarding the mix of habitats proposed to be restored and managed, I think:
- The mix of habitats proposed to be restored and managed is appropriate.
 - More emphasis should be placed on: *Less management nature done fine on its own.*
 - Less emphasis should be placed on: _____

5. Regarding the mix of management techniques proposed to be used, I think:
- The mix of management techniques proposed is appropriate.
 - More emphasis should be placed on: *Brushing + Build trails*
 - Less emphasis should be placed on: *forestry restoration of habitat. Shrub fine on its own.*
 - These management techniques should not be used: _____

6. What other comments do you have regarding the proposed restoration and management of habitats? What are your thoughts regarding the proposed habitat management techniques?
- THANKS FOR YOUR TIME. MAKE SOME TRAILS SO US CITY PEOPLE HAVE A PLACE ENJOY OPEN SPACES*

CULTURAL AND HISTORIC RESOURCES

(see the summary or pages 80-83 of the draft master plan for information).

7. Regarding the cultural and historic interpretive opportunities proposed, I think:

- The mix of proposed cultural and historic interpretive opportunities is appropriate.
- More emphasis should be placed on:

Less emphasis should be placed on: Your Over Kill on management. It's been here for a long time and will be here long after we're gone.

8. What other comments do you have regarding the proposed cultural and historic interpretive opportunities? What do you think visitors will be most interested in learning about the property?

I travel to a lot of Wisconsin state forests. This one dump and Blue Mounds is nothing special. YA WANT QUIET? GO TO EAGLE WI

POTENTIAL OUTCOMES

(see the summary or pages 113-127 of the draft master plan for more information).

9. Environmental, ecological, and economic benefits may occur if the proposed master plan is implemented (e.g., larger populations of rare grassland and savanna birds, more recreational opportunities for nearby residents and visitors, and increased economic activity in the region). In addition, some adverse outcomes may result (e.g., the cost to restore habitats and construct recreation facilities, smoke from the use of prescribed fire to control invasive species, and increased traffic on local roads from visitors).

What are your thoughts on the description of potential effects that may result if the master plan is implemented? Are there potential impacts that are not adequately explained? If so, how should they be described?

Like, like, snowmobile, as far as woods? That's nature who are you to change natural growth. plant Tree's

ALTERNATIVES

(see the summary or pages 129-138 of the draft master plan for more information).

10. Different options to achieve the habitat and recreation goals for the property were evaluated. What are your thoughts on the alternatives evaluated but not included in the final draft? Are there alternatives not selected that should be included in the proposed management plan? If so, which ones and why?

with change of seasons, comes different uses? Dogs keep animals away. BAN DOGS IN THIS AREA.

FEEDBACK ON THE OVERALL DRAFT MASTER PLAN.

11. In developing the draft master plan, the DNR sought to balance recreation experiences (including education and interpretation of the property) and the conservation of native habitats at Sauk Prairie Recreation Area. How do you view the balance between recreation and conservation in the proposed master plan?

- Far too much emphasis on recreation
- Somewhat too much emphasis on recreation
- About the right balance between conservation and recreation
- Somewhat too much emphasis on conservation
- Far too much emphasis on conservation

12. What are your overall thoughts on the draft master plan?

TO SMALL PIECE OF LAND, BUY MORE, PLANT TREES CONNECT THE DELLS TO BELOIT. BUILD WOODS FOR 50 YR

13. There are many aspects to this proposed master plan. Although the Department attempted to describe the proposed recreational use and habitat management clearly, there may be portions that are confusing or unclear. What parts of the master plan are confusing, missing, or need further explanation? What questions do you have?

Way too much emphasis on tall grass. tall grass is an Illinois thing. I don't visit Illinois

VISITING SAUK PRAIRIE RECREATION AREA.

Although SPRA opened to the public in April 2015, the property will be in a transition phase for many years to come. Other than trails on some of the former roads, currently there are no amenities for visitors. The Department will initially focus its limited staff and funds on continuing to clean up rubble and debris, filling the worst of the potholes, placing boundary signs, and conducting other tasks to ensure that visitors have a safe experience.

14. Do you anticipate visiting the Sauk Prairie Recreation Area over the next 2 or 3 years?

- Yes
- No
- Don't know

NOTE: These people want quiet? Move to MONTANA.

15. If "Yes" in which of the following recreation activities are you likely to participate? (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)

- | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Hiking or walking | <input type="checkbox"/> Photography |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Recreational biking | <input type="checkbox"/> General sightseeing |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Horseback riding | <input type="checkbox"/> Automobile driving |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Hunting | <input type="checkbox"/> Cross country skiing or snowshoeing |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Deer | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Snowmobiling |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pheasant | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Dual-sport motorcycle riding |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Turkey | <input type="checkbox"/> Rocketry |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Small game | <input type="checkbox"/> "Fat tire" bike riding |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Running or conditioning |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Trapping | <input type="checkbox"/> Geocaching |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Bird and other wildlife watching | <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ |

16. If "No" which of the following might be reasons for not visiting? (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)

- | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> No interest | <input type="checkbox"/> I am concerned about safety |
| <input type="checkbox"/> I intend to wait until more recreation opportunities are available | <input type="checkbox"/> I don't live in the area |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I don't participate in the activities that are proposed here | <input type="checkbox"/> Don't know |
| | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other <u>to many Bird Watchers</u> |

17. What recreation opportunities should the DNR and partners focus on providing in the next 3 years?

1) Hunt, you close to home. 2) I Snowmobile. we need to be in the woods and get from A to B winter only.

FINAL THOUGHTS AND IDEAS.

18. What additional thoughts or comments would you like to share?

So many people working on this? That's overable. Less Paid inst people, Less waiting for grants, and put that payroll money into trails. or Less Overhead and more results. Now, not goes on 4 on for ever.

Zip code of primary residence: 53589 Name (Optional*): JIM BLOWIN

* The Department will scan all responses, in their entirety (including names), and post on its web site following the end of the public comment period so that everyone can read all of the comments received.

Thank you!

What are the next steps?

Following the conclusion of the public review period for the draft master plan and environmental impact statement (EIS), the Department will evaluate all the comments received and summarize them in a document that will be posted on the DNR's web site. In addition, all responses, letters, emails, and other communications received will be posted in their entirety on the web site.

As with most draft master plans, the Department expects to receive a range of comments and suggested changes. Some people may note errors and mistakes, while others may seek clarifying explanations or revisions to confusing sections. Others may request changes to the substance of the draft plan – for example, different locations for facilities, expanded or reduced opportunities for particular recreation activities, or different habitat outcomes or management strategies. Department staff will carefully review these suggestions and requests and will revise the document as needed.

An accompanying document to the revised draft master plan and EIS will summarize the changes that were made based on the public comments. If requested changes are not included in the revised version of the draft master plan and EIS, the accompanying document will provide an explanation for why the proposed modifications were not incorporated.

If the public comments received on the draft document lead the Department to significantly change the proposed master plan or assessment of impacts, the Department may conclude that this revised document should again be presented to the public for a second review. However, if the modifications to the draft master plan and EIS are not substantial, then the revised document (along with the summary of comments received and the explanation of modifications to the document) will be forwarded to the Natural Resources Board for their consideration. The public can provide additional comments directly to the Board as they review the draft plan.

How to participate in the final phase of the development of the Sauk Prairie Recreation Area master plan:

- Review the draft Master Plan and Environmental Impact Statement.

An on-line version is available at the DNR's web site (dnr.wi.gov), search for keyword: *Sauk Prairie Recreation Area*. Printed copies are available at the Sauk City, Prairie du Sac, and Baraboo libraries.

- Attend the public hearing.

A hearing for the Department to receive comments from the public on the draft master plan will be held **September 10, 2015 from 5:00 to 8:00 p.m. at the River Arts Center (105 Ninth Street, Prairie du Sac)**. Materials describing the proposed master plan will be available for review starting at 4:00 p.m. In addition, DNR staff will give a short overview of the proposed master plan at 5:00 p.m.

- Submit comments by **September 25, 2015**.

ON-LINE SURVEY (same as this survey):
dnr.wi.gov and search for "Sauk Prairie Recreation Area"

MAILING ADDRESS:
John Pohlman – LF/6
Wisconsin DNR
P.O. Box 7921
Madison, WI 53707-7921

EMAIL:
DNRsaukprairie@wisconsin.gov

Thank you for your interest in Sauk Prairie Recreation Area.

PUBLIC INPUT on the draft master plan and environmental impact statement for:

SAUK PRAIRIE RECREATION AREA

This document is designed to gather public feedback and comments on the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources' draft Sauk Prairie Recreation Area (SPRA) master plan and environmental impact statement, which can be found at the DNR web site: dnr.wi.gov and search for "Sauk Prairie Recreation Area."

FEEDBACK ON SPECIFIC PARTS OF THE DRAFT MASTER PLAN.

RECREATION (see the summary or pages 17-29 of the draft master plan for more information).

- Regarding the proposed mix of recreation activities, I think:
 - The mix of recreation activities proposed is appropriate.
 - The following recreation activities should be added: _____
 - The following recreation activities should be removed: Rocketry, motocycling,
- Regarding the proposed amount of opportunities for different recreation activities, I think:
 - The amount of recreation opportunities proposed is about right.
 - There should be more opportunities for these activities: _____
 - There should be fewer opportunities for these activities: Rocketry, motocycling.
- What other comments do you have regarding the proposed recreation activities? How could the proposed recreational experiences be improved?

I think rocketry and motocycling most conflict with primary recreation opportunities - nature based.

HABITAT MANAGEMENT (see the summary or pages 30-45 of the draft master plan for more information).

- Regarding the mix of habitats proposed to be restored and managed, I think:
 - The mix of habitats proposed to be restored and managed is appropriate.
 - More emphasis should be placed on: _____
 - Less emphasis should be placed on: _____
- Regarding the mix of management techniques proposed to be used, I think:
 - The mix of management techniques proposed is appropriate.
 - More emphasis should be placed on: _____
 - Less emphasis should be placed on: _____
 - These management techniques should not be used: _____
- What other comments do you have regarding the proposed restoration and management of habitats? What are your thoughts regarding the proposed habitat management techniques?

Great opportunities for teaching techniques, observing results and hands on restoration of native ecosystems.

CULTURAL AND HISTORIC RESOURCES

(see the summary or pages 80-83 of the draft master plan for information).

7. Regarding the cultural and historic interpretive opportunities proposed, I think:

- The mix of proposed cultural and historic interpretive opportunities is appropriate.
- More emphasis should be placed on: _____
- Less emphasis should be placed on: _____

8. What other comments do you have regarding the proposed cultural and historic interpretive opportunities? What do you think visitors will be most interested in learning about the property?

I like the idea of tying the history to visitors experience.

POTENTIAL OUTCOMES

(see the summary or pages 113-127 of the draft master plan for more information).

9. Environmental, ecological, and economic benefits may occur if the proposed master plan is implemented (e.g., larger populations of rare grassland and savanna birds, more recreational opportunities for nearby residents and visitors, and increased economic activity in the region). In addition, some adverse outcomes may result (e.g., the cost to restore habitats and construct recreation facilities, smoke from the use of prescribed fire to control invasive species, and increased traffic on local roads from visitors).

What are your thoughts on the description of potential effects that may result if the master plan is implemented? Are there potential impacts that are not adequately explained? If so, how should they be described?

Nature based activities should have low impact.

ALTERNATIVES

(see the summary or pages 129-138 of the draft master plan for more information).

10. Different options to achieve the habitat and recreation goals for the property were evaluated. What are your thoughts on the alternatives evaluated but not included in the final draft? Are there alternatives not selected that should be included in the proposed management plan? If so, which ones and why?

None.

FEEDBACK ON THE OVERALL DRAFT MASTER PLAN.

11. In developing the draft master plan, the DNR sought to balance recreation experiences (including education and interpretation of the property) and the conservation of native habitats at Sauk Prairie Recreation Area. How do you view the balance between recreation and conservation in the proposed master plan?
- Far too much emphasis on recreation
 - Somewhat too much emphasis on recreation
 - About the right balance between conservation and recreation
 - Somewhat too much emphasis on conservation
 - Far too much emphasis on conservation

12. What are your overall thoughts on the draft master plan?
Overall - good, minor adjustments to avoid conflict of use.

13. There are many aspects to this proposed master plan. Although the Department attempted to describe the proposed recreational use and habitat management clearly, there may be portions that are confusing or unclear. What parts of the master plan are confusing, missing, or need further explanation? What questions do you have?
Mostly clear.

VISITING SAUK PRAIRIE RECREATION AREA.

Although SPRA opened to the public in April 2015, the property will be in a transition phase for many years to come. Other than trails on some of the former roads, currently there are no amenities for visitors. The Department will initially focus its limited staff and funds on continuing to clean up rubble and debris, filling the worst of the potholes, placing boundary signs, and conducting other tasks to ensure that visitors have a safe experience.

14. Do you anticipate visiting the Sauk Prairie Recreation Area over the next 2 or 3 years?

- Yes
- No
- Don't know

15. If "Yes" in which of the following recreation activities are you likely to participate? (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)

- | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Hiking or walking | <input type="checkbox"/> Photography |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Recreational biking | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General sightseeing |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Horseback riding | <input type="checkbox"/> Automobile driving |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Hunting | <input type="checkbox"/> Cross country skiing or snowshoeing |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Deer | <input type="checkbox"/> Snowmobiling |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Pheasant | <input type="checkbox"/> Dual-sport motorcycle riding |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Turkey | <input type="checkbox"/> Rocketry |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Small game | <input type="checkbox"/> "Fat tire" bike riding |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> Running or conditioning |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Trapping | <input type="checkbox"/> Geocaching |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Bird and other wildlife watching | <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ |

16. If "No" which of the following might be reasons for not visiting? (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)

- | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> No interest | <input type="checkbox"/> I am concerned about safety |
| <input type="checkbox"/> I intend to wait until more recreation opportunities are available | <input type="checkbox"/> I don't live in the area |
| <input type="checkbox"/> I don't participate in the activities that are proposed here | <input type="checkbox"/> Don't know |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ |

17. What recreation opportunities should the DNR and partners focus on providing in the next 3 years?

Nature based.

FINAL THOUGHTS AND IDEAS.

18. What additional thoughts or comments would you like to share?
We have a great opportunity here. History, outdoor activities, ecosystem restoration. If we are able to balance these, it will be a valuable asset to all people and the "land."

Zip code of primary residence: 53951 Name (Optional*): Jeff Johnson

* The Department will scan all responses, in their entirety (including names), and post on its web site following the end of the public comment period so that everyone can read all of the comments received.
Thank you!

What are the next steps?

Following the conclusion of the public review period for the draft master plan and environmental impact statement (EIS), the Department will evaluate all the comments received and summarize them in a document that will be posted on the DNR's web site. In addition, all responses, letters, emails, and other communications received will be posted in their entirety on the web site.

As with most draft master plans, the Department expects to receive a range of comments and suggested changes. Some people may note errors and mistakes, while others may seek clarifying explanations or revisions to confusing sections. Others may request changes to the substance of the draft plan – for example, different locations for facilities, expanded or reduced opportunities for particular recreation activities, or different habitat outcomes or management strategies. Department staff will carefully review these suggestions and requests and will revise the document as needed.

An accompanying document to the revised draft master plan and EIS will summarize the changes that were made based on the public comments. If requested changes are not included in the revised version of the draft master plan and EIS, the accompanying document will provide an explanation for why the proposed modifications were not incorporated.

If the public comments received on the draft document lead the Department to significantly change the proposed master plan or assessment of impacts, the Department may conclude that this revised document should again be presented to the public for a second review. However, if the modifications to the draft master plan and EIS are not substantial, then the revised document (along with the summary of comments received and the explanation of modifications to the document) will be forwarded to the Natural Resources Board for their consideration. The public can provide additional comments directly to the Board as they review the draft plan.

How to participate in the final phase of the development of the Sauk Prairie Recreation Area master plan:

- Review the draft Master Plan and Environmental Impact Statement.

An on-line version is available at the DNR's web site (dnr.wi.gov), search for keyword: *Sauk Prairie Recreation Area*. Printed copies are available at the Sauk City, Prairie du Sac, and Baraboo libraries.

- Attend the public hearing.

A hearing for the Department to receive comments from the public on the draft master plan will be held **September 10, 2015 from 5:00 to 8:00 p.m. at the River Arts Center (105 Ninth Street, Prairie du Sac)**. Materials describing the proposed master plan will be available for review starting at 4:00 p.m. In addition, DNR staff will give a short overview of the proposed master plan at 5:00 p.m.

- Submit comments by **September 25, 2015**.

ON-LINE SURVEY (same as this survey):

dnr.wi.gov and search for "Sauk Prairie Recreation Area"

MAILING ADDRESS:

John Pohlman – LF/6
Wisconsin DNR
P.O. Box 7921
Madison, WI 53707-7921

EMAIL:

DNRsaukprairie@wisconsin.gov

Thank you for your interest in Sauk Prairie Recreation Area.

PUBLIC INPUT on the draft master plan and environmental impact statement for:

SAUK PRAIRIE RECREATION AREA

This document is designed to gather public feedback and comments on the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources' draft Sauk Prairie Recreation Area (SPRA) master plan and environmental impact statement, which can be found at the DNR web site: dnr.wi.gov and search for "Sauk Prairie Recreation Area."

FEEDBACK ON SPECIFIC PARTS OF THE DRAFT MASTER PLAN.

RECREATION (see the summary or pages 17-29 of the draft master plan for more information).

1. Regarding the proposed mix of recreation activities, I think:
 The mix of recreation activities proposed is appropriate.
 The following recreation activities should be added: _____
 The following recreation activities should be removed: _____

2. Regarding the proposed amount of opportunities for different recreation activities, I think:
 The amount of recreation opportunities proposed is about right.
 There should be more opportunities for these activities: DUAL PURPOSE OR BIAT BIKES
 There should be fewer opportunities for these activities: _____

3. What other comments do you have regarding the proposed recreation activities? How could the proposed recreational experiences be improved?
I BELIEVE THAT THERE IS PLENTY OF ROOM FOR EVERYONE TO ENJOY THEIR ACTIVITY WITHOUT AFFECTING OTHERS.

HABITAT MANAGEMENT (see the summary or pages 30-45 of the draft master plan for more information).

4. Regarding the mix of habitats proposed to be restored and managed, I think:
 The mix of habitats proposed to be restored and managed is appropriate.
 More emphasis should be placed on: _____
 Less emphasis should be placed on: _____

5. Regarding the mix of management techniques proposed to be used, I think:
 The mix of management techniques proposed is appropriate.
 More emphasis should be placed on: _____
 Less emphasis should be placed on: _____
 These management techniques should not be used: _____

6. What other comments do you have regarding the proposed restoration and management of habitats? What are your thoughts regarding the proposed habitat management techniques?

CULTURAL AND HISTORIC RESOURCES

(see the summary or pages 80-83 of the draft master plan for information).

7. Regarding the cultural and historic interpretive opportunities proposed, I think:
- The mix of proposed cultural and historic interpretive opportunities is appropriate.
 - More emphasis should be placed on: _____
 - Less emphasis should be placed on: _____
8. What other comments do you have regarding the proposed cultural and historic interpretive opportunities? What do you think visitors will be most interested in learning about the property?
- _____
- _____

POTENTIAL OUTCOMES

(see the summary or pages 113-127 of the draft master plan for more information).

9. Environmental, ecological, and economic benefits may occur if the proposed master plan is implemented (e.g., larger populations of rare grassland and savanna birds, more recreational opportunities for nearby residents and visitors, and increased economic activity in the region). In addition, some adverse outcomes may result (e.g., the cost to restore habitats and construct recreation facilities, smoke from the use of prescribed fire to control invasive species, and increased traffic on local roads from visitors).
- What are your thoughts on the description of potential effects that may result if the master plan is implemented? Are there potential impacts that are not adequately explained? If so, how should they be described?
- _____
- _____

ALTERNATIVES

(see the summary or pages 129-138 of the draft master plan for more information).

10. Different options to achieve the habitat and recreation goals for the property were evaluated. What are your thoughts on the alternatives evaluated but not included in the final draft? Are there alternatives not selected that should be included in the proposed management plan? If so, which ones and why?
- _____
- _____

FEEDBACK ON THE OVERALL DRAFT MASTER PLAN.

11. In developing the draft master plan, the DNR sought to balance recreation experiences (including education and interpretation of the property) and the conservation of native habitats at Sauk Prairie Recreation Area. How do you view the balance between recreation and conservation in the proposed master plan?
- Far too much emphasis on recreation
 - Somewhat too much emphasis on recreation
 - About the right balance between conservation and recreation
 - Somewhat too much emphasis on conservation
 - Far too much emphasis on conservation
12. What are your overall thoughts on the draft master plan?
- _____
- _____
13. There are many aspects to this proposed master plan. Although the Department attempted to describe the proposed recreational use and habitat management clearly, there may be portions that are confusing or unclear. What parts of the master plan are confusing, missing, or need further explanation? What questions do you have?
- _____
- _____

VISITING SAUK PRAIRIE RECREATION AREA.

Although SPRA opened to the public in April 2015, the property will be in a transition phase for many years to come. Other than trails on some of the former roads, currently there are no amenities for visitors. The Department will initially focus its limited staff and funds on continuing to clean up rubble and debris, filling the worst of the potholes, placing boundary signs, and conducting other tasks to ensure that visitors have a safe experience.

14. Do you anticipate visiting the Sauk Prairie Recreation Area over the next 2 or 3 years?
- Yes
 - No
 - Don't know
15. If "Yes" in which of the following recreation activities are you likely to participate? (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)
- | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Hiking or walking | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Photography |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Recreational biking | <input type="checkbox"/> General sightseeing |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Horseback riding | <input type="checkbox"/> Automobile driving |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Hunting | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cross country skiing or snowshoeing |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Deer | <input type="checkbox"/> Snowmobiling |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pheasant | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Dual-sport motorcycle riding |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Turkey | <input type="checkbox"/> Rocketry |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Small game | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> "Fat tire" bike riding |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> Running or conditioning |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Trapping | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Geocaching |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Bird and other wildlife watching | <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ |
16. If "No" which of the following might be reasons for not visiting? (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)
- | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> No interest | <input type="checkbox"/> I am concerned about safety |
| <input type="checkbox"/> I intend to wait until more recreation opportunities are available | <input type="checkbox"/> I don't live in the area |
| <input type="checkbox"/> I don't participate in the activities that are proposed here | <input type="checkbox"/> Don't know |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ |
17. What recreation opportunities should the DNR and partners focus on providing in the next 3 years?
- _____
- _____

FINAL THOUGHTS AND IDEAS.

18. What additional thoughts or comments would you like to share?
- IF PEOPLE ARE AGAINST MOTORIZED SPORT USE DUE TO NOISE LEVELS THEN THEY SHOULD CONTACT STATE LEGISLATORS ABOUT NOISE LEVELS OF MOTORCYCLES ON OUR HIGHWAYS.
- Zip code of primary residence: 53583 Name (Optional*): LARRY WALKINSON

* The Department will scan all responses, in their entirety (including names), and post on its web site following the end of the public comment period so that everyone can read all of the comments received.
Thank you!

What are the next steps?

Following the conclusion of the public review period for the draft master plan and environmental impact statement (EIS), the Department will evaluate all the comments received and summarize them in a document that will be posted on the DNR's web site. In addition, all responses, letters, emails, and other communications received will be posted in their entirety on the web site.

As with most draft master plans, the Department expects to receive a range of comments and suggested changes. Some people may note errors and mistakes, while others may seek clarifying explanations or revisions to confusing sections. Others may request changes to the substance of the draft plan – for example, different locations for facilities, expanded or reduced opportunities for particular recreation activities, or different habitat outcomes or management strategies. Department staff will carefully review these suggestions and requests and will revise the document as needed.

An accompanying document to the revised draft master plan and EIS will summarize the changes that were made based on the public comments. If requested changes are not included in the revised version of the draft master plan and EIS, the accompanying document will provide an explanation for why the proposed modifications were not incorporated.

If the public comments received on the draft document lead the Department to significantly change the proposed master plan or assessment of impacts, the Department may conclude that this revised document should again be presented to the public for a second review. However, if the modifications to the draft master plan and EIS are not substantial, then the revised document (along with the summary of comments received and the explanation of modifications to the document) will be forwarded to the Natural Resources Board for their consideration. The public can provide additional comments directly to the Board as they review the draft plan.

How to participate in the final phase of the development of the Sauk Prairie Recreation Area master plan:

- Review the draft Master Plan and Environmental Impact Statement.

An on-line version is available at the DNR's web site (dnr.wi.gov), search for keyword: *Sauk Prairie Recreation Area*. Printed copies are available at the Sauk City, Prairie du Sac, and Baraboo libraries.

- Attend the public hearing.

A hearing for the Department to receive comments from the public on the draft master plan will be held **September 10, 2015 from 5:00 to 8:00 p.m. at the River Arts Center (105 Ninth Street, Prairie du Sac)**. Materials describing the proposed master plan will be available for review starting at 4:00 p.m. In addition, DNR staff will give a short overview of the proposed master plan at 5:00 p.m.

- Submit comments by **September 25, 2015**.

ON-LINE SURVEY (same as this survey):

dnr.wi.gov and search for "Sauk Prairie Recreation Area"

MAILING ADDRESS:

John Pohlman – LF/6
Wisconsin DNR
P.O. Box 7921
Madison, WI 53707-7921

EMAIL:

DNRsaukprairie@wisconsin.gov

Thank you for your interest in Sauk Prairie Recreation Area.

PUBLIC INPUT on the draft master plan and environmental impact statement for:

SAUK PRAIRIE RECREATION AREA

This document is designed to gather public feedback and comments on the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources' draft Sauk Prairie Recreation Area (SPRA) master plan and environmental impact statement, which can be found at the DNR web site: dnr.wi.gov and search for "Sauk Prairie Recreation Area."

FEEDBACK ON SPECIFIC PARTS OF THE DRAFT MASTER PLAN.

RECREATION

(see the summary or pages 17-29 of the draft master plan for more information).

1. Regarding the proposed mix of recreation activities, I think:
 The mix of recreation activities proposed is appropriate.
 The following recreation activities should be added: hiking, biking, horseback riding
 The following recreation activities should be removed: rockets, ATV, motorcycles, shooting range
2. Regarding the proposed amount of opportunities for different recreation activities, I think:
 The amount of recreation opportunities proposed is about right.
 There should be more opportunities for these activities: _____
 There should be fewer opportunities for these activities: _____
3. What other comments do you have regarding the proposed recreation activities? How could the proposed recreational experiences be improved?

HABITAT MANAGEMENT

(see the summary or pages 30-45 of the draft master plan for more information).

4. Regarding the mix of habitats proposed to be restored and managed, I think:
 The mix of habitats proposed to be restored and managed is appropriate.
 More emphasis should be placed on: low impact
 Less emphasis should be placed on: _____
5. Regarding the mix of management techniques proposed to be used, I think:
 The mix of management techniques proposed is appropriate.
 More emphasis should be placed on: _____
 Less emphasis should be placed on: _____
 These management techniques should not be used: _____
6. What other comments do you have regarding the proposed restoration and management of habitats? What are your thoughts regarding the proposed habitat management techniques?

CULTURAL AND HISTORIC RESOURCES

(see the summary or pages 80-83 of the draft master plan for information).

7. Regarding the cultural and historic interpretive opportunities proposed, I think:
- The mix of proposed cultural and historic interpretive opportunities is appropriate.
 - More emphasis should be placed on: _____
 - Less emphasis should be placed on: _____
8. What other comments do you have regarding the proposed cultural and historic interpretive opportunities? What do you think visitors will be most interested in learning about the property?
- _____
- _____

POTENTIAL OUTCOMES

(see the summary or pages 113-127 of the draft master plan for more information).

9. Environmental, ecological, and economic benefits may occur if the proposed master plan is implemented (e.g., larger populations of rare grassland and savanna birds, more recreational opportunities for nearby residents and visitors, and increased economic activity in the region). In addition, some adverse outcomes may result (e.g., the cost to restore habitats and construct recreation facilities, smoke from the use of prescribed fire to control invasive species, and increased traffic on local roads from visitors).
- What are your thoughts on the description of potential effects that may result if the master plan is implemented? Are there potential impacts that are not adequately explained? If so, how should they be described?
- _____
- _____

ALTERNATIVES

(see the summary or pages 129-138 of the draft master plan for more information).

10. Different options to achieve the habitat and recreation goals for the property were evaluated. What are your thoughts on the alternatives evaluated but not included in the final draft? Are there alternatives not selected that should be included in the proposed management plan? If so, which ones and why?
- _____
- _____

FEEDBACK ON THE OVERALL DRAFT MASTER PLAN.

11. In developing the draft master plan, the DNR sought to balance recreation experiences (including education and interpretation of the property) and the conservation of native habitats at Sauk Prairie Recreation Area. How do you view the balance between recreation and conservation in the proposed master plan?
- Far too much emphasis on recreation
 - Somewhat too much emphasis on recreation
 - About the right balance between conservation and recreation
 - Somewhat too much emphasis on conservation
 - Far too much emphasis on conservation
12. What are your overall thoughts on the draft master plan?
- _____
- _____
13. There are many aspects to this proposed master plan. Although the Department attempted to describe the proposed recreational use and habitat management clearly, there may be portions that are confusing or unclear. What parts of the master plan are confusing, missing, or need further explanation? What questions do you have?
- _____
- _____

VISITING SAUK PRAIRIE RECREATION AREA.

Although SPRA opened to the public in April 2015, the property will be in a transition phase for many years to come. Other than trails on some of the former roads, currently there are no amenities for visitors. The Department will initially focus its limited staff and funds on continuing to clean up rubble and debris, filling the worst of the potholes, placing boundary signs, and conducting other tasks to ensure that visitors have a safe experience.

14. Do you anticipate visiting the Sauk Prairie Recreation Area over the next 2 or 3 years?
- Yes
 - No
 - Don't know
15. If "Yes" in which of the following recreation activities are you likely to participate? (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)
- | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Hiking or walking | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Photography |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Recreational biking | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General sightseeing |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Horseback riding | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Automobile driving |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Hunting | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cross country skiing or snowshoeing |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Deer | <input type="checkbox"/> Snowmobiling |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Pheasant | <input type="checkbox"/> Dual-sport motorcycle riding |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Turkey | <input type="checkbox"/> Rocketry |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Small game | <input type="checkbox"/> "Fat tire" bike riding |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> Running or conditioning |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Trapping | <input type="checkbox"/> Geocaching |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Bird and other wildlife watching | <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ |
16. If "No" which of the following might be reasons for not visiting? (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)
- No interest
 - I intend to wait until more recreation opportunities are available
 - I don't participate in the activities that are proposed here
 - I am concerned about safety
 - I don't live in the area
 - Don't know
 - Other _____

17. What recreation opportunities should the DNR and partners focus on providing in the next 3 years?
- _____
- _____

FINAL THOUGHTS AND IDEAS.

18. What additional thoughts or comments would you like to share?
- _____
- _____
- _____

Zip code of primary residence: 53578 Name (Optional*): Linda Mittenzweil

* The Department will scan all responses, in their entirety (including names), and post on its web site following the end of the public comment period so that everyone can read all of the comments received.
Thank you!

What are the next steps?

Following the conclusion of the public review period for the draft master plan and environmental impact statement (EIS), the Department will evaluate all the comments received and summarize them in a document that will be posted on the DNR's web site. In addition, all responses, letters, emails, and other communications received will be posted in their entirety on the web site.

As with most draft master plans, the Department expects to receive a range of comments and suggested changes. Some people may note errors and mistakes, while others may seek clarifying explanations or revisions to confusing sections. Others may request changes to the substance of the draft plan – for example, different locations for facilities, expanded or reduced opportunities for particular recreation activities, or different habitat outcomes or management strategies. Department staff will carefully review these suggestions and requests and will revise the document as needed.

An accompanying document to the revised draft master plan and EIS will summarize the changes that were made based on the public comments. If requested changes are not included in the revised version of the draft master plan and EIS, the accompanying document will provide an explanation for why the proposed modifications were not incorporated.

If the public comments received on the draft document lead the Department to significantly change the proposed master plan or assessment of impacts, the Department may conclude that this revised document should again be presented to the public for a second review. However, if the modifications to the draft master plan and EIS are not substantial, then the revised document (along with the summary of comments received and the explanation of modifications to the document) will be forwarded to the Natural Resources Board for their consideration. The public can provide additional comments directly to the Board as they review the draft plan.

How to participate in the final phase of the development of the Sauk Prairie Recreation Area master plan:

- Review the draft Master Plan and Environmental Impact Statement.

An on-line version is available at the DNR's web site (dnr.wi.gov), search for keyword: *Sauk Prairie Recreation Area*. Printed copies are available at the Sauk City, Prairie du Sac, and Baraboo libraries.

- Attend the public hearing.

A hearing for the Department to receive comments from the public on the draft master plan will be held **September 10, 2015 from 5:00 to 8:00 p.m. at the River Arts Center (105 Ninth Street, Prairie du Sac)**. Materials describing the proposed master plan will be available for review starting at 4:00 p.m. In addition, DNR staff will give a short overview of the proposed master plan at 5:00 p.m.

- Submit comments by **September 25, 2015**.

ON-LINE SURVEY (same as this survey):

dnr.wi.gov and search for "Sauk Prairie Recreation Area"

MAILING ADDRESS:

John Pohlman – LF/6
Wisconsin DNR
P.O. Box 7921
Madison, WI 53707-7921

EMAIL:

DNRsaukprairie@wisconsin.gov

Thank you for your interest in Sauk Prairie Recreation Area.

PUBLIC INPUT on the draft master plan and environmental impact statement for:

SAUK PRAIRIE RECREATION AREA

This document is designed to gather public feedback and comments on the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources' draft Sauk Prairie Recreation Area (SPRA) master plan and environmental impact statement, which can be found at the DNR web site: dnr.wi.gov and search for "Sauk Prairie Recreation Area."

FEEDBACK ON SPECIFIC PARTS OF THE DRAFT MASTER PLAN.

RECREATION

(see the summary or pages 17-29 of the draft master plan for more information).

1. Regarding the proposed mix of recreation activities, I think:
 - The mix of recreation activities proposed is appropriate.
 - The following recreation activities should be added: Equestrian, bicycles
 - The following recreation activities should be removed: Rockets, motorcycles & ATVs, ^{shooting} Range
2. Regarding the proposed amount of opportunities for different recreation activities, I think:
 - The amount of recreation opportunities proposed is about right.
 - There should be more opportunities for these activities: equestrian, bicycles, hiking
 - There should be fewer opportunities for these activities: _____
3. What other comments do you have regarding the proposed recreation activities? How could the proposed recreational experiences be improved?

HABITAT MANAGEMENT

(see the summary or pages 30-45 of the draft master plan for more information).

4. Regarding the mix of habitats proposed to be restored and managed, I think:
 - The mix of habitats proposed to be restored and managed is appropriate.
 - More emphasis should be placed on: _____
 - Less emphasis should be placed on: _____
5. Regarding the mix of management techniques proposed to be used, I think:
 - The mix of management techniques proposed is appropriate.
 - More emphasis should be placed on: _____
 - Less emphasis should be placed on: _____
 - These management techniques should not be used: _____
6. What other comments do you have regarding the proposed restoration and management of habitats? What are your thoughts regarding the proposed habitat management techniques?

CULTURAL AND HISTORIC RESOURCES

(see the summary or pages 80-83 of the draft master plan for information).

7. Regarding the cultural and historic interpretive opportunities proposed, I think:

- The mix of proposed cultural and historic interpretive opportunities is appropriate.
- More emphasis should be placed on: _____
- Less emphasis should be placed on: _____

8. What other comments do you have regarding the proposed cultural and historic interpretive opportunities? What do you think visitors will be most interested in learning about the property?

POTENTIAL OUTCOMES

(see the summary or pages 113-127 of the draft master plan for more information).

9. Environmental, ecological, and economic benefits may occur if the proposed master plan is implemented (e.g., larger populations of rare grassland and savanna birds, more recreational opportunities for nearby residents and visitors, and increased economic activity in the region). In addition, some adverse outcomes may result (e.g., the cost to restore habitats and construct recreation facilities, smoke from the use of prescribed fire to control invasive species, and increased traffic on local roads from visitors).

What are your thoughts on the description of potential effects that may result if the master plan is implemented? Are there potential impacts that are not adequately explained? If so, how should they be described?

ALTERNATIVES

(see the summary or pages 129-138 of the draft master plan for more information).

10. Different options to achieve the habitat and recreation goals for the property were evaluated. What are your thoughts on the alternatives evaluated but not included in the final draft? Are there alternatives not selected that should be included in the proposed management plan? If so, which ones and why?

FEEDBACK ON THE OVERALL DRAFT MASTER PLAN.

- 11. In developing the draft master plan, the DNR sought to balance recreation experiences (including education and interpretation of the property) and the conservation of native habitats at Sauk Prairie Recreation Area. How do you view the balance between recreation and conservation in the proposed master plan?
 - Far too much emphasis on recreation
 - Somewhat too much emphasis on recreation
 - About the right balance between conservation and recreation
 - Somewhat too much emphasis on conservation
 - Far too much emphasis on conservation

12. What are your overall thoughts on the draft master plan?

not familiar with it

13. There are many aspects to this proposed master plan. Although the Department attempted to describe the proposed recreational use and habitat management clearly, there may be portions that are confusing or unclear. What parts of the master plan are confusing, missing, or need further explanation? What questions do you have?

VISITING SAUK PRAIRIE RECREATION AREA.

Although SPRA opened to the public in April 2015, the property will be in a transition phase for many years to come. Other than trails on some of the former roads, currently there are no amenities for visitors. The Department will initially focus its limited staff and funds on continuing to clean up rubble and debris, filling the worst of the potholes, placing boundary signs, and conducting other tasks to ensure that visitors have a safe experience.

14. Do you anticipate visiting the Sauk Prairie Recreation Area over the next 2 or 3 years?

- Yes
- No
- Don't know

15. If "Yes" in which of the following recreation activities are you likely to participate? (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)

- | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Hiking or walking | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Photography |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Recreational biking | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General sightseeing |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Horseback riding | <input type="checkbox"/> Automobile driving |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Hunting | <input type="checkbox"/> Cross country skiing or snowshoeing |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Deer | <input type="checkbox"/> Snowmobiling |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Pheasant | <input type="checkbox"/> Dual-sport motorcycle riding |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Turkey | <input type="checkbox"/> Rocketry |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Small game | <input type="checkbox"/> "Fat tire" bike riding |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> Running or conditioning |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Trapping | <input type="checkbox"/> Geocaching |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Bird and other wildlife watching | <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ |

16. If "No" which of the following might be reasons for not visiting? (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)

- | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> No interest | <input type="checkbox"/> I am concerned about safety |
| <input type="checkbox"/> I intend to wait until more recreation opportunities are available | <input type="checkbox"/> I don't live in the area |
| <input type="checkbox"/> I don't participate in the activities that are proposed here | <input type="checkbox"/> Don't know |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ |

17. What recreation opportunities should the DNR and partners focus on providing in the next 3 years?

FINAL THOUGHTS AND IDEAS.

18. What additional thoughts or comments would you like to share?

Zip code of primary residence: 53578 Name (Optional*): _____

* The Department will scan all responses, in their entirety (including names), and post on its web site following the end of the public comment period so that everyone can read all of the comments received.
Thank you!

What are the next steps?

Following the conclusion of the public review period for the draft master plan and environmental impact statement (EIS), the Department will evaluate all the comments received and summarize them in a document that will be posted on the DNR's web site. In addition, all responses, letters, emails, and other communications received will be posted in their entirety on the web site.

As with most draft master plans, the Department expects to receive a range of comments and suggested changes. Some people may note errors and mistakes, while others may seek clarifying explanations or revisions to confusing sections. Others may request changes to the substance of the draft plan – for example, different locations for facilities, expanded or reduced opportunities for particular recreation activities, or different habitat outcomes or management strategies. Department staff will carefully review these suggestions and requests and will revise the document as needed.

An accompanying document to the revised draft master plan and EIS will summarize the changes that were made based on the public comments. If requested changes are not included in the revised version of the draft master plan and EIS, the accompanying document will provide an explanation for why the proposed modifications were not incorporated.

If the public comments received on the draft document lead the Department to significantly change the proposed master plan or assessment of impacts, the Department may conclude that this revised document should again be presented to the public for a second review. However, if the modifications to the draft master plan and EIS are not substantial, then the revised document (along with the summary of comments received and the explanation of modifications to the document) will be forwarded to the Natural Resources Board for their consideration. The public can provide additional comments directly to the Board as they review the draft plan.

How to participate in the final phase of the development of the Sauk Prairie Recreation Area master plan:

- Review the draft Master Plan and Environmental Impact Statement.

An on-line version is available at the DNR's web site (dnr.wi.gov), search for keyword: *Sauk Prairie Recreation Area*. Printed copies are available at the Sauk City, Prairie du Sac, and Baraboo libraries.

- Attend the public hearing.

A hearing for the Department to receive comments from the public on the draft master plan will be held **September 10, 2015 from 5:00 to 8:00 p.m. at the River Arts Center (105 Ninth Street, Prairie du Sac)**. Materials describing the proposed master plan will be available for review starting at 4:00 p.m. In addition, DNR staff will give a short overview of the proposed master plan at 5:00 p.m.

- Submit comments by **September 25, 2015**.

ON-LINE SURVEY (same as this survey):
dnr.wi.gov and search for "Sauk Prairie Recreation Area"

MAILING ADDRESS:
John Pohlman – LF/6
Wisconsin DNR
P.O. Box 7921
Madison, WI 53707-7921

EMAIL:
DNRsaukprairie@wisconsin.gov

Thank you for your interest in Sauk Prairie Recreation Area.

PUBLIC INPUT on the draft master plan and environmental impact statement for:

SAUK PRAIRIE RECREATION AREA

This document is designed to gather public feedback and comments on the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources' draft Sauk Prairie Recreation Area (SPRA) master plan and environmental impact statement, which can be found at the DNR web site: dnr.wi.gov and search for "Sauk Prairie Recreation Area."

FEEDBACK ON SPECIFIC PARTS OF THE DRAFT MASTER PLAN.

RECREATION (see the summary or pages 17-29 of the draft master plan for more information).

- Regarding the proposed mix of recreation activities, I think:
 - The mix of recreation activities proposed is appropriate.
 - The following recreation activities should be added: _____
 - The following recreation activities should be removed: MOTORCYCLE USE / ROCKETRY USE
- Regarding the proposed amount of opportunities for different recreation activities, I think:
 - The amount of recreation opportunities proposed is about right.
 - There should be more opportunities for these activities: _____
 - There should be fewer opportunities for these activities: _____
- What other comments do you have regarding the proposed recreation activities? How could the proposed recreational experiences be improved?

SNOWMOBILES SHOULD BE LIMITED TO CURRENT TRAILS ON THE EAST SIDE OF SPRA
MOTORCYCLES WILL BE EXTREMELY DESTRUCTIVE OF HIKE/BIKE TRAILS

HABITAT MANAGEMENT (see the summary or pages 30-45 of the draft master plan for more information).

- Regarding the mix of habitats proposed to be restored and managed, I think:
 - The mix of habitats proposed to be restored and managed is appropriate.
 - More emphasis should be placed on: _____
 - Less emphasis should be placed on: _____
- Regarding the mix of management techniques proposed to be used, I think:
 - The mix of management techniques proposed is appropriate.
 - More emphasis should be placed on: _____
 - Less emphasis should be placed on: _____
 - These management techniques should not be used: _____
- What other comments do you have regarding the proposed restoration and management of habitats? What are your thoughts regarding the proposed habitat management techniques?

CULTURAL AND HISTORIC RESOURCES

(see the summary or pages 80-83 of the draft master plan for information).

- 7. Regarding the cultural and historic interpretive opportunities proposed, I think:
 - The mix of proposed cultural and historic interpretive opportunities is appropriate.
 - More emphasis should be placed on: _____
 - Less emphasis should be placed on: _____
- 8. What other comments do you have regarding the proposed cultural and historic interpretive opportunities? What do you think visitors will be most interested in learning about the property?

POTENTIAL OUTCOMES

(see the summary or pages 113-127 of the draft master plan for more information).

- 9. Environmental, ecological, and economic benefits may occur if the proposed master plan is implemented (e.g., larger populations of rare grassland and savanna birds, more recreational opportunities for nearby residents and visitors, and increased economic activity in the region). In addition, some adverse outcomes may result (e.g., the cost to restore habitats and construct recreation facilities, smoke from the use of prescribed fire to control invasive species, and increased traffic on local roads from visitors).

What are your thoughts on the description of potential effects that may result if the master plan is implemented? Are there potential impacts that are not adequately explained? If so, how should they be described?

ALTERNATIVES

(see the summary or pages 129-138 of the draft master plan for more information).

- 10. Different options to achieve the habitat and recreation goals for the property were evaluated. What are your thoughts on the alternatives evaluated but not included in the final draft? Are there alternatives not selected that should be included in the proposed management plan? If so, which ones and why?

AGAIN, MOTORIZED TRAILS/USE SHOULD NOT BE CONSIDERED

FEEDBACK ON THE OVERALL DRAFT MASTER PLAN.

- 11. In developing the draft master plan, the DNR sought to balance recreation experiences (including education and interpretation of the property) and the conservation of native habitats at Sauk Prairie Recreation Area. How do you view the balance between recreation and conservation in the proposed master plan?
 - Far too much emphasis on recreation
 - Somewhat too much emphasis on recreation
 - About the right balance between conservation and recreation
 - Somewhat too much emphasis on conservation
 - Far too much emphasis on conservation
- 12. What are your overall thoughts on the draft master plan?

WHY DO YOU KEEP TRYING TO SNEEK IN

HI IMPACT USES IN CONTRADICTION TO ORIGINAL PLAN??
- 13. There are many aspects to this proposed master plan. Although the Department attempted to describe the proposed recreational use and habitat management clearly, there may be portions that are confusing or unclear. What parts of the master plan are confusing, missing, or need further explanation? What questions do you have?

VISITING SAUK PRAIRIE RECREATION AREA.

Although SPRA opened to the public in April 2015, the property will be in a transition phase for many years to come. Other than trails on some of the former roads, currently there are no amenities for visitors. The Department will initially focus its limited staff and funds on continuing to clean up rubble and debris, filling the worst of the potholes, placing boundary signs, and conducting other tasks to ensure that visitors have a safe experience.

- 14. Do you anticipate visiting the Sauk Prairie Recreation Area over the next 2 or 3 years?
 - Yes
 - No
 - Don't know
- 15. If "Yes" in which of the following recreation activities are you likely to participate? (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Hiking or walking	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Photography
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Recreational biking	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General sightseeing
<input type="checkbox"/> Horseback riding	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Automobile driving
<input type="checkbox"/> Hunting	<input type="checkbox"/> Cross country skiing or snowshoeing
<input type="checkbox"/> Deer	<input type="checkbox"/> Snowmobiling
<input type="checkbox"/> Pheasant	<input type="checkbox"/> Dual-sport motorcycle riding
<input type="checkbox"/> Turkey	<input type="checkbox"/> Rocketry
<input type="checkbox"/> Small game	<input type="checkbox"/> "Fat tire" bike riding
<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	<input type="checkbox"/> Running or conditioning
<input type="checkbox"/> Trapping	<input type="checkbox"/> Geocaching
<input type="checkbox"/> Bird and other wildlife watching	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____
- 16. If "No" which of the following might be reasons for not visiting? (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)

<input type="checkbox"/> No interest	<input type="checkbox"/> I am concerned about safety
<input type="checkbox"/> I intend to wait until more recreation opportunities are available	<input type="checkbox"/> I don't live in the area
<input type="checkbox"/> I don't participate in the activities that are proposed here	<input type="checkbox"/> Don't know
	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____
- 17. What recreation opportunities should the DNR and partners focus on providing in the next 3 years?

BIKE & HIKING TRAILS

FINAL THOUGHTS AND IDEAS.

- 18. What additional thoughts or comments would you like to share?

Zip code of primary residence: 53578 Name (Optional*): KURT EAKLE

* The Department will scan all responses, in their entirety (including names), and post on its web site following the end of the public comment period so that everyone can read all of the comments received.
Thank you!

What are the next steps?

Following the conclusion of the public review period for the draft master plan and environmental impact statement (EIS), the Department will evaluate all the comments received and summarize them in a document that will be posted on the DNR's web site. In addition, all responses, letters, emails, and other communications received will be posted in their entirety on the web site.

As with most draft master plans, the Department expects to receive a range of comments and suggested changes. Some people may note errors and mistakes, while others may seek clarifying explanations or revisions to confusing sections. Others may request changes to the substance of the draft plan – for example, different locations for facilities, expanded or reduced opportunities for particular recreation activities, or different habitat outcomes or management strategies. Department staff will carefully review these suggestions and requests and will revise the document as needed.

An accompanying document to the revised draft master plan and EIS will summarize the changes that were made based on the public comments. If requested changes are not included in the revised version of the draft master plan and EIS, the accompanying document will provide an explanation for why the proposed modifications were not incorporated.

If the public comments received on the draft document lead the Department to significantly change the proposed master plan or assessment of impacts, the Department may conclude that this revised document should again be presented to the public for a second review. However, if the modifications to the draft master plan and EIS are not substantial, then the revised document (along with the summary of comments received and the explanation of modifications to the document) will be forwarded to the Natural Resources Board for their consideration. The public can provide additional comments directly to the Board as they review the draft plan.

How to participate in the final phase of the development of the Sauk Prairie Recreation Area master plan:

- Review the draft Master Plan and Environmental Impact Statement.

An on-line version is available at the DNR's web site (dnr.wi.gov), search for keyword: *Sauk Prairie Recreation Area*. Printed copies are available at the Sauk City, Prairie du Sac, and Baraboo libraries.

- Attend the public hearing.

A hearing for the Department to receive comments from the public on the draft master plan will be held **September 10, 2015 from 5:00 to 8:00 p.m. at the River Arts Center (105 Ninth Street, Prairie du Sac)**. Materials describing the proposed master plan will be available for review starting at 4:00 p.m. In addition, DNR staff will give a short overview of the proposed master plan at 5:00 p.m.

- Submit comments by **September 25, 2015**.

ON-LINE SURVEY (same as this survey):
dnr.wi.gov and search for "Sauk Prairie Recreation Area"

MAILING ADDRESS:
John Pohlman – LF/6
Wisconsin DNR
P.O. Box 7921
Madison, WI 53707-7921

EMAIL:
DNRsaukprairie@wisconsin.gov

Thank you for your interest in Sauk Prairie Recreation Area.

PUBLIC INPUT on the draft master plan and environmental impact statement for:

SAUK PRAIRIE RECREATION AREA

This document is designed to gather public feedback and comments on the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources' draft Sauk Prairie Recreation Area (SPRA) master plan and environmental impact statement, which can be found at the DNR web site: dnr.wi.gov and search for "Sauk Prairie Recreation Area."

FEEDBACK ON SPECIFIC PARTS OF THE DRAFT MASTER PLAN.

RECREATION (see the summary or pages 17-29 of the draft master plan for more information).

1. Regarding the proposed mix of recreation activities, I think:
 The mix of recreation activities proposed is appropriate.
 The following recreation activities should be added: _____
 The following recreation activities should be removed: ATV'S, RACKETRY, SHOOTING RANGE
2. Regarding the proposed amount of opportunities for different recreation activities, I think:
 The amount of recreation opportunities proposed is about right.
 There should be more opportunities for these activities: Education of the General Public Re: Prairie Ecology
 There should be fewer opportunities for these activities: _____
3. What other comments do you have regarding the proposed recreation activities? How could the proposed recreational experiences be improved?

HABITAT MANAGEMENT (see the summary or pages 30-45 of the draft master plan for more information).

4. Regarding the mix of habitats proposed to be restored and managed, I think:
 The mix of habitats proposed to be restored and managed is appropriate.
 More emphasis should be placed on: Maintaining the Oak/Prairie Savannah
 Less emphasis should be placed on: _____
5. Regarding the mix of management techniques proposed to be used, I think:
 The mix of management techniques proposed is appropriate.
 More emphasis should be placed on: _____
 Less emphasis should be placed on: _____
 These management techniques should not be used: _____
6. What other comments do you have regarding the proposed restoration and management of habitats? What are your thoughts regarding the proposed habitat management techniques?

CULTURAL AND HISTORIC RESOURCES

(see the summary or pages 80-83 of the draft master plan for information).

7. Regarding the cultural and historic interpretive opportunities proposed, I think:
- The mix of proposed cultural and historic interpretive opportunities is appropriate.
 - More emphasis should be placed on: Native American Interpretation of Area
 - Less emphasis should be placed on: _____
8. What other comments do you have regarding the proposed cultural and historic interpretive opportunities? What do you think visitors will be most interested in learning about the property?
- _____
- _____

POTENTIAL OUTCOMES

(see the summary or pages 113-127 of the draft master plan for more information).

9. Environmental, ecological, and economic benefits may occur if the proposed master plan is implemented (e.g., larger populations of rare grassland and savanna birds, more recreational opportunities for nearby residents and visitors, and increased economic activity in the region). In addition, some adverse outcomes may result (e.g., the cost to restore habitats and construct recreation facilities, smoke from the use of prescribed fire to control invasive species, and increased traffic on local roads from visitors).

What are your thoughts on the description of potential effects that may result if the master plan is implemented? Are there potential impacts that are not adequately explained? If so, how should they be described?

Impact of increased traffic on ecologically vulnerable flora + fauna species - how to protect the resource while garnering public interest

ALTERNATIVES

(see the summary or pages 129-138 of the draft master plan for more information).

10. Different options to achieve the habitat and recreation goals for the property were evaluated. What are your thoughts on the alternatives evaluated but not included in the final draft? Are there alternatives not selected that should be included in the proposed management plan? If so, which ones and why?

Too many conflicting interests regarding uses for this land - Noise vs those who want quiet, Are ATVs + Rocketry Activities compatible with the wildlife/habitat management plan proposed?

FEEDBACK ON THE OVERALL DRAFT MASTER PLAN.

11. In developing the draft master plan, the DNR sought to balance recreation experiences (including education and interpretation of the property) and the conservation of native habitats at Sauk Prairie Recreation Area. How do you view the balance between recreation and conservation in the proposed master plan?
- Far too much emphasis on recreation
 - Somewhat too much emphasis on recreation
 - About the right balance between conservation and recreation
 - Somewhat too much emphasis on conservation
 - Far too much emphasis on conservation

12. What are your overall thoughts on the draft master plan?

13. There are many aspects to this proposed master plan. Although the Department attempted to describe the proposed recreational use and habitat management clearly, there may be portions that are confusing or unclear. What parts of the master plan are confusing, missing, or need further explanation? What questions do you have?

VISITING SAUK PRAIRIE RECREATION AREA.

Although SPRA opened to the public in April 2015, the property will be in a transition phase for many years to come. Other than trails on some of the former roads, currently there are no amenities for visitors. The Department will initially focus its limited staff and funds on continuing to clean up rubble and debris, filling the worst of the potholes, placing boundary signs, and conducting other tasks to ensure that visitors have a safe experience.

14. Do you anticipate visiting the Sauk Prairie Recreation Area over the next 2 or 3 years?

- Yes
- No
- Don't know

15. If "Yes" in which of the following recreation activities are you likely to participate? (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)

- | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Hiking or walking | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Photography |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Recreational biking | <input type="checkbox"/> General sightseeing |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Horseback riding | <input type="checkbox"/> Automobile driving |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Hunting | <input type="checkbox"/> Cross country skiing or snowshoeing |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Deer | <input type="checkbox"/> Snowmobiling |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Pheasant | <input type="checkbox"/> Dual-sport motorcycle riding |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Turkey | <input type="checkbox"/> Rocketry |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Small game | <input type="checkbox"/> "Fat tire" bike riding |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> Running or conditioning |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Trapping | <input type="checkbox"/> Geocaching |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Bird and other wildlife watching | <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ |

16. If "No" which of the following might be reasons for not visiting? (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)

- | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> No interest | <input type="checkbox"/> I am concerned about safety |
| <input type="checkbox"/> I intend to wait until more recreation opportunities are available | <input type="checkbox"/> I don't live in the area |
| <input type="checkbox"/> I don't participate in the activities that are proposed here | <input type="checkbox"/> Don't know |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ |

17. What recreation opportunities should the DNR and partners focus on providing in the next 3 years?

Non-Impact sort of Activities, such as hiking, bird watching, educational opportunities - such as wildlife viewing, blinds + platforms

FINAL THOUGHTS AND IDEAS.

18. What additional thoughts or comments would you like to share?
- _____
- _____
- _____

Zip code of primary residence: 53937 Name (Optional*): _____

* The Department will scan all responses, in their entirety (including names), and post on its web site following the end of the public comment period so that everyone can read all of the comments received.
Thank you!

What are the next steps?

Following the conclusion of the public review period for the draft master plan and environmental impact statement (EIS), the Department will evaluate all the comments received and summarize them in a document that will be posted on the DNR's web site. In addition, all responses, letters, emails, and other communications received will be posted in their entirety on the web site.

As with most draft master plans, the Department expects to receive a range of comments and suggested changes. Some people may note errors and mistakes, while others may seek clarifying explanations or revisions to confusing sections. Others may request changes to the substance of the draft plan – for example, different locations for facilities, expanded or reduced opportunities for particular recreation activities, or different habitat outcomes or management strategies. Department staff will carefully review these suggestions and requests and will revise the document as needed.

An accompanying document to the revised draft master plan and EIS will summarize the changes that were made based on the public comments. If requested changes are not included in the revised version of the draft master plan and EIS, the accompanying document will provide an explanation for why the proposed modifications were not incorporated.

If the public comments received on the draft document lead the Department to significantly change the proposed master plan or assessment of impacts, the Department may conclude that this revised document should again be presented to the public for a second review. However, if the modifications to the draft master plan and EIS are not substantial, then the revised document (along with the summary of comments received and the explanation of modifications to the document) will be forwarded to the Natural Resources Board for their consideration. The public can provide additional comments directly to the Board as they review the draft plan.

How to participate in the final phase of the development of the Sauk Prairie Recreation Area master plan:

- Review the draft Master Plan and Environmental Impact Statement.

An on-line version is available at the DNR's web site (dnr.wi.gov), search for keyword: *Sauk Prairie Recreation Area*. Printed copies are available at the Sauk City, Prairie du Sac, and Baraboo libraries.

- Attend the public hearing.

A hearing for the Department to receive comments from the public on the draft master plan will be held **September 10, 2015 from 5:00 to 8:00 p.m. at the River Arts Center (105 Ninth Street, Prairie du Sac)**. Materials describing the proposed master plan will be available for review starting at 4:00 p.m. In addition, DNR staff will give a short overview of the proposed master plan at 5:00 p.m.

- Submit comments by **September 25, 2015**.

ON-LINE SURVEY (same as this survey):
dnr.wi.gov and search for "Sauk Prairie Recreation Area"

MAILING ADDRESS:
John Pohlman – LF/6
Wisconsin DNR
P.O. Box 7921
Madison, WI 53707-7921

EMAIL:
DNRsaukprairie@wisconsin.gov

Thank you for your interest in Sauk Prairie Recreation Area.

PUBLIC INPUT on the draft master plan and environmental impact statement for:

SAUK PRAIRIE RECREATION AREA

This document is designed to gather public feedback and comments on the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources' draft Sauk Prairie Recreation Area (SPRA) master plan and environmental impact statement, which can be found at the DNR web site: dnr.wi.gov and search for "Sauk Prairie Recreation Area."

FEEDBACK ON SPECIFIC PARTS OF THE DRAFT MASTER PLAN.

RECREATION (see the summary or pages 17-29 of the draft master plan for more information).

1. Regarding the proposed mix of recreation activities, I think:
 The mix of recreation activities proposed is appropriate.
 The following recreation activities should be added: _____
 The following recreation activities should be removed: ROCKETS, MOTOR BIKES
2. Regarding the proposed amount of opportunities for different recreation activities, I think:
 The amount of recreation opportunities proposed is about right.
 There should be more opportunities for these activities: _____
 There should be fewer opportunities for these activities: _____
3. What other comments do you have regarding the proposed recreation activities? How could the proposed recreational experiences be improved?

HABITAT MANAGEMENT (see the summary or pages 30-45 of the draft master plan for more information).

4. Regarding the mix of habitats proposed to be restored and managed, I think:
 The mix of habitats proposed to be restored and managed is appropriate.
 More emphasis should be placed on: _____
 Less emphasis should be placed on: _____
5. Regarding the mix of management techniques proposed to be used, I think:
 The mix of management techniques proposed is appropriate.
 More emphasis should be placed on: _____
 Less emphasis should be placed on: _____
 These management techniques should not be used: _____
6. What other comments do you have regarding the proposed restoration and management of habitats? What are your thoughts regarding the proposed habitat management techniques?

CULTURAL AND HISTORIC RESOURCES

(see the summary or pages 80-83 of the draft master plan for information).

- 7. Regarding the cultural and historic interpretive opportunities proposed, I think:
 - The mix of proposed cultural and historic interpretive opportunities is appropriate.
 - More emphasis should be placed on: _____
 - Less emphasis should be placed on: _____
- 8. What other comments do you have regarding the proposed cultural and historic interpretive opportunities? What do you think visitors will be most interested in learning about the property?

POTENTIAL OUTCOMES

(see the summary or pages 113-127 of the draft master plan for more information).

- 9. Environmental, ecological, and economic benefits may occur if the proposed master plan is implemented (e.g., larger populations of rare grassland and savanna birds, more recreational opportunities for nearby residents and visitors, and increased economic activity in the region). In addition, some adverse outcomes may result (e.g., the cost to restore habitats and construct recreation facilities, smoke from the use of prescribed fire to control invasive species, and increased traffic on local roads from visitors).

What are your thoughts on the description of potential effects that may result if the master plan is implemented? Are there potential impacts that are not adequately explained? If so, how should they be described?

NOT ADVERSE TO OBTAIN THE END RESULT

ALTERNATIVES

(see the summary or pages 129-138 of the draft master plan for more information).

- 10. Different options to achieve the habitat and recreation goals for the property were evaluated. What are your thoughts on the alternatives evaluated but not included in the final draft? Are there alternatives not selected that should be included in the proposed management plan? If so, which ones and why?

OK TO DO MINIMAL AMOUNT OF MANAGEMENT

WORK AT FIRST

FEEDBACK ON THE OVERALL DRAFT MASTER PLAN.

- 11. In developing the draft master plan, the DNR sought to balance recreation experiences (including education and interpretation of the property) and the conservation of native habitats at Sauk Prairie Recreation Area. How do you view the balance between recreation and conservation in the proposed master plan?
 - Far too much emphasis on recreation
 - Somewhat too much emphasis on recreation
 - About the right balance between conservation and recreation
 - Somewhat too much emphasis on conservation
 - Far too much emphasis on conservation
- 12. What are your overall thoughts on the draft master plan?

I SAY NO TO SHOOTING RANGE, ROCKETS & MOTOR BIKES
- 13. There are many aspects to this proposed master plan. Although the Department attempted to describe the proposed recreational use and habitat management clearly, there may be portions that are confusing or unclear. What parts of the master plan are confusing, missing, or need further explanation? What questions do you have?

NO QUESTIONS

VISITING SAUK PRAIRIE RECREATION AREA.

Although SPRA opened to the public in April 2015, the property will be in a transition phase for many years to come. Other than trails on some of the former roads, currently there are no amenities for visitors. The Department will initially focus its limited staff and funds on continuing to clean up rubble and debris, filling the worst of the potholes, placing boundary signs, and conducting other tasks to ensure that visitors have a safe experience.

- 14. Do you anticipate visiting the Sauk Prairie Recreation Area over the next 2 or 3 years?
 - Yes
 - No
 - Don't know
- 15. If "Yes" in which of the following recreation activities are you likely to participate? (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Hiking or walking	<input type="checkbox"/> Photography
<input type="checkbox"/> Recreational biking	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General sightseeing
<input type="checkbox"/> Horseback riding	<input type="checkbox"/> Automobile driving
<input type="checkbox"/> Hunting	<input type="checkbox"/> Cross country skiing or snowshoeing
<input type="checkbox"/> Deer	<input type="checkbox"/> Snowmobiling
<input type="checkbox"/> Pheasant	<input type="checkbox"/> Dual-sport motorcycle riding
<input type="checkbox"/> Turkey	<input type="checkbox"/> Rocketry
<input type="checkbox"/> Small game	<input type="checkbox"/> "Fat tire" bike riding
<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____	<input type="checkbox"/> Running or conditioning
<input type="checkbox"/> Trapping	<input type="checkbox"/> Geocaching
<input type="checkbox"/> Bird and other wildlife watching	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____
- 16. If "No" which of the following might be reasons for not visiting? (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)

<input type="checkbox"/> No interest	<input type="checkbox"/> I am concerned about safety
<input type="checkbox"/> I intend to wait until more recreation opportunities are available	<input type="checkbox"/> I don't live in the area
<input type="checkbox"/> I don't participate in the activities that are proposed here	<input type="checkbox"/> Don't know
	<input type="checkbox"/> Other _____
- 17. What recreation opportunities should the DNR and partners focus on providing in the next 3 years?

Hiking

FINAL THOUGHTS AND IDEAS.

- 18. What additional thoughts or comments would you like to share?

Zip code of primary residence: 53968 Name (Optional*): GARY DIECK

* The Department will scan all responses, in their entirety (including names), and post on its web site following the end of the public comment period so that everyone can read all of the comments received.
Thank you!

What are the next steps?

Following the conclusion of the public review period for the draft master plan and environmental impact statement (EIS), the Department will evaluate all the comments received and summarize them in a document that will be posted on the DNR's web site. In addition, all responses, letters, emails, and other communications received will be posted in their entirety on the web site.

As with most draft master plans, the Department expects to receive a range of comments and suggested changes. Some people may note errors and mistakes, while others may seek clarifying explanations or revisions to confusing sections. Others may request changes to the substance of the draft plan – for example, different locations for facilities, expanded or reduced opportunities for particular recreation activities, or different habitat outcomes or management strategies. Department staff will carefully review these suggestions and requests and will revise the document as needed.

An accompanying document to the revised draft master plan and EIS will summarize the changes that were made based on the public comments. If requested changes are not included in the revised version of the draft master plan and EIS, the accompanying document will provide an explanation for why the proposed modifications were not incorporated.

If the public comments received on the draft document lead the Department to significantly change the proposed master plan or assessment of impacts, the Department may conclude that this revised document should again be presented to the public for a second review. However, if the modifications to the draft master plan and EIS are not substantial, then the revised document (along with the summary of comments received and the explanation of modifications to the document) will be forwarded to the Natural Resources Board for their consideration. The public can provide additional comments directly to the Board as they review the draft plan.

How to participate in the final phase of the development of the Sauk Prairie Recreation Area master plan:

- Review the draft Master Plan and Environmental Impact Statement.

An on-line version is available at the DNR's web site (dnr.wi.gov), search for keyword: *Sauk Prairie Recreation Area*. Printed copies are available at the Sauk City, Prairie du Sac, and Baraboo libraries.

- Attend the public hearing.

A hearing for the Department to receive comments from the public on the draft master plan will be held **September 10, 2015 from 5:00 to 8:00 p.m. at the River Arts Center (105 Ninth Street, Prairie du Sac)**. Materials describing the proposed master plan will be available for review starting at 4:00 p.m. In addition, DNR staff will give a short overview of the proposed master plan at 5:00 p.m.

- Submit comments by **September 25, 2015**.

ON-LINE SURVEY (same as this survey):

dnr.wi.gov and search for "Sauk Prairie Recreation Area"

MAILING ADDRESS:

John Pohlman – LF/6
Wisconsin DNR
P.O. Box 7921
Madison, WI 53707-7921

EMAIL:

DNRSaukprairie@wisconsin.gov

Thank you for your interest in Sauk Prairie Recreation Area.

PUBLIC INPUT on the draft master plan and environmental impact statement for:

SAUK PRAIRIE RECREATION AREA

This document is designed to gather public feedback and comments on the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources' draft Sauk Prairie Recreation Area (SPRA) master plan and environmental impact statement, which can be found at the DNR web site: dnr.wi.gov and search for "Sauk Prairie Recreation Area."

FEEDBACK ON SPECIFIC PARTS OF THE DRAFT MASTER PLAN.

RECREATION

(see the summary or pages 17-29 of the draft master plan for more information).

1. Regarding the proposed mix of recreation activities, I think:

The mix of recreation activities proposed is appropriate.

The following recreation activities should be added: _____

The following recreation activities should be removed: *motorized vehicles including motorcycles and snowmobiles - the noise detracts*

2. Regarding the proposed amount of opportunities for different recreation activities, I think:

The amount of recreation opportunities proposed is about right.

There should be more opportunities for these activities: *experiencing the sounds of nature*

There should be fewer opportunities for these activities: _____

3. What other comments do you have regarding the proposed recreation activities? How could the proposed recreational experiences be improved?

Could trails on the SE edge be rerouted so the snowmobile trail does not have to cross the biking trail?

HABITAT MANAGEMENT

(see the summary or pages 30-45 of the draft master plan for more information).

4. Regarding the mix of habitats proposed to be restored and managed, I think:

The mix of habitats proposed to be restored and managed is appropriate.

More emphasis should be placed on: _____

Less emphasis should be placed on: _____

5. Regarding the mix of management techniques proposed to be used, I think:

The mix of management techniques proposed is appropriate.

More emphasis should be placed on: _____

Less emphasis should be placed on: _____

These management techniques should not be used: _____

6. What other comments do you have regarding the proposed restoration and management of habitats? What are your thoughts regarding the proposed habitat management techniques?

CULTURAL AND HISTORIC RESOURCES

(see the summary or pages 80-83 of the draft master plan for information).

7. Regarding the cultural and historic interpretive opportunities proposed, I think:
- The mix of proposed cultural and historic interpretive opportunities is appropriate.
 - More emphasis should be placed on: _____
 - Less emphasis should be placed on: _____

8. What other comments do you have regarding the proposed cultural and historic interpretive opportunities? What do you think visitors will be most interested in learning about the property?

My family has been looking forward to the museum/interpretive center opening. We are interested in the history of the land.

POTENTIAL OUTCOMES

(see the summary or pages 113-127 of the draft master plan for more information).

9. Environmental, ecological, and economic benefits may occur if the proposed master plan is implemented (e.g., larger populations of rare grassland and savanna birds, more recreational opportunities for nearby residents and visitors, and increased economic activity in the region). In addition, some adverse outcomes may result (e.g., the cost to restore habitats and construct recreation facilities, smoke from the use of prescribed fire to control invasive species, and increased traffic on local roads from visitors).

What are your thoughts on the description of potential effects that may result if the master plan is implemented? Are there potential impacts that are not adequately explained? If so, how should they be described?

ALTERNATIVES

(see the summary or pages 129-138 of the draft master plan for more information).

10. Different options to achieve the habitat and recreation goals for the property were evaluated. What are your thoughts on the alternatives evaluated but not included in the final draft? Are there alternatives not selected that should be included in the proposed management plan? If so, which ones and why?

FEEDBACK ON THE OVERALL DRAFT MASTER PLAN.

11. In developing the draft master plan, the DNR sought to balance recreation experiences (including education and interpretation of the property) and the conservation of native habitats at Sauk Prairie Recreation Area. How do you view the balance between recreation and conservation in the proposed master plan?
- Far too much emphasis on recreation
 - Somewhat too much emphasis on recreation
 - About the right balance between conservation and recreation
 - Somewhat too much emphasis on conservation
 - Far too much emphasis on conservation

12. What are your overall thoughts on the draft master plan?

There must be a balance between access for humans and encouraging conservation of land & wildlife. Minimizing use of motorized sport helps give visitors an experience of nature.

13. There are many aspects to this proposed master plan. Although the Department attempted to describe the proposed recreational use and habitat management clearly, there may be portions that are confusing or unclear. What parts of the master plan are confusing, missing, or need further explanation? What questions do you have?

VISITING SAUK PRAIRIE RECREATION AREA.

Although SPRA opened to the public in April 2015, the property will be in a transition phase for many years to come. Other than trails on some of the former roads, currently there are no amenities for visitors. The Department will initially focus its limited staff and funds on continuing to clean up rubble and debris, filling the worst of the potholes, placing boundary signs, and conducting other tasks to ensure that visitors have a safe experience.

14. Do you anticipate visiting the Sauk Prairie Recreation Area over the next 2 or 3 years?

- Yes
- No
- Don't know

15. If "Yes" in which of the following recreation activities are you likely to participate? (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)

- | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Hiking or walking | <input type="checkbox"/> Photography |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Recreational biking | <input type="checkbox"/> General sightseeing |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Horseback riding | <input type="checkbox"/> Automobile driving |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Hunting | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cross country skiing or snowshoeing |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Deer | <input type="checkbox"/> Snowmobiling |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Pheasant | <input type="checkbox"/> Dual-sport motorcycle riding |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Turkey | <input type="checkbox"/> Rocketry |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Small game | <input type="checkbox"/> "Fat tire" bike riding |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> Running or conditioning |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Trapping | <input type="checkbox"/> Geocaching |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Bird and other wildlife watching | <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ |

16. If "No" which of the following might be reasons for not visiting? (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)

- | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> No interest | <input type="checkbox"/> I am concerned about safety |
| <input type="checkbox"/> I intend to wait until more recreation opportunities are available | <input type="checkbox"/> I don't live in the area |
| <input type="checkbox"/> I don't participate in the activities that are proposed here | <input type="checkbox"/> Don't know |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ |

17. What recreation opportunities should the DNR and partners focus on providing in the next 3 years?

visitor center, hiking trails

FINAL THOUGHTS AND IDEAS.

18. What additional thoughts or comments would you like to share?

Access to a natural environment that is free of the noise and stress of urban daily life is critical for mental health

Zip code of primary residence: 53562 Name (Optional*): R Alwin

* The Department will scan all responses, in their entirety (including names), and post on its web site following the end of the public comment period so that everyone can read all of the comments received.
Thank you!

What are the next steps?

Following the conclusion of the public review period for the draft master plan and environmental impact statement (EIS), the Department will evaluate all the comments received and summarize them in a document that will be posted on the DNR's web site. In addition, all responses, letters, emails, and other communications received will be posted in their entirety on the web site.

As with most draft master plans, the Department expects to receive a range of comments and suggested changes. Some people may note errors and mistakes, while others may seek clarifying explanations or revisions to confusing sections. Others may request changes to the substance of the draft plan – for example, different locations for facilities, expanded or reduced opportunities for particular recreation activities, or different habitat outcomes or management strategies. Department staff will carefully review these suggestions and requests and will revise the document as needed.

An accompanying document to the revised draft master plan and EIS will summarize the changes that were made based on the public comments. If requested changes are not included in the revised version of the draft master plan and EIS, the accompanying document will provide an explanation for why the proposed modifications were not incorporated.

If the public comments received on the draft document lead the Department to significantly change the proposed master plan or assessment of impacts, the Department may conclude that this revised document should again be presented to the public for a second review. However, if the modifications to the draft master plan and EIS are not substantial, then the revised document (along with the summary of comments received and the explanation of modifications to the document) will be forwarded to the Natural Resources Board for their consideration. The public can provide additional comments directly to the Board as they review the draft plan.

How to participate in the final phase of the development of the Sauk Prairie Recreation Area master plan:

- Review the draft Master Plan and Environmental Impact Statement.

An on-line version is available at the DNR's web site (dnr.wi.gov), search for keyword: *Sauk Prairie Recreation Area*. Printed copies are available at the Sauk City, Prairie du Sac, and Baraboo libraries.

- Attend the public hearing.

A hearing for the Department to receive comments from the public on the draft master plan will be held **September 10, 2015 from 5:00 to 8:00 p.m. at the River Arts Center (105 Ninth Street, Prairie du Sac)**. Materials describing the proposed master plan will be available for review starting at 4:00 p.m. In addition, DNR staff will give a short overview of the proposed master plan at 5:00 p.m.

- Submit comments by **September 25, 2015**.

ON-LINE SURVEY (same as this survey):

dnr.wi.gov and search for "Sauk Prairie Recreation Area"

MAILING ADDRESS:

John Pohlman – LF/6
Wisconsin DNR
P.O. Box 7921
Madison, WI 53707-7921

EMAIL:

DNRsaukprairie@wisconsin.gov

Thank you for your interest in Sauk Prairie Recreation Area.

PUBLIC INPUT on the draft master plan and environmental impact statement for:

SAUK PRAIRIE RECREATION AREA

This document is designed to gather public feedback and comments on the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources' draft Sauk Prairie Recreation Area (SPRA) master plan and environmental impact statement, which can be found at the DNR web site: dnr.wi.gov and search for "Sauk Prairie Recreation Area."

FEEDBACK ON SPECIFIC PARTS OF THE DRAFT MASTER PLAN.

RECREATION

(see the summary or pages 17-29 of the draft master plan for more information).

1. Regarding the proposed mix of recreation activities, I think:

The mix of recreation activities proposed is appropriate.

The following recreation activities should be added: _____

The following recreation activities should be removed: rockets, motor cycles + dog + gun training.

2. Regarding the proposed amount of opportunities for different recreation activities, I think:

The amount of recreation opportunities proposed is about right.

There should be more opportunities for these activities: _____

There should be fewer opportunities for these activities: dog training should be limited, avoiding nesting season

3. What other comments do you have regarding the proposed recreation activities? How could the proposed recreational experiences be improved?

Both rockets + motor cycles violate the low-impact designation in the Reuse Plan, and they open the door to other destructive uses.

HABITAT MANAGEMENT

(see the summary or pages 30-45 of the draft master plan for more information).

4. Regarding the mix of habitats proposed to be restored and managed, I think:

The mix of habitats proposed to be restored and managed is appropriate. Good job here.

More emphasis should be placed on: _____

Less emphasis should be placed on: _____

5. Regarding the mix of management techniques proposed to be used, I think:

The mix of management techniques proposed is appropriate.

More emphasis should be placed on: restoration + bird habitat

Less emphasis should be placed on: _____

These management techniques should not be used: _____

6. What other comments do you have regarding the proposed restoration and management of habitats? What are your thoughts regarding the proposed habitat management techniques?

Good mix of uses – fire, chemical + mechanical removal of invasive species.

CULTURAL AND HISTORIC RESOURCES

(see the summary or pages 80-83 of the draft master plan for information).

7. Regarding the cultural and historic interpretive opportunities proposed, I think:
- The mix of proposed cultural and historic interpretive opportunities is appropriate.
- More emphasis should be placed on: Geologic history, history of native peoples.
- Less emphasis should be placed on: _____
8. What other comments do you have regarding the proposed cultural and historic interpretive opportunities? What do you think visitors will be most interested in learning about the property?
- lots of info signs informing visitors of native species and cultural sites.

POTENTIAL OUTCOMES

(see the summary or pages 113-127 of the draft master plan for more information).

9. Environmental, ecological, and economic benefits may occur if the proposed master plan is implemented (e.g., larger populations of rare grassland and savanna birds, more recreational opportunities for nearby residents and visitors, and increased economic activity in the region). In addition, some adverse outcomes may result (e.g., the cost to restore habitats and construct recreation facilities, smoke from the use of prescribed fire to control invasive species, and increased traffic on local roads from visitors).
- What are your thoughts on the description of potential effects that may result if the master plan is implemented? Are there potential impacts that are not adequately explained? If so, how should they be described?
- Conflict between high-impact uses and hiking, picnicking, camping, & other quiet activities

ALTERNATIVES

(see the summary or pages 129-138 of the draft master plan for more information).

10. Different options to achieve the habitat and recreation goals for the property were evaluated. What are your thoughts on the alternatives evaluated but not included in the final draft? Are there alternatives not selected that should be included in the proposed management plan? If so, which ones and why?
- Engage local groups in restoring specific areas.

FEEDBACK ON THE OVERALL DRAFT MASTER PLAN.

11. In developing the draft master plan, the DNR sought to balance recreation experiences (including education and interpretation of the property) and the conservation of native habitats at Sauk Prairie Recreation Area. How do you view the balance between recreation and conservation in the proposed master plan?
- Far too much emphasis on recreation
- Somewhat too much emphasis on recreation
- About the right balance between conservation and recreation
- Somewhat too much emphasis on conservation
- Far too much emphasis on conservation
12. What are your overall thoughts on the draft master plan?
- Some real conflicts created by rockets, motorcycles & dog training. Low-impact recreation is part of the Reuse Plan
13. There are many aspects to this proposed master plan. Although the Department attempted to describe the proposed recreational use and habitat management clearly, there may be portions that are confusing or unclear. What parts of the master plan are confusing, missing, or need further explanation? What questions do you have?
- Too much disruption allowed during bird nesting & feeding seasons.

VISITING SAUK PRAIRIE RECREATION AREA.

Although SPRA opened to the public in April 2015, the property will be in a transition phase for many years to come. Other than trails on some of the former roads, currently there are no amenities for visitors. The Department will initially focus its limited staff and funds on continuing to clean up rubble and debris, filling the worst of the potholes, placing boundary signs, and conducting other tasks to ensure that visitors have a safe experience.

14. Do you anticipate visiting the Sauk Prairie Recreation Area over the next 2 or 3 years?
- Yes
- No
- Don't know
15. If "Yes" in which of the following recreation activities are you likely to participate? (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)
- | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Hiking or walking | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Photography |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Recreational biking | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General sightseeing |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Horseback riding | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Automobile driving |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Hunting | <input type="checkbox"/> Cross country skiing or snowshoeing |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Deer | <input type="checkbox"/> Snowmobiling |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Pheasant | <input type="checkbox"/> Dual-sport motorcycle riding |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Turkey | <input type="checkbox"/> Rocketry |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Small game | <input type="checkbox"/> "Fat tire" bike riding |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> Running or conditioning |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Trapping | <input type="checkbox"/> Geocaching |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Bird and other wildlife watching | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other <u>restoration work</u> |
16. If "No" which of the following might be reasons for not visiting? (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)
- | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> No interest | <input type="checkbox"/> I am concerned about safety |
| <input type="checkbox"/> I intend to wait until more recreation opportunities are available | <input type="checkbox"/> I don't live in the area |
| <input type="checkbox"/> I don't participate in the activities that are proposed here | <input type="checkbox"/> Don't know |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ |
17. What recreation opportunities should the DNR and partners focus on providing in the next 3 years?
- Quiet, peaceful ones!

FINAL THOUGHTS AND IDEAS.

18. What additional thoughts or comments would you like to share?
- This is a wonderful opportunity to make a gift to the future & heal a much-abused piece of land. It can be an oasis of peace & re-creation for millions of people.

Zip code of primary residence: 53959 Name (Optional*): Mimi Wuest

* The Department will scan all responses, in their entirety (including names), and post on its web site following the end of the public comment period so that everyone can read all of the comments received.
Thank you!

What are the next steps?

Following the conclusion of the public review period for the draft master plan and environmental impact statement (EIS), the Department will evaluate all the comments received and summarize them in a document that will be posted on the DNR's web site. In addition, all responses, letters, emails, and other communications received will be posted in their entirety on the web site.

As with most draft master plans, the Department expects to receive a range of comments and suggested changes. Some people may note errors and mistakes, while others may seek clarifying explanations or revisions to confusing sections. Others may request changes to the substance of the draft plan – for example, different locations for facilities, expanded or reduced opportunities for particular recreation activities, or different habitat outcomes or management strategies. Department staff will carefully review these suggestions and requests and will revise the document as needed.

An accompanying document to the revised draft master plan and EIS will summarize the changes that were made based on the public comments. If requested changes are not included in the revised version of the draft master plan and EIS, the accompanying document will provide an explanation for why the proposed modifications were not incorporated.

If the public comments received on the draft document lead the Department to significantly change the proposed master plan or assessment of impacts, the Department may conclude that this revised document should again be presented to the public for a second review. However, if the modifications to the draft master plan and EIS are not substantial, then the revised document (along with the summary of comments received and the explanation of modifications to the document) will be forwarded to the Natural Resources Board for their consideration. The public can provide additional comments directly to the Board as they review the draft plan.

How to participate in the final phase of the development of the Sauk Prairie Recreation Area master plan:

- Review the draft Master Plan and Environmental Impact Statement.

An on-line version is available at the DNR's web site (dnr.wi.gov), search for keyword: *Sauk Prairie Recreation Area*. Printed copies are available at the Sauk City, Prairie du Sac, and Baraboo libraries.

- Attend the public hearing.

A hearing for the Department to receive comments from the public on the draft master plan will be held **September 10, 2015 from 5:00 to 8:00 p.m. at the River Arts Center (105 Ninth Street, Prairie du Sac)**. Materials describing the proposed master plan will be available for review starting at 4:00 p.m. In addition, DNR staff will give a short overview of the proposed master plan at 5:00 p.m.

- Submit comments by **September 25, 2015**.

ON-LINE SURVEY (same as this survey):
dnr.wi.gov and search for "Sauk Prairie Recreation Area"

MAILING ADDRESS:
John Pohlman – LF/6
Wisconsin DNR
P.O. Box 7921
Madison, WI 53707-7921

EMAIL:
DNRsaukprairie@wisconsin.gov

Thank you for your interest in Sauk Prairie Recreation Area.

RECEIVED
SEP 14 2015
FACILITIES AND LANDS

PUBLIC INPUT on the draft master plan and environmental impact statement for:

SAUK PRAIRIE RECREATION AREA

This document is designed to gather public feedback and comments on the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources' draft Sauk Prairie Recreation Area (SPRA) master plan and environmental impact statement, which can be found at the DNR web site: dnr.wi.gov and search for "Sauk Prairie Recreation Area."

FEEDBACK ON SPECIFIC PARTS OF THE DRAFT MASTER PLAN.

RECREATION (see the summary or pages 17-29 of the draft master plan for more information).

- Regarding the proposed mix of recreation activities, I think:
 - The mix of recreation activities proposed is appropriate.
 - The following recreation activities should be added: _____
 - The following recreation activities should be removed: rocketry motorcycle (dual sport), dog training
Do NOT include shooting range
- Regarding the proposed amount of opportunities for different recreation activities, I think:
 - The amount of recreation opportunities proposed is about right.
 - There should be more opportunities for these activities: _____
 - There should be fewer opportunities for these activities: any activity that pollutes or produces loud noise
- What other comments do you have regarding the proposed recreation activities? How could the proposed recreational experiences be improved?

shooting range dual sport motorcycle activity and rocketry do not belong in an area critical to habitat restoration

HABITAT MANAGEMENT (see the summary or pages 30-45 of the draft master plan for more information).

- Regarding the mix of habitats proposed to be restored and managed, I think:
 - The mix of habitats proposed to be restored and managed is appropriate.
 - More emphasis should be placed on: _____
 - Less emphasis should be placed on: _____
- Regarding the mix of management techniques proposed to be used, I think:
 - The mix of management techniques proposed is appropriate.
 - More emphasis should be placed on: burns
 - Less emphasis should be placed on: row-cropping, grazing
 - These management techniques should not be used: _____
- What other comments do you have regarding the proposed restoration and management of habitats? What are your thoughts regarding the proposed habitat management techniques?

CULTURAL AND HISTORIC RESOURCES

(see the summary or pages 80-83 of the draft master plan for information).

7. Regarding the cultural and historic interpretive opportunities proposed, I think:
- The mix of proposed cultural and historic interpretive opportunities is appropriate.
 - More emphasis should be placed on: _____
 - Less emphasis should be placed on: _____
8. What other comments do you have regarding the proposed cultural and historic interpretive opportunities? What do you think visitors will be most interested in learning about the property?
- _____
- _____

POTENTIAL OUTCOMES

(see the summary or pages 113-127 of the draft master plan for more information).

9. Environmental, ecological, and economic benefits may occur if the proposed master plan is implemented (e.g., larger populations of rare grassland and savanna birds, more recreational opportunities for nearby residents and visitors, and increased economic activity in the region). In addition, some adverse outcomes may result (e.g., the cost to restore habitats and construct recreation facilities, smoke from the use of prescribed fire to control invasive species, and increased traffic on local roads from visitors).
- What are your thoughts on the description of potential effects that may result if the master plan is implemented? Are there potential impacts that are not adequately explained? If so, how should they be described?
- _____
- _____

ALTERNATIVES

(see the summary or pages 129-138 of the draft master plan for more information).

10. Different options to achieve the habitat and recreation goals for the property were evaluated. What are your thoughts on the alternatives evaluated but not included in the final draft? Are there alternatives not selected that should be included in the proposed management plan? If so, which ones and why?
- _____
- _____

FEEDBACK ON THE OVERALL DRAFT MASTER PLAN.

11. In developing the draft master plan, the DNR sought to balance recreation experiences (including education and interpretation of the property) and the conservation of native habitats at Sauk Prairie Recreation Area. How do you view the balance between recreation and conservation in the proposed master plan?
- Far too much emphasis on recreation
 - Somewhat too much emphasis on recreation
 - About the right balance between conservation and recreation
 - Somewhat too much emphasis on conservation
 - Far too much emphasis on conservation
12. What are your overall thoughts on the draft master plan?
- Silent recreation by hiking, bicycling & cross country skiing are ample for recreation.*
13. There are many aspects to this proposed master plan. Although the Department attempted to describe the proposed recreational use and habitat management clearly, there may be portions that are confusing or unclear. What parts of the master plan are confusing, missing, or need further explanation? What questions do you have?
- _____
- _____

VISITING SAUK PRAIRIE RECREATION AREA.

Although SPRA opened to the public in April 2015, the property will be in a transition phase for many years to come. Other than trails on some of the former roads, currently there are no amenities for visitors. The Department will initially focus its limited staff and funds on continuing to clean up rubble and debris, filling the worst of the potholes, placing boundary signs, and conducting other tasks to ensure that visitors have a safe experience.

14. Do you anticipate visiting the Sauk Prairie Recreation Area over the next 2 or 3 years?
- Yes
 - No
 - Don't know
15. If "Yes" in which of the following recreation activities are you likely to participate? (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)
- | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Hiking or walking | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Photography |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Recreational biking | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General sightseeing |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Horseback riding | <input type="checkbox"/> Automobile driving |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Hunting | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cross country skiing or snowshoeing |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Deer | <input type="checkbox"/> Snowmobiling |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Pheasant | <input type="checkbox"/> Dual-sport motorcycle riding |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Turkey | <input type="checkbox"/> Rocketry |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Small game | <input type="checkbox"/> "Fat tire" bike riding |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> Running or conditioning |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Trapping | <input type="checkbox"/> Geocaching |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Bird and other wildlife watching | <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ |
16. If "No" which of the following might be reasons for not visiting? (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY)
- | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> No interest | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> I am concerned about safety |
| <input type="checkbox"/> I intend to wait until more recreation opportunities are available | <input type="checkbox"/> I don't live in the area |
| <input type="checkbox"/> I don't participate in the activities that are proposed here | <input type="checkbox"/> Don't know |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ |

17. What recreation opportunities should the DNR and partners focus on providing in the next 3 years?
- _____
- _____

FINAL THOUGHTS AND IDEAS.

18. What additional thoughts or comments would you like to share?
- Motorized hobby activity does not belong in the Sauk Prairie Recreation Area*
- _____
- _____

Zip code of primary residence: 53560 Name (Optional*): Joseph R. Cline MD

* The Department will scan all responses, in their entirety (including names), and post on its web site following the end of the public comment period so that everyone can read all of the comments received. Thank you!