

Species Assessment Group - Summary of group ratings Date: _____

Species: *Quercus acutissima*

Members of the SAG:

Ratings for Criteria - 1st round	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	Total #	Average
1. Current status and distribution	4	4	2	1	1	4	1	4	4								
2. Establishment potential	4	ii	2	1	1	2	3	4	2								
3. Damage potential	4	ii	2	1	1	2	ii	4	ii								
4. Prevention and control potential	4	4	2	ii	1	2	1	4	4								
5. Socio-economic impacts	4	4	2	1	1	2	ii	4	4								
Totals - 1st round	Prohibited			Restricted				Watch				Non-restricted				Insufficient Information	
Number of votes for each classification	xxxx			x				x				xxx					

Comments:1 - Get the word out to wildlife people. 3 - Not invasive here, not commercially grown, barely hardy. 4- Tried growing seedlings, couldn't get them to overwinter, especially not hardy as a seedling. Don't know of anyone who is growing it. 5 - Only being grown by one nursery in Kenosha county, 3 in Northern Illinois, doesn't seem to be an important landscape plant. 7 - The turkey federation promote this species, we don't need it, there are better quercus for WI wildlife. 9 - Education needed for the public, especially wild turkey federation.

Ratings for Criteria - 2nd round	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	Total #	Average
1. Current status and distribution																	
2. Establishment potential																	
3. Damage potential																	
4. Prevention and control potential																	
5. Socio-economic impacts																	
Totals - 2nd round	Prohibited			Restricted				Watch				Non-restricted				Insufficient Information	
Number of votes for each classification																	

Final Recommended Classification : Prohibited

Final Comments/Rationale: