

### 2020-21 Snowmobile Funding Summary

<u>Category</u>	<u>To Be Updated...</u>	<u>Amount Requested</u>	<u>Amount Funded</u>	<u>Balance</u>
Funds Available	\$ 9,000,000			\$ 9,000,000
Maintenance	(18,528 @ \$300/mile)	\$ 5,700,264	\$ 5,700,264	\$ 3,299,736
Club Signs		\$ -		\$ 3,299,736
Storm Damage		\$ 50,826	\$ -	\$ 3,299,736
Cost Increase Requests		\$ 111,301	\$ -	\$ 3,299,736
Snow Bridge Rehab		\$ 1,150,263	\$ -	\$ 3,299,736
Snow Trail Rehab		\$ 55,744	\$ -	\$ 3,299,736
Snow Relocation Mandatory		\$ 226,127	\$ -	\$ 3,299,736
Snow Relocation Discretionary		\$ 144,085	\$ -	\$ 3,299,736
Snow Bridge New		\$ 288,260	\$ -	\$ 3,299,736
New Miles	(715 @ \$300/mile)	\$ 242,500	\$ -	\$ 3,299,736
Snow Acquisition		\$ 17,900	\$ -	\$ 3,299,736
Snow Non-Trail Development		\$ 13,347		\$ 3,299,736
Supplemental Transfer				\$ 3,299,736
Total		\$ 8,000,617	\$ 5,700,264	\$ 3,299,736

Prelim. Supplemental (10-20): **NOT AVAILABLE**

2018-19 Supplemental Final: \$ 3,438,188.62

## 2020-21 SNOWMOBILE STORM DAMAGE REQUESTS

Region	County	Description	Component	Costs	Total	Approved
<b>#90</b>	WCR La Crosse	Trail repair, culvert replacement on several trails damaged in storms in Fall of 2019. <i>Submitted and reviewed in February, but deferred pending additional information. Revised info provided for this request.</i>	Misc	\$40,322	\$40,322	\$0
		<b>Other funds received, requested, or committed?</b>			After Cost Share: <b>\$40,322</b>	
		<b>Yes or No:</b> <input type="text" value="No"/> <b>Amount:</b> <input type="text"/>				
		Gov Declared Emergency? <b>NO</b>				
		FEMA Eligible? <input type="text" value="No"/> FEMA Applied For? <input type="text" value="No"/>			\$40,322	
<b>#91</b>	WCR Adams	Bridge approach and abutment, a corner along a hillside and a large bend in the creek washed out on Corridor 21, and a small culvert was lost. Damage in March, Gov. declared #16, repairs completed in December.	Bridges Culverts Equip & Labor Other	\$10,505	\$10,505	\$0
		<b>Other funds received, requested, or committed?</b>			After Cost Share: <b>\$10,505</b>	
		<b>Yes or No:</b> <input type="text"/> <b>Amount:</b> <input type="text"/>				
		Gov Declared Emergency? <b>YES</b>				
		FEMA Eligible? <input style="background-color: yellow;" type="text"/> FEMA Applied For? <input style="background-color: yellow;" type="text"/>			\$10,505	
<b>TOTAL</b>					<b>\$50,826</b>	<b>\$0</b>

## 2020-21 SNOWMOBILE COST INCREASE REQUESTS

Region	County		New/Increase Component	New Costs	Total	Approved
<b>#95</b>	Polk	Polk County is seeking additional funding to complete the Cattail Bridge in the City of Amery. The project is grants S-5409 and ATV-4096.	<b>NEW EXPENSES</b>		\$37,502	
			materials	\$32,502	After Cost Share:	
			engineering	\$5,000	<b>\$18,751</b>	
			Other funds received, requested, or committed?			
			Yes or No: <input type="checkbox"/> yes	Amount: <b>\$ 18,751</b>		
<b>Original Grant # S-5409</b>		<b>Funding Category Bridge</b>				
<b>Previous Grant Amt \$31,298</b>		<b>New Grant Amt (After) \$50,049</b>			\$18,751	
<b>#96</b>	Forest	Cost increase request for <b>\$92,550</b> for Camp 8 Bridge Replacement on the Nicolet State Trail incoming. Original cost estimate was provided by previous trail manager but was based on linear foot estimates for structure and failed to include installation, site prep, etc. County also has funding from ATV (with a pending increase request) and RTP (capped at max grant amt).	<b>OVERALL BUDGET</b>		\$467,099	
			Structure	\$211,710	After Cost Share:	
			Site Prep	\$51,177	<b>\$211,049</b>	
			engineering	\$80,300		
			materials	\$5,763		
Misc	\$8,049					
Project Manage	\$74,000					
Remove Old St	\$36,100					
Other funds received, requested, or committed?						
Yes or No: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes	Amount: <b>256,050</b>					
<b>Original Grant # S-5314</b>		<b>Funding Category Rehab</b>				
<b>Prev Grant Amt \$ 118,500.00</b>		<b>New Grant Amt (After) \$211,050</b>			<b>\$92,550</b>	
<b>TOTAL</b>					<b>\$111,301</b>	<b>\$0</b>

## 2020-21 SNOWMOBILE BRIDGE REHABILITATION REQUESTS

Region	County		Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Q & A
<b>#1</b>	Green Lake	Green Lake County proposes to rehab an existing bridge that has failed. The project consists of moving two 'I' beams and adding another in addition to new decking and railing. <b>Revised cost estimate will be submitted after engineering consultation.</b>	*Structure		\$7,730		
			Engineer		After Cost Share:		
			Permits		<b>\$7,730</b>		
			Site prep				
			Materials	\$4,550			
Abutments							
Pilings/Piers							
Approaches							
Culverts							
Riprap							
Labor	\$3,180						
Equip Rental							
Other							
<b>Other funds received, requested, or committed?</b>							
<b>Yes or No:</b>		No	<b>Amount:</b>				
	Public or Private:	private	Bridge Inventory #:				
Variance or Exception?	Old Culvert Size:	12' X 25'	New Culvert Size:		12' X 25'		
	Water Body:	Grand River trib	Labor Source:		contractor		
	Years in System:	18	Design Weight Load:		25000?		
				# of New Mi	0	\$7,730	
<b>#2</b>	Waupaca	Waupaca County is proposing to rehab the decking, railing and support beams on an old railroad bridge on the Tomorrow River State Trail.	*Structure	\$45,000	\$54,000		
			Engineer		After Cost Share:		
			Permits		<b>\$54,000</b>		
			Site prep	\$6,000			
			Materials				
Abutments							
Pilings/Piers							
Approaches							
Culverts							
Riprap							
Labor							
Equip Rental	\$2,000						
Other	\$1,000						
<b>Other funds received, requested, or committed?</b>							
<b>Yes or No:</b>		No	<b>Amount:</b>				
	Public or Private:	Public	Bridge Inventory #:		39		
Variance or Exception?	Old Bridge Size:	45' X 12'	New Bridge Size:		45' X 12'		
	Water Body:	Spring Creek	Labor Source:		contractor		
	Years in System:	15+	Design Weight Load:		25,000		
				# of New Mi	0	\$54,000	
<b>#3</b>	Calumet	Calumet County proposes to replace bridge Calumet-2 over Plum Creek on the Friendship State Trail.	*Structure	\$18,500	\$27,200		
			Engineer	\$2,000	After Cost Share:		
			Permits		<b>\$13,600</b>		
			Site prep	\$500			
			Materials				
Abutments							
Pilings/Piers							
Approaches	\$500						
Culverts							
Riprap							
Labor	\$5,000						
Equip Rental							
delivery	\$700						
<b>Other funds received, requested, or committed?</b>							
<b>Yes or No:</b>		yes	<b>Amount:</b>		13600		
	Public or Private:	public	Bridge Inventory #:		Culvert-2		
Variance or Exception?	Old Bridge Size:	12' X 15'	New Bridge Size:		12' X 24'		
	Water Body:	Plum Creek	Labor Source:		contractor		
	Years in System:	50	Design Weight Load:		25,000		
				# of New Mi	0	\$13,600	

## 2020-21 SNOWMOBILE BRIDGE REHABILITATION REQUESTS

Region	County		Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Q & A
<b>#4</b>	NER Calumet	Calumet County proposes to replace a bridge on Trail 3-6 that failed in 2014. The current reroute is not a good alternative.	*Structure	\$25,800	\$32,600		
		Engineer			After Cost Share:		
		Permits			<b>\$32,600</b>		
		Site prep					
<b>Other funds received, requested, or committed?</b>							
<b>Yes or No:</b> <input type="checkbox"/>			<b>Amount:</b> <input type="checkbox"/>				
Public or Private:		public	Bridge Inventory #:				
Variance or Exception?	Old Bridge Size:	12' X 26'	New Bridge Size:	12' X 32'			
	Water Body:	unnamed	Labor Source:	contractor	\$6,000		
	Years in System:	20	Design Weight Load:	25,000	\$800		
			# of New Mi	0	\$32,600		
<b>#5</b>	NER Calumet	Calumet County proposes to replace the concrete Kiel Culvert-1 on trail 6-8 with a plastic culvert. The collapsed culvert has a temporary patch.	*Structure	\$350	\$1,350		
		Engineer			After Cost Share:		
		Permits			<b>\$1,350</b>		
		Site prep					
<b>Other funds received, requested, or committed?</b>							
<b>Yes or No:</b> <input type="checkbox"/>			<b>Amount:</b> <input type="checkbox"/>				
Public or Private:		private	Bridge Inventory #:				
Variance or Exception?	Old Bridge Size:	24" X 20'	New Bridge Size:	24" X 20'	\$250		
	Water Body:	drainage ditch	Labor Source:	contractor			
	Years in System:		Design Weight Load:	25,000	\$750		
			# of New Mi	0	\$1,350		
<b>#6</b>	NER Calumet	Calumet County proposes to replace an undersized steel Culvert- 2 with a plastic culvert on Trail 6-8.	*Structure	\$350	\$1,350		
		Engineer			After Cost Share:		
		Permits			<b>\$1,350</b>		
		Site prep					
<b>Other funds received, requested, or committed?</b>							
<b>Yes or No:</b> <input type="checkbox"/>			<b>Amount:</b> <input type="checkbox"/>				
Public or Private:		private	Bridge Inventory #:				
Variance or Exception?	Old Bridge Size:	12" by 8'	New Bridge Size:	24" X 20'	\$250		
	Water Body:	drainage ditch	Labor Source:	contractor			
	Years in System:		Design Weight Load:	25,000	\$750		
			# of New Mi	0	\$1,350		

## 2020-21 SNOWMOBILE BRIDGE REHABILITATION REQUESTS

Region	County		Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Q & A
<b>#7</b>	Oneida	<p>Oneida County proposes to replace an older, undersized bridge with a new bridge (Gilmore Creek Bridge). The existing bridge is believed to be over 30+ years old. The bridge is undersized for the length of crossing needed and has had a wooden extension put on. However, the extension is rated at only 5,000 lbs at best. Given the age of the overall structure, the County proposes to replace it with a new bridge, rated for 25,000 lbs, and salvage the parts for other crossings.</p>	*Structure	\$64,700	\$140,050 After Cost Share: <b>\$140,050</b>		
			Engineer	\$2,000			
			Permits				
			Site prep	\$5,000			
			Materials				
			Abutments	\$14,900			
			Pilings/Piers	\$12,000			
			Approaches	\$6,125			
			Culverts				
			Riprap	\$2,000			
			Labor	\$30,000			
			Equip Rental				
			Other	\$3,325			
			# of New Mi	0	\$140,050		
<p><b>Other funds received, requested, or committed?</b></p> <p><b>Yes or No:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> No <b>Amount:</b> _____</p>							
Variance or Exception?		Public or Private: Public	Bridge Inventory #: Oneida-10				
		Old Bridge Size: 42	New Bridge Size: 65				
		Water Body: Gilmore Creek	Labor Source: Contract				
		Years in System: 32	Design Weight Load: 25,000				
<b>#8</b>	Taylor	<p>Taylor County proposes to replace the decking and railings on the Pine Line Bridge #4 on the Pine Line Trail. This multi-use bridge is open to snowmobile, winter ATV and summer silent sports. The bridge last received grant funding in 1989. Taylor County has submitted an application for RTP funds for 50% costs, as well as to the ATV program for 25% of the remaining costs. RTP and ATV SHARE NOT YET CONFIRMED.</p>	*Structure		\$8,397 After Cost Share: <b>\$3,149</b>		
			Engineer	\$297			
			Permits				
			Site prep				
			Materials	\$4,725			
			Abutments				
			Pilings/Piers				
			Approaches				
			Culverts				
			Riprap				
			Labor	\$2,430			
			Equip Rental	\$945			
			Other				
			# of New Mi	0	\$3,149		
<p><b>Other funds received, requested, or committed?</b></p> <p><b>Yes or No:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <b>Amount:</b> <b>5248.5</b></p>							
Variance or Exception?		Public or Private: Public	Bridge Inventory #: PL 4				
		Old Bridge Size: 27	New Bridge Size:				
		Water Body: Unnamed	Labor Source: Contract				
		Years in System: 31	Design Weight Load:				
<b>#9</b>	Taylor	<p>Taylor County proposes to replace the decking and railings on the Pine Line Bridge #5 on the Pine Line Trail. This multi-use bridge is open to snowmobile, winter ATV and summer silent sports. The bridge last received grant funding in 1989. Taylor County has submitted an application for RTP funds for 50% costs, as well as to the ATV program for 25% of the remaining costs. RTP and ATV SHARE NOT YET CONFIRMED.</p>	*Structure		\$17,105 After Cost Share: <b>\$6,415</b>		
			Engineer	\$605			
			Permits				
			Site prep				
			Materials	\$9,625			
			Abutments				
			Pilings/Piers				
			Approaches				
			Culverts				
			Riprap				
			Labor	\$4,950			
			Equip Rental	\$1,925			
			bridge removal				
			# of New Mi	0	\$6,415		
<p><b>Other funds received, requested, or committed?</b></p> <p><b>Yes or No:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <b>Amount:</b> <b>10690.5</b></p>							
Variance or Exception?		Public or Private: Public	Bridge Inventory #: PL 5				
		Old Bridge Size: 55	New Bridge Size:				
		Water Body: Unnamed	Labor Source: Contract				
		Years in System: 31	Design Weight Load:				

## 2020-21 SNOWMOBILE BRIDGE REHABILITATION REQUESTS

Region	County		Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Q & A
<b>#10</b>	Langlade	Langlade County proposes to replace an undersized culvert to address trail flooding issues. Culvert was installed in 1965 and is no longer able to handle water flows at all times of the year. It is also too short for the trail surface. Trail is used by snowmobilers and winter ATVs. County has applied for RTP funds for 50% of the costs, remainder split between Snow and ATV. RTP and ATV SHARE NOT YET CONFIRMED.	*Structure	\$10,358	\$43,395 After Cost Share: <b>\$10,849</b>		
			Engineer				
			Permits	\$400			
			Site prep				
			Materials	\$2,154			
			Abutments				
			Pilings/Piers				
			Approaches				
			Culverts				
			Riprap	\$3,000			
			Labor	\$27,484			
			Equip Rental				
			Other				
			# of New Mi	0	\$10,849		
<b>Other funds received, requested, or committed?</b>							
		<b>Yes or No:</b>	Yes	<b>Amount:</b>	32546		
		Public or Private:	Public	Bridge Inventory #:			
		Old Bridge Size:		New Bridge Size:	117"x79"x45'		
		Water Body:	Eau Claire River	Labor Source:	Contract		
		Years in System:	55	Design Weight Load:			
		Variance or Exception?					
<b>#11</b>	Iron	Iron County proposes a rehab project for the "Ledvina's Bridge" on Trail 12 over Swamp Creek. Project will include rebuilding the north approach ramp (12'x30'), redecking and replacing railings on the bridge (12'x38') and the north approach. The south approach was replaced with maint. funds in 2016. Winter only trail and the bridge is located on private property. County has maintained land use agreements for this trail for over 30 years.	*Structure		\$0 After Cost Share: <b>\$0</b>		
			Engineer				
			Permits				
			Site prep				
			Materials				
			Abutments				
			Pilings/Piers				
			Approaches				
			Culverts				
			Riprap				
			Labor				
			Equip Rental				
			Other				
			# of New Mi	0	\$0		
<b>Other funds received, requested, or committed?</b>							
		<b>Yes or No:</b>	No	<b>Amount:</b>			
		Public or Private:	Private	Bridge Inventory #:	Ref# 7		
		Old Bridge Size:	12'x38'	New Bridge Size:			
		Water Body:	Swamp Creek	Labor Source:	Force		
		Years in System:		Design Weight Load:			
		Variance or Exception?					
<b>#13</b>	Dunn	A 5' culvert has been present for about 20 years allowing crossing an unnamed stream that is a tributary to the Red Cedar River. The stream is very flashy and the county suspects the culvert is restricting water flow during heavy rain events causing erosion on the downstream side of the culvert. Due to the area being a wetland replacing the culvert is not an option. A bridge (Waldbuesser) is proposed to replace the culvert.	*Structure	\$27,000	\$40,000 After Cost Share: <b>\$40,000</b>		
			Engineer	\$3,000			
			Permits				
			Site prep	\$1,000			
			Materials				
			Abutments	\$2,000			
			Pilings/Piers				
			Approaches				
			Culverts				
			Riprap	\$2,000			
			Labor	\$5,000			
			Equip Rental				
			Other				
			# of New Mi	0	\$40,000		
<b>Other funds received, requested, or committed?</b>							
		<b>Yes or No:</b>	No	<b>Amount:</b>			
		Public or Private:	Private	Bridge Inventory #:			
		Old Culvert Size:	5'	New Bridge Size:	12'x 30'		
		Water Body:	Unnamed tributa	Labor Source:			
		Years in System:	20+	Design Weight Load:	25,000		
		Variance or Exception?					

## 2020-21 SNOWMOBILE BRIDGE REHABILITATION REQUESTS

Region	County		Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Q & A
<b>#14</b>		Bridge #16 (Daley) fell into the unnamed tributary of the south fork of the Hay River last fall following a major rainstorm. A bridge permit was granted in December and they picked up and moved it downstream approximately 70' where the banks are narrower. The bridge does not fit this bank, but it worked for the 2019-20 season. Bridge is on Corridor 18. Bridge is believed to be an old trailer frame and did get twisted in the fall.	*Structure	\$30,000	\$50,000 After Cost Share: <b>\$50,000</b>		
			Engineer	\$4,000			
			Permits				
			Site prep	\$5,000			
			Materials				
Abutments	\$4,000						
Pilings/Piers							
Approaches							
Culverts							
Riprap	\$2,000						
Labor	\$5,000						
Equip Rental							
Other							
<b>Other funds received, requested, or committed?</b>							
<b>Yes or No:</b>		No	<b>Amount:</b>				
Public or Private:		private	Bridge Inventory #:	DUNN-16			
Old Bridge Size:		12' x 35'	New Bridge Size:	12' x 40'			
Water Body:		Unnamed tributa	Labor Source:				
Years in System:		Unknown	Design Weight Load:	25,000			
Variance or Exception?			# of New Mi	0	\$50,000		
<b>#15</b>		Bridge #21 Gilbert was placed over an unnamed tributary of Gilbert Creek. The 10' x 14' foot wood bridge is buried in the streambank of a wetland and causing damming up on the stream.	*Structure	\$40,000	\$60,000 After Cost Share: <b>\$60,000</b>		
			Engineer	\$4,000			
			Permits				
			Site prep	\$5,000			
			Materials				
Abutments	\$4,000						
Pilings/Piers							
Approaches							
Culverts							
Riprap	\$2,000						
Labor	\$5,000						
Equip Rental							
bridge removal							
<b>Other funds received, requested, or committed?</b>							
<b>Yes or No:</b>		No	<b>Amount:</b>				
Public or Private:		Public	Bridge Inventory #:	21			
Old Bridge Size:		6' x 6'	New Bridge Size:	12' x 40'			
Water Body:		Unnamed tributa	Labor Source:				
Years in System:		Unknown	Design Weight Load:	25,000			
Variance or Exception?			# of New Mi	0	\$60,000		
<b>#16</b>		Hurtgen #15 is over Sandy Creek. The 12' x 35' is currently tipped and broken so the trail was rerouted over the Co Hwy Q bridge. Two accidents have occurred since this reroute.	*Structure	\$40,000	\$60,000 After Cost Share: <b>\$60,000</b>		
			Engineer	\$4,000			
			Permits				
			Site prep	\$5,000			
			Materials				
Abutments	\$4,000						
Pilings/Piers							
Approaches							
Culverts							
Riprap	\$2,000						
Labor	\$5,000						
Equip Rental							
Other							
<b>Other funds received, requested, or committed?</b>							
<b>Yes or No:</b>		No	<b>Amount:</b>				
Public or Private:		private	Bridge Inventory #:	15			
Old Bridge Size:		12' x 35'	New Bridge Size:	12' x 50'			
Water Body:		Sandy Creek	Labor Source:				
Years in System:		30+	Design Weight Load:	25,000			
Variance or Exception?			# of New Mi	0	\$60,000		



## 2020-21 SNOWMOBILE BRIDGE REHABILITATION REQUESTS

Region	County		Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Q & A
<b>#17</b>	Dunn	Neubauer #20 is over a tributary to the Middle Branch of Gilbert Creek. The 40" X 48" made up of wooden pallets. There was an accident at this site this past year.	*Structure	\$27,000	\$40,000 After Cost Share: <b>\$40,000</b>		
			Engineer	\$3,000			
			Permits				
			Site prep	\$1,000			
			Materials				
Abutments	\$2,000						
Pilings/Piers							
Approaches							
Culverts							
Riprap	\$2,000						
Labor	\$5,000						
Equip Rental							
Other							
<b>Other funds received, requested, or committed?</b>							
<b>Yes or No:</b>			<b>Amount:</b>				
Public or Private:	Private	Bridge Inventory #:	20				
Variance or Exception?	Old Bridge Size: 40" x 48"	New Bridge Size:	12' x 50'				
	Water Body: Unnamed tributa	Labor Source:					
	Years in System: Unknown	Design Weight Load:	25,000				
			# of New Mi	0	\$40,000		
<b>#18</b>	Pierce	County proposes to remove and replace the decking/railings on the Burkhardt Creek #9 bridge near Spring Valley. I discussed with the coordinator replacing the bridge, he said the bridge structure is sound and just needs new decking and railing. He was reminded it would be 10 years before they could ask for another grant for this bridge. This is their choice at this time. He did send photos of underneath the structure. <span style="color: red;">Needs two estimates</span>	*Structure		\$5,000 After Cost Share: <b>\$5,000</b>		
			Engineer				
			Permits				
			Site prep				
			Materials	\$5,000			
Abutments							
Pilings/Piers							
Approaches							
Culverts							
Riprap							
Labor							
Equip Rental							
wetland delin.							
<b>Other funds received, requested, or committed?</b>							
<b>Yes or No:</b>		No	<b>Amount:</b>				
Public or Private:	private	Bridge Inventory #:	9				
Variance or Exception?	Old Bridge Size: 11.5' x 40'	New Bridge Size:					
	Water Body: Burkhardt Creek	Labor Source:	Club				
	Years in System: 34	Design Weight Load:	15,500				
			# of New Mi	0	\$5,000		
<b>#19</b>	Trempealeau	This bridge in Arcadia is rather unique. It is on the golf course and was built originally for golf cart traffic. It has become a part of the snowmobile trail that runs through Arcadia. The plan is to replace this bridge with a 25,000 lb bridge that both the golf course and the club would use and jointly maintain. <span style="color: red;">Needs 2nd quote.</span>	*Structure	\$54,000	\$77,000 After Cost Share: <b>\$77,000</b>		
			Engineer	\$3,000			
			Permits				
			Site prep	\$1,000			
			Materials				
Abutments	\$12,000						
Pilings/Piers							
Approaches							
Culverts							
Riprap	\$2,000						
Labor	\$5,000						
Equip Rental							
Other							
<b>Other funds received, requested, or committed?</b>							
<b>Yes or No:</b>		No	<b>Amount:</b>				
Public or Private:	Private	Bridge Inventory #:					
Variance or Exception?	Old Bridge Size:	New Bridge Size:	12' x 60'				
	Water Body:	Labor Source:					
	Years in System:	Design Weight Load:	25,000				
			# of New Mi	0	\$77,000		

## 2020-21 SNOWMOBILE BRIDGE REHABILITATION REQUESTS

Region	County		Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Q & A
<b>#20</b>	Douglas	Douglas County proposes to replace three culverts on the Wild River Trail. The project will be split between snowmobile and ATV. Wild River Trail Culvert Replacement	*Structure	\$21,070	\$21,070		
			Engineer		After Cost Share:		
			Permits		<b>\$10,535</b>		
			Site prep				
			Materials				
<b>Other funds received, requested, or committed?</b>							
<b>Yes or No:</b>		yes	<b>Amount:</b>		<b>10535</b>		
Variance or Exception?	Public or Private:	public	Bridge Inventory #:				
	Old Culvert Size:		New Culvert Size:				
	Water Body:		Labor Source:				
	Years in System:		Design Weight Load:				
	# of New Mi		0		\$10,535		
<b>#21</b>	Barron	Barron County proposes to replace 3 failing culverts and add 1 additional culvert on Trail 18. <b>Trail 18 Culverts</b>	*Structure		\$9,648		
			Engineer		After Cost Share:		
			Permits		<b>\$9,648</b>		
			Site prep				
			Materials	\$3,600			
<b>Other funds received, requested, or committed?</b>							
<b>Yes or No:</b>		no	<b>Amount:</b>				
Variance or Exception?	Public or Private:	private	Bridge Inventory #:				
	Old Bridge Size:		New Bridge Size:				
	Water Body:		Labor Source:				
	Years in System:		Design Weight Load:				
	# of New Mi		0		\$9,648		
<b>#22</b>	Burnett	Burnett County proposes to replace a boardwalk bridge that has frost heaved and shifted since it was built in 2007. A new design will be incorporated to prevent the frost damage. This project is proposed to be split between RTP and ATV.	*Structure	\$150,000	\$152,500		
			Engineer		After Cost Share:		
			Permits		<b>\$53,750</b>		
			Site prep	\$2,500			
			Materials				
<b>Other funds received, requested, or committed?</b>							
<b>Yes or No:</b>		yes	<b>Amount:</b>		<b>98750</b>		
Variance or Exception?	Public or Private:	public	Bridge Inventory #:		17		
	Old Bridge Size:	12x300	New Bridge Size:		12x300		
	Water Body:	wetland	Labor Source:				
	Years in System:	13	Design Weight Load:		25,000		
	# of New Mi		0		\$53,750		

## 2020-21 SNOWMOBILE BRIDGE REHABILITATION REQUESTS

Region	County		Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Q & A
<b>#23</b>	Polk	Polk County proposes to rehab the area around a 36 inch culvert to prevent it from washing out. The project involves fill material, heavy riprap, fabric, as well as erosion control measures. <b>Gandy Culvert.</b>	*Structure	\$9,676	\$10,176		
			Engineer		After Cost Share:		
			Permits		<b>\$5,088</b>		
			Site prep				
<b>Other funds received, requested, or committed?</b>							
		<b>Yes or No:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <b>Amount:</b> <input type="text" value="5088"/>					
Variance or Exception?		Public or Private:      public      Bridge Inventory #: <input type="text" value=""/>					
		Old Bridge Size: <input type="text" value=""/>	New Bridge Size: <input type="text" value=""/>	Equip Rental      \$500			
		Water Body: <input type="text" value=""/>	Labor Source: <input type="text" value=""/>	Other			
		Years in System: <input type="text" value=""/>	Design Weight Load: <input type="text" value=""/>	# of New Mi      0	\$5,088		
<b>#24</b>	Sheboygan	Sheboygan County proposes to replace the old Elkhart lake Boat Landing bridge with a 12' X 30' removable bridge kit.	*Structure	\$25,800	\$31,350		
			Engineer		After Cost Share:		
			Permits		<b>\$31,350</b>		
			Site prep				
<b>Other funds received, requested, or committed?</b>							
		<b>Yes or No:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <b>Amount:</b> <input type="text" value=""/>					
Variance or Exception?		Public or Private:      public      Bridge Inventory #: <input type="text" value="23"/>					
		Old Bridge Size:      11'6" X 25'      New Culvert Size:      12' X 30'	Labor      \$2,350	Equip Rental      \$2,500			
		Water Body:      Elkhart Lake      Labor Source: <input type="text" value="Sponsor"/>	Other      \$700				
		Years in System:      30+      Design Weight Load: <input type="text" value="25,000"/>	# of New Mi      0		\$31,350		
<b>#25</b>	Sheboygan	Sheboygan County proposes to replace the old Howard Weiss bridge with a 12' X 30' removable bridge kit.	*Structure	\$25,800	\$29,400		
			Engineer		After Cost Share:		
			Permits		<b>\$29,400</b>		
			Site prep				
<b>Other funds received, requested, or committed?</b>							
		<b>Yes or No:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <b>Amount:</b> <input type="text" value=""/>					
Variance or Exception?		Public or Private:      Private      Bridge Inventory #: <input type="text" value="39"/>					
		Old Bridge Size:      11'6" X 25'      New Bridge Size:      12' X 30'	Labor      \$1,700	Equip Rental      \$1,200			
		Water Body:      Sheboygan      Labor Source: <input type="text" value="Sponsor"/>	Other      \$700				
		Years in System:      30      Design Weight Load: <input type="text" value="25,000"/>	# of New Mi      0		\$29,400		

## 2020-21 SNOWMOBILE BRIDGE REHABILITATION REQUESTS

Region	County		Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Q & A	
<b>#26</b>	SCR	Columbia	Rowan Creek Bridge Replacement - Replace the existing failing bridge to accommodate the new GVW requirements. This bridge covers Rowan Creek, the main structure is detorating and does not comply with the new guidelines. The only other alternate route would be the highway to go around the bridge being unusable.	*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other	\$77,500	\$77,500 After Cost Share: <b>\$77,500</b>		
	<b>Other funds received, requested, or committed?</b>							
	<b>Yes or No:</b> <input type="checkbox"/>		<b>Amount:</b> <input type="text"/>					
	Variance or Exception?	Public or Private: <b>Public</b>	Bridge Inventory #:					
	Old Culvert Size:	New Culvert Size:						
	Water Body: <b>Rowan Creek</b>	Labor Source:						
	Years in System: <b>30</b>	Design Weight Load: <b>28,000</b>	# of New Mi	0	\$77,500			
<b>#27</b>	SCR	Dane	Dane County proposes replacing the MTV 7 - Martinson Spring Valley Bridge. Current bridge is over an unnamed ditch adjacent to Spring Valley Creek on State Corridor #21 in Green County. Dane County has asked that the bridge be removed and abandoned. Receont flooding has compromised the stream banks and the structure and footings have deteriorated to the point of being unuseable	*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other	\$38,700	\$38,700 After Cost Share: <b>\$38,700</b>		
	<b>Other funds received, requested, or committed?</b>							
	<b>Yes or No:</b> <input type="checkbox"/>		<b>Amount:</b> <input type="text"/>					
	Variance or Exception?	Public or Private: <b>Private</b>	Bridge Inventory #:	<b>Dane 13</b>				
	Old Bridge Size: <b>12.5x25</b>	New Bridge Size:	<b>12x30</b>					
	Water Body: <b>Spring Valley</b>	Labor Source:						
	Years in System: <b>20</b>	Design Weight Load: <b>25,000</b>	# of New Mi	0	\$38,700			
<b>#28</b>	SCR	Dane	Dane County proposes replacing the MTV 9 - Spring Valley Creek Bridge. Current bridge is over Spring Valley Creek on State Corridor #21 in Green County. Dane County has asked that the bridge be removed and abandoned. Receont flooding has compromised the stream banks and the structure and footings have deteriorated to the point of being unuseable	*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental bridge removal	\$50,600	\$50,600 After Cost Share: <b>\$50,600</b>		
	<b>Other funds received, requested, or committed?</b>							
	<b>Yes or No:</b> <input type="checkbox"/>		<b>Amount:</b> <input type="text"/>					
	Variance or Exception?	Public or Private: <b>Private</b>	Bridge Inventory #:	<b>Dane 15</b>				
	Old Bridge Size: <b>12X31</b>	New Bridge Size:	<b>12X40</b>					
	Water Body: <b>Spring Valley</b>	Labor Source:						
	Years in System: <b>20</b>	Design Weight Load: <b>25,000</b>	# of New Mi	0	\$50,600			

## 2020-21 SNOWMOBILE BRIDGE REHABILITATION REQUESTS

Region	County		Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Q & A
<b>#29</b>	SCR	Dane	Dane County proposes replacing the MTV 11 - Brewery ditch Bridge. Current bridge is over an unnamed ditch on State Corridor #21 in Green County. Dane County has asked that the bridge be removed and abandoned. Recent flooding has compromised the stream banks and the structure and footings have deteriorated to the point of being unuseable		*Structure \$45,700	\$45,700 After Cost Share: <b>\$45,700</b>	
			<b>Other funds received, requested, or committed?</b> <b>Yes or No:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Amount:</b> <input type="text"/>		Engineer		
			Public or Private: Private	Bridge Inventory #: Dane 17	Permits		
	Variance or Exception?		Old Bridge Size: 12x24	New Bridge Size: 12x34	Site prep		
		Water Body: n/a	Labor Source:	Materials	\$45,700		
		Years in System:	Design Weight Load: 25,000	Abutments			
				Pilings/Piers			
				Approaches			
<b>#30</b>	SCR	Jefferson	Watertown airport trail bridge replacement. Club built bridge washed out in 2019 and will be replaced with a new club built bridge of slightly longer span. <b>(NOTE - Grants can only be awarded for bridges with stamped, engineered plans. In order to receive grant funds, that will be a requirement of the new structure, so budget and scope may need to change to remain eligible for grant funds).</b>		*Structure \$20,000	\$20,000 After Cost Share: <b>\$20,000</b>	
			<b>Other funds received, requested, or committed?</b> <b>Yes or No:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Amount:</b> <input type="text"/>		Engineer		
			Public or Private: Public	Bridge Inventory #:	Permits		
	Variance or Exception?		Old Bridge Size: 8x14	New Bridge Size: 8x16	Site prep		
		Water Body: n/a	Labor Source:	Materials	\$20,000		
		Years in System: 15+	Design Weight Load: 12,000	Abutments			
				Pilings/Piers			
				Approaches			
<b>#31</b>	WCR	Wood	Request to replace a failing railroad bridge that has been repurposed for snowmobile use. New bridge will be steel span. <b>DQ Farms</b>		*Structure \$79,900	\$149,900 After Cost Share: <b>\$149,900</b>	
			<b>Other funds received, requested, or committed?</b> <b>Yes or No:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Amount:</b> <input type="text"/>		Engineer		
			Public or Private: Private	Bridge Inventory #:	Permits		
	Variance or Exception?		Old Bridge Size: 12x65	New Bridge Size: 12x75	Site prep		
		Water Body: Hemlock Creek	Labor Source:	Materials	\$149,900		
		Years in System: 50+	Design Weight Load: 14,000	Abutments \$11,900			
				Pilings/Piers \$1,125			
				Approaches			

## 2020-21 SNOWMOBILE BRIDGE REHABILITATION REQUESTS

Region	County		Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Q & A
<b>#32</b>	Wood	Request to repair/rehab the abutment on the north end of the bridge of the East Ford of the Yellow River. Abutment is washing out and needs to be shored up. - <b>Needs estimates, etc</b>	*Structure		\$25,000		
			Engineer		After Cost Share:		
			Permits		<b>\$25,000</b>		
			Site prep				
			Materials				
			Abutments				
			Pilings/Piers				
			Approaches				
			Culverts				
			Riprap				
Labor							
Equip Rental							
Other	\$25,000						
# of New Mi	0		\$25,000				
<b>TOTAL</b>					<b>\$1,150,263</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

## 2020-21 SNOWMOBILE TRAIL REHABILITATION REQUESTS

Region	County	Description	Component	Costs	Total	Approved	
<b>#33</b>	NOR-R	Oneida	Oneida County proposes to rehab a portion of Trail 5 west of the Willow Flowage in the Town of Lynne, that crosses over the Willow Spillway coming out of Willow Lake. This trail portion was last rehabbed in 2002-03, where rock was added to the spillway trail. Over time and use, this rock has washed away, creating a deeper depth that does not freeze over in winter. This project would add rock to approximately 225 feet of existing trail to raise the depth and allow freezing in winter. The County has applied for RTP funds for half the project costs.	*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other	\$18,249	\$25,800 After Cost Share: <b>\$12,900</b>	
			<b>Other funds received, requested, or committed?</b>				
			<b>Yes or No:</b> Yes	<b>Amount:</b> 12900			
			Public or Private: Public	Bridge Inventory #:			
			Old Bridge Size:	New Bridge Size:			
Variance or Exception?		Water Body: Willow River	Labor Source:				
Yes		Years in System:	Design Weight Load:				
			# of New Mi	0	\$12,900		
<b>#34</b>	NOR-R	Oneida	Oneida County proposes to rehab a portion of NWP Trail 8 in the Town of Woodboro. Due to poor drainage and wetlands, as well as warmer winter temperatures, a 20-ft stretch of the trail was under water resulting in closure of about 3.5 miles of trail for several weeks. This project would raise approximately 225 feet of existing trail higher than the surrounding landscape and install a culvert to allow water flow to not impact the trail in the future. This trail was added to Oneida's funded trail system last year.	*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other	\$6,000 \$3,000 \$3,200 \$800 \$12,000	\$25,000 After Cost Share: <b>\$25,000</b>	
			<b>Other funds received, requested, or committed?</b>				
			<b>Yes or No:</b> No	<b>Amount:</b>			
			Public or Private: Public	Bridge Inventory #:			
			Old Bridge Size:	New Bridge Size:			
Variance or Exception?		Water Body:	Labor Source: Contract				
Yes		Years in System: <1yr	Design Weight Load:				
			# of New Mi	0	\$25,000		
<b>#35</b>	NOR-R	Lincoln	Lincoln County is proposing to rehab 2.5 miles of the Scenic Loop Trail to resurface and level rough areas and to provide better water control for sustainability. Work will include replacing several failing and undersized culverts as well as installing 2 new culverts and about 400 feet of ditching along the trail to improve drainage. Material will be added to low areas and potholes along the trail, and several broad dip areas will be reduced in steepness for improved visibility and riding experience. Repairs will also be completed on a rock ford that has silted in. As this trail is open to ATVs and snowmobiles, the County is also requesting ATV funds (\$16,687).	*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other	\$3,383 \$3,356 \$7,864 \$10,427	\$25,031 After Cost Share: <b>\$8,344</b>	
			<b>Other funds received, requested, or committed?</b>				
			<b>Yes or No:</b> Yes	<b>Amount:</b> \$ 16,687.00			
			Public or Private: Public	Bridge Inventory #:			
			Old Bridge Size:	New Bridge Size:			
Variance or Exception?		Water Body:	Labor Source: Force and Contract				
Yes		Years in System:	Design Weight Load:				
			# of New Mi	0	\$8,344		

## 2020-21 SNOWMOBILE TRAIL REHABILITATION REQUESTS

Region	County	Description	Component	Costs	Total	Approved
WCR	Vernon	Coulee Track n Trails trail stabilization - Excessive flooding has compromised the trail and will lead to it's closuer. This project will stabilize the trail for future use	*Structure		\$9,500	
<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; width: 40px; margin: 0 auto;">#36</div>			Engineer		After Cost Share:	
			Permits		<b>\$9,500</b>	
			Site prep			
			Materials			
			Abutments			
			Pilings/Piers			
			Approaches			
		<b>Other funds received, requested, or committed?</b>				
		<b>Yes or No:</b>	<b>Amount:</b>			
		Public or Private:	Bridge Inventory #:			
	Variance or Exception?	Old Bridge Size:	New Bridge Size:			
		Water Body:	Labor Source:		\$9,500	
		Years in System:	Design Weight Load:			
			# of New Mi	0	\$9,500	
				TOTAL	\$55,744	\$0



## 2020-21 SNOWMOBILE MANDATORY TRAIL RELOCATION REQUESTS

Region	County	Description	Component	Costs	Total	Approved	0	17	5	10	10	10	5	10*	-10	-10	-10	TOTAL				
<b>#37</b>	NER	Brown	Brown County proposes to relocate a section of trail that has washed into Plum Creek. The farmer has been working with another municipality to solve erosion issues. The municipality will contribute the cost of the ford (\$35,000). The county is asking for funds to clear a 1.5 acre, 25' wide, path to be used by the farmer and the snowmobile trail.	*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other	\$9,000  \$9,000	\$9,000 After Cost Share: <b>\$9,000</b>												0				
			<b>Other funds received, requested, or committed?</b>																			
			<b>Yes or No:</b>	<b>Amount:</b>																		
	Variance or Exception?		Public or Private: private	Bridge Inventory #:																		
		Old Bridge Size:	New Bridge Size:																			
		Water Body: Plum Creek	Labor Source:																			
		Years in System:	Design Weight Load:																			
		yes			0	\$9,000																
<b>#38</b>	WC	Pierce	Due to the loss of a landowner in the Esdaile area, the county is required to reroute the trail which will require a new bridge. <b>Only one quote available at this time.</b>	*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental H & H Study	\$105,000 \$4,000  \$6,000 \$6,000 \$1,000	\$150,000 After Cost Share: <b>\$150,000</b>													0			
			<b>Other funds received, requested, or committed?</b>																			
			<b>Yes or No:</b>	<b>Amount:</b>																		
	Variance or Exception?		Public or Private: private	Bridge Inventory #:																		
		Old Bridge Size:	New Bridge Size: 12' x 80'																			
		Water Body: Isabelle Creek	Labor Source:																			
		Years in System:	Design Weight Load: 25,000																			
					0	\$150,000																
<b>#39</b>	WC	Clark	A 24" culvert at this location washed out. At that time the club rerouted the trail to another location. The property owner has expressed desire to move the trail away from his dealership back to the original crossing location.	*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other	\$40,000 \$4,000  \$5,000  \$4,000	\$60,000 After Cost Share: <b>\$60,000</b>													0			
			<b>Other funds received, requested, or committed?</b>																			
			<b>Yes or No:</b>	<b>Amount:</b>																		
	Variance or Exception?		Public or Private: Private	Bridge Inventory #:																		
		Old Culvert Size: 24"	New Bridge Size: 12' x 50'																			
		Water Body: Unnamed	Labor Source:																			
		Years in System:	Design Weight Load: 25,000																			
					0	\$60,000																

## 2020-21 SNOWMOBILE MANDATORY TRAIL RELOCATION REQUESTS

Region	County	Description	Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Reason	Lua Length	Trail Type	Miles Lost	Other Funds	Snowfall	County Pts.	Deduct: Multi-grants	Ded: RR Xing	Ded: Incomplete App.	TOTAL		
NOR-S	Barron	Barron County has rerouted a section of trail due to land owner changes. The reroute is complete but the trail will need a 12'x30' bridge placed over an unnamed creek. The club already has the bridge so this project is for site prep, installation of the bridge and railing material. <b>Trail 30 Bridge Install.</b>  <b>Other funds received, requested, or committed?</b> <b>Yes or No:</b> <input type="text" value="no"/> <b>Amount:</b> <input type="text"/> Public or Private: <input type="text" value="private"/> Bridge Inventory #: <b>12402</b> Old Bridge Size: <input type="text"/> New Bridge Size: <input type="text" value="12x30"/> Water Body: <input type="text" value="unnamed"/> Labor Source: <input type="text"/> Years in System: <input type="text"/> Design Weight Load: <input type="text" value="25,000"/>	*Structure		\$7,127														
				Engineer		After Cost Share:													
				Permits		<b>\$7,127</b>													
				Site prep	\$3,135														
				Materials	\$1,500														
				Abutments															
				Pilings/Piers															
				Approaches															
				Culverts	\$800														
				Riprap															
			Labor	\$320															
			Equip	\$1,372															
			Other																
			# of New Mi	0	\$7,127														
			<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>\$226,127</b>													<b>\$0</b>	

## 2020-21 SNOWMOBILE DISCRETIONARY TRAIL RELOCATION REQUESTS

Region	County	Description	Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Reason	Lua Length	Safety	Trail Type	Miles Lost	Other Funds	Snowfall	County Pts	Deduct: Multi-grants	Ded: RR Xing	Ded: Incomplete App.	TOTAL	Q&A		
<b>#41</b>	NER	Manitowoc	Manitowoc proposes to move a section of funded trail to a club trail due to landowner request. No development funds are needed. The reroute would decrease the number of road crossings and eliminate a highway bridge crossing, but would add 1.2 miles to the system.	*Structure		\$0		5	15	5	10	10	10	5	10*	-10	-10	-10			
				Engineer		After Cost Share:		3	15	5	10		2	10					45		
				Permits		<b>\$0</b>															
				Site prep																	
			Materials																		
			Abutments																		
			Pilings/Piers																		
			Approaches																		
			Culverts																		
			Riprap																		
			Labor																		
			Equip Rental																		
			Other																		
				# of New Mi		\$360															
			<b>Other funds received, requested, or committed?</b>																		
			<b>Yes or No:</b>		<b>Amount:</b>																
			Public or Private:		Bridge Inventory #:																
			Variance or Exception?	Old Bridge Size:	New Bridge Size:																
				Water Body:	Labor Source:																
				Years in System:	Design Weight Load:																
<b>#42</b>	NOR-R	Langlade	Langlade County proposes to install a recreation wing on the County Hwy T Bridge over the Wolf River. The Co Highway Dept will be replacing the bridge in 2022, with bids likely to go out 2021. Currently snowmobiles and winter ATVs cross the bridge with the other traffic, and have done so for many years. In an effort to provide safer crossing, the timing of the new bridge construction would allow an additional 12-ft wide wing to be developed, along with a concrete and light barrier separating vehicles from the trail. Langlade County has submitted an application for RTP funds. The remainder would be split between Snow and ATV. RTP and ATV SHARE NOT YET CONFIRMED.	*Structure	\$250,000	\$310,500		5	17	5	10	5	5	2	10						
				Engineer	\$10,000	After Cost Share:															
				Permits		<b>\$132,750</b>															
				Site prep																	
			Materials																		
			Abutments																		
			Pilings/Piers																		
			Approaches		\$10,000																
			Culverts																		
			Riprap																		
			Labor																		
			Equip Rental																		
			Other		\$40,500																
				# of New Mi		\$132,750															
			<b>Other funds received, requested, or committed?</b>																		
			<b>Yes or No:</b>	Yes	<b>Amount:</b>	\$ 177,750.00															
			Public or Private:	Public	Bridge Inventory #:																
			Variance or Exception?	Old Bridge Size:	Hwy Bridge	New Bridge Size:	12x110ft														
				Water Body:	Wolf River	Labor Source:	Contract														
				Years in System:	Design Weight Load:																

## 2020-21 SNOWMOBILE DISCRETIONARY TRAIL RELOCATION REQUESTS

Region	County	Description	Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Reason	LUA Length	Safety	Trail Type	Miles Lost	Other Funds	Snowfall	County Pts	Deduct: Multi-grants	Ded: RR X'ing	Ded: Incomplete App.	TOTAL	Q&A	
																				5
<b>#43</b>	Bayfield	Bayfield County proposes to re-route a portion of Wildcat trail due to a large pond of water that will not freeze. <b>Wildcat Re-Route</b>	*Structure	\$7,230	\$7,230														52	
			Engineer		After Cost Share:															
			Permits		<b>\$7,230</b>															
			Site prep																	
			Materials																	
		Abutments																		
		Pilings/Piers																		
		Approaches																		
		Culverts																		
		Riprap																		
		Labor																		
		Equip Rental																		
		Other																		
		Years in System:	# of New Mi	0	\$7,230															
<b>#44</b>	Burnett	Burnett County proposes to re-route a portion of Trail 925 to straighten the trail for the approach to the boardwalk bridge. The project will involve constructing 400 ft of new trail. The project is proposed to be split with snow and RTP. ATV \$3745 and RTP \$7490. <b>Trail 925W Reroute</b>	*Structure	\$14,980	\$14,980														59	
			Engineer		After Cost Share:															
			Permits		<b>\$3,745</b>															
			Site prep																	
			Materials																	
		Abutments																		
		Pilings/Piers																		
		Approaches																		
		Culverts																		
		Riprap																		
		Labor																		
		Equip Rental																		
		Other																		
		Years in System:	# of New Mi	0	\$3,745															
<b>TOTAL</b>																				<b>\$0</b>

## 2020-21 SNOWMOBILE NEW BRIDGE REQUESTS

Region	County		Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Permits	Location	Funding	Easement	Type	Impact	LT Cost	Active	Incomplete	County	Total	Q & A				
NER	Door	Door County proposes to install 2 bridges over Whitefish Bay Creek. One will be repurposed from a 2018 reroute and one will be constructed. The 2018 reroute moved the trail to this location. <span style="color: red;">Needs work*</span>	Bridge 1	\$15,935	\$75,935 After Cost Share: \$75,935		5	10	5	17	10	20	25	-10	-10	10	72					
			Bridge 2	\$60,000			5	5	0	12	10	5	15			10	62					
			Permits																			
			Site prep																			
			Materials																			
			Abutments																			
			Pilings/Piers																			
			Approaches																			
			Culverts																			
			Riprap																			
			Labor																			
			Equip Rental																			
			Other																			
			# of New Mi	0	\$75,935																	
			<b>Other funds received, requested, or committed?</b>																			
			<b>Yes or No:</b>	no	<b>Amount:</b>																	
			Public or Private:	private	Bridge Inventory #:																	
			Old Bridge Size:		New Bridge Size:	12' X50'																
			Water Body:	Whitefish Bay	Labor Source:	contractor																
			Years in System:		Design Weight	12K & 25K*																
WC	Clark	Cloverdale Avenue and River Avenue Bridge is a new bridge that will be installed on an existing trail. The current crossing is being completed as an ice bridge crossing which doesn't work well. This portion of the trail usually must close early for safety reasons.	*Structure	\$30,000	\$51,500 After Cost Share: \$51,500		5	5	0	15	8	5	5	0	0	6	49					
			Engineer	\$4,000																		
			Permits																			
			Site prep	\$5,000																		
			Materials																			
			Abutments	\$4,000																		
			Pilings/Piers																			
			Approaches	\$1,500																		
			Culverts																			
			Riprap	\$2,000																		
			Labor	\$5,000																		
			Equip Rental																			
			Other																			
			# of New Mi	0	\$51,500																	
			<b>Other funds received, requested, or committed?</b>																			
			<b>Yes or No:</b>	No	<b>Amount:</b>																	
			Public or Private:	Private	Bridge Inventory #:																	
			Old Bridge Size:		New Bridge Size:	12' x 40'																
			Water Body:	Unnamed	Labor Source:																	
			Years in System:		Design Weight	25,000																
WC	Clark	CTH K and 153 is a new bridge is proposed on a previously mandatory relocated trail due to Maple Syrup production interference with the traditional trail location. The club did the work. This is a dangerous ice crossing the club has tried to maintain. Asking 50-50 funding from Snowmobile and ATV.	*Structure	\$65,000	\$83,000 After Cost Share: \$41,500		5	5	0	15	10	10	10	0	0	4	59					
			Engineer																			
			Permits																			
			Site prep																			
			Materials																			
			Abutments																			
			Pilings/Piers																			
			Approaches																			
			Culverts																			
			Riprap																			
			Labor	\$12,500																		
			Equip Rental	\$2,000																		
			Other	\$3,500																		
			# of New Mi	0	\$41,500																	
			<b>Other funds received, requested, or committed?</b>																			
			<b>Yes or No:</b>	Yes	<b>Amount:</b>	41,500																
			Public or Private:	private	Bridge Inventory #:																	
			Old Bridge Size:		New Bridge Size:	12' x 80'																
			Water Body:	Unnamed	Labor Source:																	
			Years in System:	Unnamed	Design Weight	25,000																

## 2020-21 SNOWMOBILE NEW BRIDGE REQUESTS

Region	County	Description	Component	Costs	Total	Approved	5	10	5	17	10	20	25	-10	-10	10	72	Q & A			
<b>#48</b>	NOR-S	Burnett	Burnett County proposes to install a 12'x380' boardwalk over a wetland area that does not freeze and has open water. <b>Trail 130 Bridge</b>	*Structure	\$190,000	\$193,250 After Cost Share: <b>\$74,125</b>	5	10	0	17	8	5	5	0	0	10	60				
				Engineer																	
				Permits																	
				Site prep	\$3,250																
			Materials																		
			Abutments																		
			Pilings/Piers																		
			Approaches																		
			Culverts																		
			Riprap																		
			Labor																		
			Equip Rental																		
			Other																		
			# of New Mi		0	\$74,125															
			<b>Other funds received, requested, or committed?</b>																		
			<b>Yes or No:</b>	yes	<b>Amount:</b>	<b>119125</b>															
			Public or Private:	public	Bridge Inventory #:																
			Old Bridge Size:		New Bridge Size:	12'x380'															
			Water Body:		Labor Source:																
			Years in System:		Design Weight																
<b>#49</b>	NOR-S	Rusk	Rusk County proposes to place a new bridge on a Tributary to the Flambeau River. Prior to 94 there was a bridge at this site but was lost during a flood event. Erosion of the banks have made it difficult to cross the stream necessitating the bridge. <b>Corridor 12 Felix Bridge</b>	*Structure	\$42,000	\$45,200 After Cost Share: <b>\$45,200</b>	0	10	0	17	10	0	5	0	0	10	52				
				Engineer																	
				Permits																	
				Site prep																	
			Materials																		
			Abutments																		
			Pilings/Piers																		
			Approaches																		
			Culverts																		
			Riprap																		
			Labor																		
			Equip Rental																		
			Other		\$200																
			# of New Mi			0															
			<b>Other funds received, requested, or committed?</b>																		
			<b>Yes or No:</b>	no	<b>Amount:</b>																
			Public or Private:	public	Bridge Inventory #:																
			Old Bridge Size:		New Bridge Size:	12'x36'															
			Water Body:	Trib Flambeau	Labor Source:																
			Years in System:		Design Weight	25,000															
<b>TOTAL</b>																					
					\$288,260																
							\$0														

## 2020-21 NEW MILES

1. Columns E, F, & G are gateway questions and one of the columns needs to be marked for the application to be eligible.
2. Column H indicates if the required map was submitted.
3. Column I indicates if the certification statement was signed.
4. Columns J through R are the actual ratings and rankings with point value.
5. Column P thru R should be entered as negative numbers. "-1"

PROJ #	REGION	COUNTY	TRAIL NAME	MILES														TOT	\$ DEV	\$ TOTAL	FUNDED		
					1	2	3	4	5	6	7	7	7	1	2	3	4					5	6
	NER	Brown	#2 Trail to Village Park	0.8		x	x	x	x	x	2	1	1	2	2	1				9	\$0	\$240	
	NER	Brown	#3 Trail to Gas/Food	0.83		x	x	x	x	x	2	1	1	2	2	1				9	\$0	\$249	
	NER	Calumet	Deer Run	3.3	x	x	x	x	x	x	2	2	1	0	2	2				9	\$0	\$990	
	NER	Door	Corridor 1 West Jacksonport	3.15	x	x	x	x	x	1	2	1	1	1	2				8	\$0	\$945		
	NER	Fond du Lac	Silver Creek	6.1	x			x	x	0	1	1	1	2	2				7	\$0	\$1,830		
	NER	Fond du Lac	Twin Oaks Wonser/Goose	2.9	x		x	x	x	0	1	1	0	2					4	\$0	\$870		
	NER	Fond du Lac	Kiekhaefer Park to Peebles Shell	2.78		x	x	x	x	0	2	1	1	2					6	\$0	\$834		
	NER	Fond du Lac	St Peter South	5.6		x	x	x	x	0	2	1	1	2					6	\$0	\$1,680		
	NER	Green Lake	Trail GLC 44	7		x	x	x	x	2	1	1	2		2				8	\$0	\$2,100		
	NER	Kewaunee	L-ELL	8.1			x	x	x	0	1	1	0	1	2		-3		2	\$0	\$2,430		
	NER	Kewaunee	SU-SLN	2.1			x	x	x	0	1	1	0	2	0		-3		1	\$0	\$630		
	NER	Kewaunee	DU-LL	1.3			x	x	x	0	1	1	0	2	0		-3		1	\$0	\$390		
	NER	Manitowoc	D-2-2,D-2-1, B-2 Pigeon	11.5			x	x	x	2	1	1	2	2	0		-3		5	\$0	\$3,450		
	NER	Manitowoc	D-2-3 Badger Creek	2.5	x		x	x	x	2	3	1	2	2	2		-3		9	\$0	\$750		
	NER	Manitowoc	G-2	0.5		x		x	x	2	1	1	2	2	0				8	\$0	\$150		
	NER	Manitowoc	M-2	1.2	x			x	x	2	1	1	2	2	0		-3		5	\$0	\$360		
	NER	Marinette	Corridor #3	13.3	x	x	x	x	x	0	3	2	2	2	2				11	\$0	\$3,990		
	NER	Oconto	#1 Oconto Falls to Gillett	11.8		x		x	x	2	2	2	1	2	2				11	\$0	\$3,540		
	NER	Oconto	#2 Chase	1.1		x		x	x	1	1	2	1	2					7	\$0	\$330		
	NER	Oconto	#3 Gillett	2	x			x	x	1	3	2	1	2					9	\$0	\$600		
	NER	Outagamie	Z6-1-NPR	1.4	x	x		x	x	0	3	1	1	1					6	\$0	\$420		
	NER	Outagamie	Z1-1-NG	1.7	x	x	x	x	x	0	3	1	1	2	1		-3		5	\$0	\$510		
	NER	Outagamie	Z5-6-PB/Z5-7-GK	3.2		x	x	x	x	0	1	1	1	2	1		-3		3	\$0	\$960		
	NER	Outagamie	Z5-4-DT	2.7	x	x	x	x	x	0	1	1	1	2			-3		2	\$0	\$810		
	NER	Outagamie	Z5-3-DT	2.4		x	x	x	x	0	1	1	1	2			-3		2	\$0	\$720		
	NER	Shawano	Tigerton to Caroline	13.6	x			x	x	0	2	2	1	2	2		-3		6	\$0	\$4,080		
	NER	Shawano	Outagamie	4.6	x			x	x	0	3	2	1	2			-3		5	\$0	\$1,380		
	NER	Shawano	Docs	5.6			x	x	x	0	2	2	0	2					6	\$0	\$1,680		
	NER	Shawano	Mattoon to Langlade	3.5	x			x	x	0	3	2	0	1					6	\$0	\$1,050		

## 2020-21 NEW MILES

1. Columns E, F, & G are gateway questions and one of the columns needs to be marked for the application to be eligible.
2. Column H indicates if the required map was submitted.
3. Column I indicates if the certification statement was signed.
4. Columns J through R are the actual ratings and rankings with point value.
5. Column P thru R should be entered as negative numbers. "-1"

PROJ #	REGION	COUNTY	TRAIL NAME	MILES	Gateway-Primary Access		Gateway-Population Access		Gateway-High Use		Map attached Y/N		Certification attached Y/N		Public Lands 0-3 pts		Connect Counties/States 0-3 pts		Mean Snowfall 1-3 pts		Trail segment groomed 0-2 pts		County Coordinator 0-2 pts		Deduct Bridge/Trail needs 3 pts		Deducts parallel trails 3 pts		Deduct if runs to water 2 pts		TOT	\$ DEV	\$ TOTAL	FUNDED
					1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26				
	NER	Shawano	Shortcut	1.9		x		x	x	x	0	1	2	0	2															5	\$0	\$570		
	NER	Shawano	Tilleda Loop	7.5			x	x	x	x	0	1	2	0	2															5	\$0	\$2,250		
	NER	Waupaca	#1 Trails VE & CL Cty Ln-Embarra	1.7	x	x	x	x	x	x	0	3	1	1	1														6	\$0	\$510			
	NER	Waupaca	#2 Trail T-Int #38-New London	4.7	x	x	x	x	x	x	0	3	1	1	1														6	\$0	\$1,410			
	NER	Waupaca	#4 Trail AA-Int #69-Waushara	2.1	x		x	x	x	x	0	3	1	1	1														6	\$0	\$630			
	NER	Waupaca	#5 Trail A/310-Int #24A-Portage	10.1	x	x	x	x	x	x	0	3	1	1	1	2													8	\$0	\$3,030			
	NER	Waupaca	#6 Trails O/6A&B/FF6C	10.5		x	x	x	x	x	0	2	1	1	2														6	\$0	\$3,150			
	NER	Waupaca	#7 Trail HH Int #71-Winnebago	1.5	x		x	x	x	x	0	3	1	1	2														7	\$0	\$450			
	NER	Waupaca	#8 Rail Trail-Parking Corridor 9	0.6		x	x	x	x	x	0	1	1	1	1														4	\$0	\$180			
	NER	Waupaca	#9 Trail QQ Int #47a-Hwy 10	2.5		x	x	x	x	x	0	1	1	1	1														4	\$0	\$750			
	NER	Waupaca	#10 Trail SE	6.4	x	x	x	x	x	x	0	3	1	1	2														7	\$0	\$1,920			
	NER	Waushara	6A-5	3.8	x	x	x	x	x	x	2	1	1	1	2	2													9	\$0	\$1,140			
	NER	Waushara	26-30A	8.5	x	x	x	x	x	x	0	1	1	1	2														2	\$0	\$2,550			
	NER	Winnebago	C11	6.9		x		x	x	x	0	1	1	2	2	2													5	\$0	\$2,070			
	SER	Kenosha	East Twin Lakes Trail	8.1	x	x	x	x	x	x	3	3	1	3	2	1													13	\$0	\$2,430			
	SER	Kenosha	West Twin Lakes Trail	4.5		x	x	x	x	x	1	1	1	3	2	1													9	\$0	\$1,350			
	SER	Racine	Snowhawks	6.9		x	x	x	x	x	0	2	1	1	2	1													7	\$0	\$2,070			
	SER	Racine	Burlington-Saller Woods	2.4	x			x	x	x	3	1	1	1	2	1													9	\$0	\$720			
	SER	Sheboygan	I-43 Park & Ride	6.25		x	x	x	x	x	0	1	1	1	2	2													7	\$0	\$1,875			
	SER	Sheboygan	RL Club-4	3.35	x		x	x	x	x	2	1	1	1	2														7	\$0	\$1,005			
	SER	Sheboygan	7TSF2 & PSR Club-2	5.59	x	x	x	x	x	x	3	1	1	1	2														8	\$0	\$1,677			
	SER	Sheboygan	NF Club 1	3.24	x			x	x	x	0	3	1	1	1														6	\$0	\$972			
	SER	Sheboygan	HG Club-2	3.7	x		x	x	x	x	2	1	1	1	2														7	\$0	\$1,110			
	SER	Sheboygan	AY Club-1	2.6		x	x	x	x	x	2	1	1	1	2														4	\$0	\$780			
	SER	Walworth	Elkhorn-new	25.4	x	x	x	x	x	x	2	2	1	2	2	2													11	\$0	\$7,620			
	SER	Walworth	Interstate	6.8	x	x	x	x	x	x	2	3	1	2	2														10	\$0	\$2,040			
	SER	Walworth	Lyons	7.3		x	x	x	x	x	2	1	1	2	2														5	\$0	\$2,190			
	SER	Washington	Corridor 7 to Corridor 34	12		x	x		x	x	2	2	1	1	2	2													10	\$0	\$3,600			
	NOR-R	Forest	6a -- Waters Edge to LMT	1.1																									0	\$0	\$330			



## 2020-21 NEW MILES

1. Columns E, F, & G are gateway questions and one of the columns needs to be marked for the application to be eligible.
2. Column H indicates if the required map was submitted.
3. Column I indicates if the certification statement was signed.
4. Columns J through R are the actual ratings and rankings with point value.
5. Column P thru R should be entered as negative numbers. "-1"

PROJ #	REGION	COUNTY	TRAIL NAME	MILES	Gateway-Primary Access	Gateway-Population Access	Gateway-High Use	Map attached Y/N	Certification attached Y/N	Public Lands	Connect Counties/States	Mean Snowfall	Trail Ratio	Trail segment groomed	County Coordinator	Deduct Bridge/Trail needs	Deducts parallel trails	Deduct if runs to water	TOT	\$ DEV	\$ TOTAL	FUNDED
					1	2	3		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	7	7					
	NOR-R	Forest	6b -- Charlies to LMT	0.15															0	\$0	\$45	
	NOR-R	Forest	Wolf River Trail, Crandon	0.67															14	\$0	\$201	
	NOR-R	Lincoln	McCord Trail	10.1															0	\$0	\$3,030	
	NOR-R	Lincoln	Somo Trail	6															0	\$0	\$1,800	
	NOR-R	Lincoln	Alta Springs Trail	8.9															0	\$0	\$2,670	
	NOR-R	Lincoln	J to Langlade Trail	3.3															0	\$0	\$990	
	NOR-R	Lincoln	Schult Trail	2.1															0	\$0	\$630	
	NOR-R	Lincoln	Old Grade Trail	3.2															0	\$0	\$960	
	NOR-R	Lincoln	Vascheau Trail	1.9															0	\$0	\$570	
	NOR-R	Lincoln	Beacon Trail	0.7															0	\$0	\$210	
	NOR-R	Lincoln	Alexander Trail	2.2															0	\$0	\$660	
	NOR-R	Taylor	Q Trail	3.8															0	\$0	\$1,140	
	NOR-R	Oneida	51C Trail (NWP)	8.6															0	\$0	\$2,580	
	NOR-R	Oneida	8A Trail (NWP)	4.8															0	\$0	\$1,440	
	NOR-R	Oneida	51A Trail (Northern)	14.1															0	\$0	\$4,230	
	NOR-R	Oneida	SF Trail (Sno-Fleas)	4															0	\$0	\$1,200	
	NOR-R	Price	Trail 94	6.2															0	\$0	\$1,860	
	NOR-R	Price	Trail 121	23															0	\$0	\$6,900	
	NOR-R	Price	Trail 118	7															0	\$0	\$2,100	
	NOR-R	Price	Trail 88	2.4															0	\$0	\$720	
	NOR-R	Vilas	Trail 1 Eagle River	3															0	\$0	\$900	
	NOR-R	Vilas	Trail 51 Boulder Jct	3.8															0	\$0	\$1,140	
	NOR-R	Vilas	Birch Lake Trail	1.2															0	\$0	\$360	
	NOR-R	Vilas	Trout River Trail	1.4															0	\$0	\$420	
	NOR-R	Vilas	Little Bohemia Trail	0.9															0	\$0	\$270	
	NOR-R	Vilas	Trail 12 Conover	5.6															0	\$0	\$1,680	
	NOR-R	Vilas	Trail 6a Land O Lakes	1.4															0	\$0	\$420	
	NOR-R	Vilas	WPS Trail	2.3															0	\$0	\$690	
	NOR-R	Vilas	Fishtrap Trail	0.5															0	\$0	\$150	

## 2020-21 NEW MILES

1. Columns E, F, & G are gateway questions and one of the columns needs to be marked for the application to be eligible.
2. Column H indicates if the required map was submitted.
3. Column I indicates if the certification statement was signed.
4. Columns J through R are the actual ratings and rankings with point value.
5. Column P thru R should be entered as negative numbers. "-1"

PROJ #	REGION	COUNTY	TRAIL NAME	MILES														TOT	\$ DEV	\$ TOTAL	FUNDED	
					Gateway-Primary Access	Gateway-Population Access	Gateway-High Use	Map attached Y/N	Certification attached Y/N	Public Lands 0-3 pts	Connect Counties/States 0-3 pts	Mean Snowfall 1-3 pts	Trail Ratio 1-3 pts	Trail segment groomed 0-2 pts	County Coordinator 0-2 pts	Deduct Bridge/Trail needs 3 pts	Deduct parallel trails 3 pts					Deduct if runs to water 2 pts
	NOR-R	Vilas	Lanny's Trail	1.2															0	\$0	\$360	
	NOR-R	Vilas	Trail 8a	0.7															0	\$0	\$210	
	NOR-R	Vilas	Trail 17b Lac du Flambeau	1.4															0	\$0	\$420	
	WC	Chippewa	Buckhorn	4.7			x	x	x	2	2	2	2	2	0	0	-3	0	7	\$0	\$1,410	
	WC	Chippewa	Flambeau River Trail North	8.1		x	x	x	x	2	1	2	2	2	2	0	0	-2	9	\$0	\$2,430	
	WC	Chippewa	Flambeau River Trail South	3.5		x	x	x	x	2	1	2	2	2	0	0	0	0	9	\$0	\$1,050	
	WC	Chippewa	High Rider Trail	3		x	x	x	x	2	1	2	2	2	0	0	-3	0	6	\$0	\$900	
	WC	Chippewa	Rough Rider Trail	11			x	x	x	2	2	2	2	2	0	0	-3	0	7	\$0	\$3,300	
	WC	Chippewa	Weiser Trail	4.05			x	x	x	2	1	2	2	2	0	0	-3	0	6	\$0	\$1,215	
	WC	Clark	Colby CM Trail	4.93			x	x	x	1	2	2	2	1	2	0	0	0	WC	\$0	\$1,479	
	WC	Pierce	E Prescott Trail	5.3		x		x		1	2	1	2	2	1	0	-3	0	6	\$0	\$1,590	
	WC	Pierce	Plum City North	5.7		x	x	x	x	1	2	1	2	2	1	0	-3	0	6	\$0	\$1,710	
	WC	St Croix	Co Rd C (Trail A)	3.5	x	x	x	x	x	0	1	1	2	2	0	0	-3	0	3	\$0	\$1,050	
	WC	St Croix	Erin Corner to Emerald Trail 9B	11	x	x	x	x	x	0	2	1	2	2	2	0	-3	0	6	\$0	\$3,300	
	WCR	Adams	Grand Marsh Link - GMS	7.95			Y	Y		1	2	1	3	1	2	0	0	0	10	\$0	\$2,385	
	WCR	Adams	Rome area access - R4, R5, R6	6.92			Y	Y		0	1	1	3	1	0	0	0	0	6	\$0	\$2,076	
	WCR	Adams	Grand Marsh link - GM6	1.00		Y		Y		1	1	1	3	1	0	0	0	0	7	\$0	\$300	
	WCR	Marathon	Hatley	5.72		Y	Y	Y	Y	0	1	2	1	2	0	0	-3	0	3	\$0	\$1,716	
	WCR	Marathon	Kelly	2.96			Y	Y	Y	0	1	2	1	2	0	0	-3	0	3	\$0	\$888	
	WCR	Marathon	Memories	5.02		Y	Y	Y	Y	0	1	2	1	2	0	0	-3	0	3	\$0	\$1,506	
	WCR	Marathon	Pine Lane	1.35			Y	Y	Y	0	1	2	1	2	0	0	-3	0	3	\$0	\$405	
	WCR	Marathon	Poniatowski	1.29			Y	Y	Y	0	1	2	1	2	0	0	-3	0	3	\$0	\$387	
	WCR	Marathon	Stratford	6.38		Y	Y	Y	Y	0	1	2	1	2	2	0	-3	0	5	\$0	\$1,914	
	WCR	Marathon	Wiowash	0.68		Y		Y	Y	0	3	2	1	2	0	0	-3	0	5	\$0	\$204	
	WCR	Portage	Trail 413	3.90		Y	Y	Y	Y	0	1	1	2	2	0	0	-3	0	3	\$0	\$1,170	
	WCR	Portage	Trail 404	2.40		Y	Y	Y	Y	0	1	1	2	2	0	0	-3	0	3	\$0	\$720	
	WCR	Portage	Trail 406	8.60			Y	Y	Y	0	2	1	2	2	2	0	-3	0	6	\$0	\$2,580	
	NOR-S	Bayfield	Trail 33	4.2			y	y	y	3	1	3	3	2	1	0	-3	0	10	\$0	\$1,260	
	NOR-S	Bayfield	Trail 31	1.5			y	y	y	3	1	3	3	2	1	0	-3	0	10	\$0	\$450	

## 2020-21 NEW MILES

1. Columns E, F, & G are gateway questions and one of the columns needs to be marked for the application to be eligible.
2. Column H indicates if the required map was submitted.
3. Column I indicates if the certification statement was signed.
4. Columns J through R are the actual ratings and rankings with point value.
5. Column P thru R should be entered as negative numbers. "-1"

PROJ #	REGION	COUNTY	TRAIL NAME	MILES																		TOT	\$ DEV	\$ TOTAL	FUNDED
					Gateway-Primary Access	Gateway-Population Access	Gateway-High Use	Map attached Y/N	Certification attached Y/N	Public Lands 0-3 pts	Connect Counties/States 0-3 pts	Mean Snowfall 1-3 pts	Trail Ratio 1-3 pts	Trail segment groomed 0-2 pts	County Coordinator 0-2 pts	Deduct Bridge/Trail needs 3 pts	Deducts parallel trails 3 pts	Deduct if runs to water 2 pts							
	NOR-S	Bayfield	Trail 29	1			y	y	y	3	1	3	3	2	0	0	-3	0	9	\$0	\$300				
	NOR-S	Burnett	Trail 80	7.7			y	y	y	0	2	2	3	1	1	0	-3	0	6	\$0	\$2,310				
	NOR-S	Burnett	Trail 22	5.3			y	y	y	0	1	2	3	1	1	0	-3	0	5	\$0	\$1,590				
	NOR-S	Douglas	Trail 6	4.8	y		y	y	y	0	1	3	3	2	0	0	-3	0	6	\$0	\$1,440				
	NOR-S	Douglas	Trail 727	5			y	y	y	3	1	3	3	2	2	0	0	0	14	\$0	\$1,500				
	NOR-S	Polk	West Sweden	6.34	y		y	y	y	2	3	1	2	1	2	0	0	0	11	\$0	\$1,902				
	NOR-S	Polk	Burnett Co Roosevelt	1.34	y		y	y	y	3	3	1	2	1	0	0	-3	0	7	\$27,869	\$28,271				
	SCR	Columbia	Trail 48 - Trail 43-35 connector	6.00	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	0	2	1	2	2	2	0	0	0	9	\$0	\$1,800				
	SCR	Columbia	Trail 61 - Connector to Dane Co	1.96	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	0	3	1	2	2	0	0	0	0	8	\$0	\$588				
	SCR	Columbia	Trail 68	3.88	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	0	1	1	2	2	0	0	-3	0	3	\$0	\$1,163				
	SCR	Columbia	Trail 70 - Otsego to Casino	3.99	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	0	1	1	2	2	0	0	-3	0	3	\$0	\$1,197				
	SCR	Columbia	Trail 71 - Keyser	6.00	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	0	3	1	2	2	0	0	-3	0	5	\$0	\$1,800				
	SCR	Columbia	Trail 86	2.06	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	0	3	1	2	2	0	0	0	0	8	\$0	\$618				
	SCR	Dane	Trail 27 - Marshall/Utica	14.80	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	2	2	1	2	2	2	0	-3	0	8	\$0	\$4,440				
	SCR	Dane	Trail 61 - Yellow Thunder	1.96	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	0	3	1	2	2	1	0	0	0	9	\$0	\$588				
	SCR	Dane	Trail 18/86 - White Lightening	3.93	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	0	3	1	2	2	1	0	0	0	9	\$0	\$1,179				
	SCR	Dane	Trail 51 - Ashton	2.20	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	2	1	1	2	2	0	0	-3	0	5	\$0	\$660				
	SCR	Dane	Trail 73 - Golden Triangle	2.10	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	2	3	1	2	2	0	0	-3	0	7	\$0	\$630				
	SCR	Dane	Trail 75	3.80	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	2	3	1	2	2	0	0	-3	0	7	\$0	\$1,140				
	SCR	Dodge	Segment 1	11.00	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	1	3	1	2	2	2	0	0	0	11	\$0	\$3,300				
	SCR	Dodge	Segment 2	6.50	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	1	3	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	8	\$0	\$1,950				
	SCR	Dodge	Segment 3	9.90	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	1	2	1	2	2	0	0	0	0	8	\$0	\$2,970				
	SCR	Dodge	Segment 4	2.54	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	2	3	1	2	2	0	0	-3	0	7	\$0	\$762				
	SCR	Grant	Cuba City	9.62	Y	N	N	Y	Y	0	2	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	6	\$0	\$2,886				
	SCR	Grant	Dickyville	11.31	Y	N	N	Y	Y	2	2	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	8	\$0	\$3,393				
	SCR	Iowa	Snow drifters	5.00	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	2	3	1	2	1	2	0	0	0	11	\$0	\$1,500				
	SCR	Iowa	Trail Eagles	7.50	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	2	2	1	2	2	1	0	0	0	10	\$0	\$2,250				
	SCR	Jefferson	Palmyra Hebron	5.28	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	0	2	1	2	2	0	0	0	0	7	\$0	\$1,584				
	SCR	Jefferson	Concord		Y	N	Y	N	Y	0	2	1	2	2	0	0	0	0	7	\$0	\$0				



## 2020-21 SNOWMOBILE NON-TRAIL REQUESTS

Region	County	Description	Component	Costs	Total	Approved
#50	Douglas	Douglas County proposes to build a Privy at the Junction of Trails 4,41, and 9. This is the location of a warming shack that is in a rather remote location of the County. This will be split between Snow and ATV. <b>Trail 4/41 Privy.</b>	*Structure	\$15,900	\$26,693 After Cost Share: <b>\$13,347</b>	\$0.00
			Engineer			
			Permits			
			Site prep	\$10,793		
			Materials			
			Abutments			
			Pilings/Piers			
			Approaches			
			Culverts			
			Riprap			
Labor						
Equip Rental						
Other						
			# of New Mi	0	\$13,347	
<b>Other funds received, requested, or committed?</b>						
		<b>Yes or No:</b>	yes	<b>Amount:</b>	13346.5	
	Public or Private:	public	Bridge Inventory #:			
	Old Bridge Size:		New Bridge Size:			
Variance or Exception?	Water Body:		Labor Source:			
	Years in System:		Design Weight Load:			
					<b>\$13,346.50</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>

## 2020-21 SNOWMOBILE ACQUISITION REQUESTS

Region	County	Description	Component	Costs	Total	Approved
NOR-S	Bayfield	Bayfield County proposes to purchase 30 acres of property to ensure the trail remains and is not closed. The trail connects the communities of Bayfield, Cornucopia, Herbster, and Port Wing in far Northern Bayfield Co. The trail would be very costly and difficult to relocate if the parcel is not purchased. <b>Pratt Acquisition.17900</b>	*Structure		\$17,900	
<b>#51</b>			Engineer		After Cost	
			Permits		<b>\$17,900</b>	
			Site prep			
			Materials			
			Abutments			
			Pilings/Piers			
			Approaches			
			Culverts			
			Riprap			
			Labor			
			Equip Rental			
			Other	\$17,900		
			# of New Mi	0	\$17,900	
					\$17,900	\$0