


**WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
CREEL SURVEY REPORT**

**CHAIN LAKE
RUSK COUNTY
2012-2013**



Treaty Fisheries Publication

April, 2013



INTRODUCTION

The Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources regularly conducts fishery surveys of area lakes and reservoirs to gather information on species composition, population size, reproductive success, size/age distribution, and growth rates. The information from the netting and electrofishing surveys helps the WDNR determine the best management practices for that body of water. Another important aspect of a fishery is the amount of harvest that is occurring on the lake. This information is collected by creel census or creel survey.

On lakes in the Ceded Territory of Wisconsin, harvest of fish is divided between sport anglers and the six Chippewa tribal bands. The six Chippewa tribal bands harvest fish under rights governed by federal treaties of 1837 and 1842. Most tribal fish harvest is done by spearing during a short period of time in the spring. All speared fish are individually counted by tribal creel clerks, allowing for a complete “census” of the tribal fish harvest in the spring.

Information is also collected on the effects of sport angler harvest on fish populations. Because it would be impractical and costly to conduct a complete “census” of the fish harvested by sport anglers on area lakes, a creel survey is conducted to estimate the amount of fish harvested by sports anglers.

A creel survey is a sampling tool used to measure the fishing activities of the sport anglers and to estimate the amount of fish harvested on a body of water. Creel surveys are designed to have a creel clerk on a lake, working random shifts, and forty hours each week throughout the fishing season. Each month these shifts cover a sample of all the daylight hours. Creel clerks travel their lakes using a boat, snowmobile or vehicle to

count and to interview anglers.

The information collected from anglers during the interview includes the species of fish being targeted, catch and harvest, lengths of harvested fish, and hours of fishing effort. Typically only anglers that have completed their fishing trip are interviewed because it provides the most accurate information and it avoids the need to disturb anglers while they are fishing.

You may have encountered one of the DNR creel clerks on a recent fishing trip. The survey only takes a moment of your time and we appreciate your cooperation during an interview. The information collected gives the DNR valuable knowledge required for management of the fishery.

The data collected during the survey is processed by a computer program and summarized by month to calculate estimates of the total fishing pressure, fishing effort directed at each species, catch and harvest rates, and the number of fish caught and harvested.

This creel survey report will provide you with four types of estimated information for this body of water:

1. Overall fishing pressure
2. Fishing effort directed at each species
3. Catch and harvest rates
4. Numbers of fish caught and harvested.

Also included in this report are physical information about the lake, discussion of results of this survey and detailed summaries by species.

GENERAL LAKE INFORMATION

Location

Chain Lake is located east of Chetek, Wisconsin on the border of Rusk and Chippewa Counties.

Physical Characteristics

Chain Lake is 468 acres and is part of the Island Chain of Lakes (Island, McCann, Chain, and Clear). The maximum depth is 74 feet and the water clarity is low to moderate. There is no public access on Chain Lake but can be accessed by water from one of the four public landings on the other lakes.

Seasons Surveyed

An open water creel survey was conducted from opening day of gamefish season on May 5, 2012 and ran through the end of October. Winter creel was conducted from December 1st through the close of the gamefish season on March 3, 2013.

Harvest Regulations

The following seasons, daily bag limits, and length limits were in place on this lake in 2012-2013:

<u>Species</u>	<u>Season</u>	<u>Bag Limit</u>	<u>Min. Size</u>
Walleye*	5/05-3/03	3	18"
Largemouth Bass	5/05-3/03	5	none
Smallmouth Bass	5/05-3/03	5	none
Musky	5/26-11/30	1	40"
Northern Pike	5/05-3/03	5	none
Panfish	all year	25	none

*The walleye bag limit may have been reduced due to tribal declarations.

SPECIES CATCH AND HARVEST INFORMATION

Angling information is summarized on a single page for each species. If a page for a particular species is not present in this section it is because no one reported fishing for that species and/or none were caught. Each species page has up to five graphs covering the following:

FIGURE 1

ESTIMATED FISHING EFFORT

Total calculated number of hours during each month that anglers spent fishing for this species.

FIGURE 2

ESTIMATED ANGLER CATCH AND HARVEST RATES

Calculated number of hours it takes an angler to catch or harvest a fish of this species. Only information from anglers who were **specifically** targeting this species is reported here.

FIGURE 3

ESTIMATED ANGLER CATCH AND HARVEST

Calculated number of fish of this species caught or harvested by all anglers. This estimate also includes the **incidental** catch and harvest of fish by anglers that were not specifically targeting this species.

FIGURE 4

LENGTH DISTRIBUTION OF HARVESTED FISH MEASURED BY THE CREEL CLERK

All fish of this species measured by the clerk during the entire creel survey season.

FIGURE 5

AVERAGE LENGTH AND LARGEST FISH HARVESTED

Average length and the largest fish of this species harvested each month.

Only those fish measured by the creel clerk are reported here.

Seasonal Angler Effort Summary

The table provides a summary by month of the total angler hours and total angler hours per acre. Also, the table compares county average and statewide average to current survey results.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This survey could not have been completed if not for the efforts of the technical staff of the Treaty Fisheries Unit, especially Cala Hakseth (open water) and Matt Simonson/Eric Berge/Amos Melton (winter), who collected the angler interviews. Gene Hatzenbeler provided logistic support for the survey. Todd Brecka delivered and maintained all equipment. Jill Sunderland assisted with training and the scheduling for the creel clerks. Misty Rood and Mac McInroy were responsible for data entry and quality assurance. Jake Jacobson and Mac McInroy filled in when clerks needed a day off.

The Department would like to thank Aaron Richardson of Backwoods Resort along with Dan and Ida Simonson. They generously allowed the department to keep a boat or snowmobile at their property during this survey.

We would also like to thank all the anglers who took the time to offer information about their fishing trip to the survey clerk.

Without your cooperation this survey would not have been possible.

Additional copies of this report and those covering other local lakes can be obtained from Treaty Fisheries Biologist in Spooner WI or WDNR Webpage.

Question about the report can be directed to Gene Hatzenbeler
WDNR Spooner Office
810 W. Maple Street
Spooner, WI 54801
Phone: (715)635-4164
Email: Gene.Hatzenbeler@Wisconsin.gov

WALLEYE




FIGURE 1. ESTIMATED FISHING EFFORT




FIGURE 2. ESTIMATED ANGLER CATCH AND HARVEST RATES




FIGURE 3. ESTIMATED ANGLER CATCH AND HARVEST





FIGURE 4. LENGTH DISTRIBUTION OF HARVESTED FISH MEASURED BY THE CLERK



FIGURE 5. AVERAGE LENGTH AND LARGEST FISH HARVESTED



NORTHERN PIKE

FIGURE 1. ESTIMATED FISHING EFFORT





FIGURE 2. ESTIMATED ANGLER CATCH AND HARVEST RATES




FIGURE 3. ESTIMATED ANGLER CATCH AND HARVEST




FIGURE 4. LENGTH DISTRIBUTION OF HARVEST FISH MEASURED BY THE CLERK





FIGURE 5. AVERAGE LENGTH AND LARGEST FISH HARVESTED



MUSKELLUNGE




FIGURE 1. ESTIMATED FISHING EFFORT




FIGURE 2. ESTIMATED ANGLER CATCH AND HARVEST RATES




FIGURE 3. ESTIMATED ANGLER CATCH AND HARVEST




FIGURE 4. LENGTH DISTRIBUTION OF HARVESTED FISH MEASURED BY THE CLERK





FIGURE 5. AVERAGE LENGTH AND LARGEST FISH HARVESTED



SMALLMOUTH BASS




FIGURE 1. ESTIMATED FISHING EFFORT




FIGURE 2. ESTIMATED ANGLER CATCH AND HARVEST RATES




FIGURE 3. ESTIMATED ANGLER CATCH AND HARVEST




FIGURE 4. LENGTH DISTRIBUTION OF FISH MEASURED BY THE CREEL CLERK





FIGURE 5. AVERAGE LENGTH AND LARGEST FISH HARVESTED



LARGEMOUTH BASS




FIGURE 1. ESTIMATED FISHING EFFORT




FIGURE 2. ESTIMATED ANGLER CATCH AND HARVEST RATES




FIGURE 3. ESTIMATED ANGLER CATCH AND HARVEST




FIGURE 4. LENGTH DISTRIBUTION OF HARVESTED FISH MEASURED BY THE CLERK





FIGURE 5. AVERAGE LENGTH AND LARGEST FISH HARVESTED



BLUEGILL

FIGURE 1. ESTIMATED FISHING EFFORT





FIGURE 2. ESTIMATED ANGLER CATCH AND HARVEST RATES




FIGURE 3. ESTIMATED ANGLER CATCH AND HARVEST




FIGURE 4. LENGTH DISTRIBUTION OF HARVESTED FISH MEASURED BY THE CLERK





FIGURE 5. AVERAGE LENGTH AND LARGEST FISH HARVESTED



PUMPKINSEED




FIGURE 1. ESTIMATED FISHING EFFORT




FIGURE 2. ESTIMATED ANGLER CATCH AND HARVEST RATES




FIGURE 3. ESTIMATED ANGLER CATCH AND HARVEST



FIGURE 4. LENGTH DISTRIBUTION OF HARVESTED FISH MEASURED BY THE CLERK











FIGURE 5. LENGTH AND LARGEST FISH HARVESTED



BLACK CRAPPIE



YELLOW PERCH

FIGURE 1. ESTIMATED FISHING EFFORT





FIGURE 2. ESTIMATED ANGLER CATCH AND HARVEST RATES




FIGURE 3. ESTIMATED ANGLER CATCH AND HARVEST




FIGURE 4. LENGTH DISTRIBUTION OF HARVESTED FISH MEASURED BY THE CLERK





FIGURE 5. AVERAGE LENGTH AND LARGEST FISH HARVESTED



ROCK BASS

FIGURE 1. ESTIMATED FISHING EFFORT




FIGURE 2. ESTIMATED ANGLER CATCH AND HARVEST RATES




FIGURE 3. ESTIMATED ANGLER CATCH AND HARVEST




FIGURE 4. LENGTH DISTRIBUTION OF HARVESTED FISH MEASURED BY THE CLERK






FIGURE 5. AVERAGE LENGTH AND LARGEST FISH HARVESTED



TOTAL ANGLER DIRECTED EFFORT BY SPECIES

Chain Lake 2012-13

This graph illustrates the percentage of time that anglers spent fishing for each species during the entire creel survey. The percentages are based on the species of



SEASONAL ANGLER EFFORT SUMMARY

2012-13

Estimated angler fishing effort on Chain Lake for each month surveyed and by season.

Month	Number of Angler Party Interviews	Total Angler Hours	Total Angler Hours/Acre	Rusk County Average Hours/Acre	Statewide Average Hours/Acre
May	3	1761	3.8	6.9	5.8
June	15	3952	8.4	10.3	6.1
July	9	2798	6.0	11.0	6.4
August	9	2098	4.5	7.3	5.4
September	14	1551	3.3	4.0	3.8
October	11	601	1.3	1.6	1.6
December	0	39	0.1	3.5	1.7
January	0	206	0.4	6.1	1.5
February	0	555	1.2	2.1	1.3
March	0	63	0.1	0.1	**
*Summer Total	61	12761	27.3	41.2	29.1
*Winter Total	0	863	1.8	11.8	4.5
Grand Total	61	13624	29.1	53.0	33.6

*"Summer" is May-October; "Winter" is December-March

**Too few lakes have been surveyed in March to give a meaningful statewide average.

Number of Angler Party Interviews is the number of groups of anglers interviewed by the creel clerk. A party is considered the members of a group who fish together in the same boat, ice shanty, or from shore. The clerk fills out one interview form for each group of anglers. The number of individual anglers actually contacted by the clerk is usually much greater than the number of groups listed in this table since most groups consist of more than one angler.

Total Angler Hours is the estimated total number of hours that anglers spent fishing on this lake during each month surveyed.

Total Angler Hours/Acre is the total angler hours divided by the area of the lake in acres. This is useful if you wish to compare effort on this lake to others.

County Average Hours/Acre is the average angler effort in hours per acre for county lakes that have been surveyed since 1990. This value can be useful in comparisons as well.

Statewide Average Hours/Acre is the average angler effort in hours per acre for inland lakes in the state surveyed between 1990 and 1995. This value can be used to compare this lake to other lakes statewide.

CREEL SURVEY HISTORY/SYNOPSIS

CHAIN LAKE, RUSK COUNTY

CREEL YEAR: 2012-13

SPECIES	DIRECTED EFFORT (Hours)	PERCENT OF TOTAL	TOTAL CATCH	SPECIFIC CATCH RATE (Hrs/Fish)	TOTAL HARVEST	SPECIFIC HARVEST RATE (Hrs/Fish)	MEAN LENGTH OF HARVESTED FISH
Walleye	952	4.23%	0	0.0	0	0.0	
Northern Pike	2585	11.48%	224	14.3	34	100.0	26.3
Muskellunge	2145	9.53%	90	25.0	0	0.0	
Smallmouth Bass	1593	7.08%	139	0.0	0	0.0	
Largemouth Bass	6421	28.53%	8087	1.0	1446	5.9	11.0
Bluegill	4360	19.37%	24935	0.2	6752	0.7	6.6
Pumpkinseed	0	0.00%	346	0.0	115	0.0	
Black Crappie	3704	16.46%	10141	0.4	2759	1.4	9.6
Yellow Perch	749	3.33%	1100	6.7	293	25.0	8.4
Rock Bass	0	0.00%	101	0.0	13	0.0	7.3