

2016- 2017 SNOWMOBILE BRIDGE REHABILITATION REQUESTS

Region	County	Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Max Pts	Permits	Location	Funding	Easement	Type	Condition	Impact	LT Cost	Cause	Active	Incompl	CSS	County	
WC	Vernon	Vernon County proposes to replace an existing bridge across Hay Valley Creek within the boundary of the Kickapoo Valley Reserve Land. This bridge was funded at 50 percent last year. They did apply for RTA funding this year. The Kickapoo Valley Reserve has committed 20 percent \$8,200.00. This application will be pulled if they received RTA funding.	*Structure Engineer Soil test Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other	\$12,300 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$12,300 50/50 Split \$12,300		5	10	3	17	10	10	20	25	22	-10	-10	16	10	140
	#1																			
		50/50 or other split? TRUE or FALSE=																		
		Old Bridge Size: Hay Valley Cr	New Bridge Size: 12' x 30'																	
		Water Body: Hay Valley Cr	Material: steel																	
		Years in System: 25+	Design Weight Load: 14,000	# of New Mi	0	\$12,300														
SC	Dane	Dane County proposes to replace a bridge known as DPC/Fryes Feeder Creek Bridge on State Coor. 21. The bridge is too short for the site and sits within the flood zone. It has washed out at least twice in the last five years. This is Dane County's # 2 priority. RTP applied for.	*Structure Engineer Soil test Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other	\$26,000 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$6,000 \$0 \$600	\$32,600 50/50 Split \$32,600		5	10	0	17	10	15	15	25	12				4	113
	#2																			
		50/50 or other split? TRUE or FALSE=																		
		Old Bridge Size: 11.5' x40'	New Bridge Size: 12'X50'																	
		Water Body: Fryes Feeder Cr	Material: steel																	
		Years in System: unknown	Design Weight Load: 14,000	# of New Mi	0	\$32,600														
NER	Calumet	Calumet County proposes to replace two 50 year old concrete culverts on the Fox River State Trail. The joints are cracked, causing the culvert to separate, creating an unstable trail surface. The two culverts would be replaced with one steel culvert. 50% requested from RTA.	*Structure Engineer Soil test Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other	\$10,750 \$0 \$0 \$1,500 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$3,750 \$3,000 \$0	\$19,000 50/50 Split \$9,500		5	10	5	17	10	10	15	25	5				5	107
	#3																			
		50/50 or other split? TRUE or FALSE= TRUE																		
		Old Bridge Size: 48" x 48'	New Bridge Size: 83"Wx56"Hx 48'L																	
		Water Body: drainage ditch	Material: steel																	
		Years in System: 2004	Design Weight Load: 14,000	# of New Mi	0	\$9,500														
WC	Marathon	Marathon County proposes to replace an old bridge (#14) on an unnamed Eau Claire River tributary. The bridge's wood sub-structure has deteriorated and is no longer safe. The club has made numerous repairs over the years to extend the bridge's useful life. It is now time to replace. This bridge is on a very heavily used trail section.	*Structure Engineer Soil test Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other	\$26,700 \$2,000 \$0 \$10,000 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$39,500 50/50 Split \$39,500		5	10	0	15	8	10	20	20	5				10	103
	#4																			
		50/50 or other split? TRUE or FALSE=																		
		Old Bridge Size: 12' X 50'	New Bridge Size: 12' x 50'																	
		Water Body: Unnamed trib.	Material: steel																	
		Years in System: 42	Design Weight Load: 14,000	# of New Mi	0	\$39,500														

No questions.

1. Is this bridge high enough to be out of the flood zone.
Comment: Funding is only available every 10 years for bridge projects.

1. Suggest county consider and price a PVC culvert.

No questions.

2016- 2017 SNOWMOBILE BRIDGE REHABILITATION REQUESTS

Region	County	Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Max Pts	Permits	Location	Funding	Easement	Type	Condition	Impact	LT Cost	Cause	Active	Incompl	CSS	County	
SC	Richland	Richland County proposes to rehabilitate Bridge #5 over the Pine River. The decking, railings and cross members are rotted and not safe for snowmobiles. The request is for materials only to replace the entire deck and railings. The Richland County Snowmobile Alliance would provide the labor.	*Structure Engineer Soil test Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$5,500 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$5,500 50/50 Split \$5,500		10	10	5	17	10	15	20	25	22	-10	-10	16	10	140
	#6																			97
		50/50 or other split? TRUE or FALSE=																		
		Old Bridge Size: 9' x 38'	New Bridge Size																	
		Water Body: Pine River	Material: Wood																	
		Years in System:	Design Weight Load: 10,000	# of New Mi	0	\$5,500														
SC	Richland	Richland County proposes to rehabilitate Bridge #6 over the Pine River. The decking, railings and cross members are rotted and not safe for snowmobiles. The request is for materials only to replace the entire deck and railings. The Richland County Snowmobile Alliance would provide the labor.	*Structure Engineer Soil test Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$6,500 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$6,500 50/50 Split \$6,500		10	10	17	10	10	20	25	5						97
	#7																			
		50/50 or other split? TRUE or FALSE=																		
		Old Bridge Size: 9' x 40'	New Bridge Size																	
		Water Body: Pine River	Material: wood																	
		Years in System:	Design Weight Load: 10,000	# of New Mi	0	\$6,500														

No questions.

No questions.

2016- 2017 SNOWMOBILE BRIDGE REHABILITATION REQUESTS

Region	County	Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Max Pts	Permits	Location	Funding	Easement	Type	Condition	Impact	LT Cost	Cause	Active	Incompl	CSS	County	
							10	10	5	17	10	15	20	25	22	-10	-10	16	10	140
SC	Richland	Richland County proposes to rehabilitate Bridge #7 over the Pine River. The decking, railings and cross members are rotted and not safe for snowmobiles. The request is for materials only to replace the entire deck and railings. The Richland County Snowmobile Alliance would provide the labor.	*Structure	\$0	\$5,500		0	10	17	10	10	20	25	5						97
			Engineer	\$0	50/50 Split															
			Soil test	\$0	\$5,500															
			Site prep	\$0																
			Materials	\$5,500																
			Abutments	\$0																
			Pilings/Piers	\$0																
			Approaches	\$0																
			Culverts	\$0																
			Riprap	\$0																
			Labor	\$0																
			Equip Rental	\$0																
			Other	\$0																
			# of New Mi	0	\$5,500															
50/50 or other split? TRUE or FALSE=																				
Old Bridge Size: 9' x 32'		New Bridge Size																		
Water Body: Pine River		Material: wood																		
Years in System:		Design Weight Load: 10,000																		
SC	Richland	Richland County proposes to rehabilitate Bridge #8 over the Pine River. The decking, railings and cross members are rotted and not safe for snowmobiles. The request is for materials only to replace the entire deck and railings. The Richland County Snowmobile Alliance would provide the labor.	*Structure	\$0	\$2,700		0	10	17	10	10	20	25	5						97
			Engineer	\$0	50/50 Split															
			Soil test	\$0	\$2,700															
			Site prep	\$0																
			Materials	\$2,700																
			Abutments	\$0																
			Pilings/Piers	\$0																
			Approaches	\$0																
			Culverts	\$0																
			Riprap	\$0																
			Labor	\$0																
			Equip Rental	\$0																
			Other	\$0																
			# of New Mi	0	\$2,700															
50/50 or other split? TRUE or FALSE=																				
Old Bridge Size: 9' x 28'		New Bridge Size																		
Water Body: Pine River		Material: wood																		
Years in System:		Design Weight Load: 10,000																		
SC	Richland	Richland County proposes to rehabilitate Bridge #11 over the Pine River. The decking, railings and cross members are rotted and not safe for snowmobiles. The request is for materials only to replace the entire deck and railings. The Richland County Snowmobile Alliance would provide the labor.	*Structure	\$0	\$5,500		0	10	17	10	10	20	25	5						97
			Engineer	\$0	50/50 Split															
			Soil test	\$0	\$5,500															
			Site prep	\$0																
			Materials	\$5,500																
			Abutments	\$0																
			Pilings/Piers	\$0																
			Approaches	\$0																
			Culverts	\$0																
			Riprap	\$0																
			Labor	\$0																
			Equip Rental	\$0																
			Other	\$0																
			# of New Mi	0	\$5,500															
50/50 or other split? TRUE or FALSE=																				
Old Bridge Size: 9' x 38'		New Bridge Size																		
Water Body: Pine River		Material: wood																		
Years in System:		Design Weight Load: 10,000																		

No questions.

No questions.

No questions.

2016- 2017 SNOWMOBILE BRIDGE REHABILITATION REQUESTS

Region	County	Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Max Pts	Permits	Location	Funding	Easement	Type	Condition	Impact	LT Cost	Cause	Active	Incompl	CSS	County			
WC	Eau Claire	Eau Claire County proposes to replace a bridge known as Brown Hut Bridge on Corridor 31. The existing bridge has been previously repaired and worked on by the local club and has also been welded and repaired in spots of weakness. An 8' additional ramp was added about 8 years ago and this has helped hold this bridge together for the time being. The footings have washed out and compromised several times.	*Structure Engineer Soil test Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other	\$21,000 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$5,000 \$800 \$1,700	\$28,500 50/50 Split \$28,500		5	5	0	15	10	10	20	25	22	5	-10	-10	16	10	140	
	11																					
		50/50 or other split? TRUE or FALSE=																				
		Old Bridge Size:	New Bridge Size	12' x 40'																		
		Water Body: Unnamed trib.	Material:	steel																		
		Years in System: unknown	Design Weight Load:	14,000																		
			# of New Mi	0	\$28,500																	
NER	Calumet	Calumet County proposes to replace a 50 year old concrete culvert on the Friendship State Trail. The joints are cracked, causing the culvert to shift, creating an unstable trail surface. The culvert would be replaced with the same size steel culvert. 50% requested from RTA.	*Structure Engineer Soil test Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other	\$1,250 \$0 \$0 \$500 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$1,550 \$1,200 \$0	\$4,500 50/50 Split \$2,250		5	10	5	17	8	10	5	25	5	0				5	95	
	12																					
		50/50 or other split? TRUE or FALSE=		TRUE																		
		Old Bridge Size: 24" x 30'	New Bridge Size	24" x 30'																		
		Water Body: drainage ditch	Material:	metal																		
		Years in System: 2003	Design Weight Load:	14,000																		
			# of New Mi	0	\$2,250																	
WC	Dunn	Dunn County proposes to rehabilitate a bridge known as Tiffany Creek Snowmobile Bridge. The stream bank was comprised through two major storm events (July 6th & Sept. 2, 2015) and needs stabilization with rock rip rap and woody material placement is need to minimize the chance of the stream re-routing around the existing bridge. THIS IS A STORM DAMAGE REQUEST.	*Structure Engineer Soil test Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$16,200 \$0 \$0	\$16,200 50/50 Split \$16,200		5	5	0	12	10	0	15	25	12					10	94	
	13																					
		50/50 or other split? TRUE or FALSE=																				
		Old Bridge Size:	New Bridge Size	10' x 30'																		
		Water Body: Tiffany Creek	Material:	wood																		
		Years in System:	Design Weight Load:																			
			# of New Mi	0	\$16,200																	

1. What is the size of the existing bridge?

1. Suggest county consider and price a PVC culvert.

No questions.

2016- 2017 SNOWMOBILE BRIDGE REHABILITATION REQUESTS

Region	County	Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Max Pts	Permits	Location	Funding	Easement	Type	Condition	Impact	LT Cost	Cause	Active	Incompl	CSS	County			
SC	Dane	Dane County proposes to replace a bridge known as Gust/Sugar River Bridge on County Trail 53. The bridge is currently laying across the Sugar River without clearance for other recreational uses. It was constructed using 3 telephone poles. The middle pole is completely rotted through. The replacement proposed will be 12' x 50' w/2 40' approaches. This is Dane County #1 priority.	*Structure Engineer Soil test Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other	\$59,500 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$15,500 \$0 \$1,200	\$76,200 50/50 Split \$76,200		10	10	5	17	10	15	15	20	25	22	-10	-10	16	10	140	
	14	50/50 or other split? TRUE or FALSE= <input type="checkbox"/>	Old Bridge Size: 8.5' X 25' Water Body: Sugar River Years in System: 25+	New Bridge Size 12' x 50' Material: steel Design Weight Load: 14,000	# of New Mi 0	\$76,200																
SC	Columbia	Columbia County proposes to replace a bridge known as Doherty over the north branch of the Crawfish River. The bridge has deteriorated and is no longer safe. It is on Trail 35 which runs north and south. It connects Co. Trail 60E and 60W on the south end and also connects Co. Trail 13N and 13S on the north end of the trail. There would be no land or water alterations as we will install riprap as required. The bridge will have short approaches on both ends. Note: Moved for	*Structure Engineer Soil test Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other	\$31,600 \$0 \$0 \$800 \$0 \$0 \$600 \$0 \$1,025 \$0 \$740 \$503	\$35,268 50/50 Split \$35,268		5	5	0	15	8	10	15	20	5	0	0	0	10	10	93	
	24	50/50 or other split? TRUE or FALSE= <input type="checkbox"/>	Old Bridge Size: Water Body: Crawfish River Years in System: 25+	New Bridge Size 12' x 48' Material: steel Design Weight Load: 14,000	# of New Mi 0	\$35,268																
NOR-R	Florence	Florence County proposes to replace the decking on four bridges on the Nicolet State Trail. All four bridges were decked in 2004 and have not received rehab money since that time. Foundations, structures and railings are in good shape, but decking has deteriorated. County has applied to RTA program for 50% of the project cost, and request 25% from ATV and 25% from Snowmobile.	*Structure Engineer Soil test Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$34,800 50/25/25 \$8,700		5	10	0	17	10	10	20	10	5					5	10	92
	15	50/50 or other split? TRUE or FALSE= <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Old Bridge Size: Water Body: Years in System:	New Bridge Size Material: Design Weight Load:	# of New Mi 0	\$8,700																
NER	Oconto/USFS	Oconto County/USFS proposes to reconstruct a bridge over the McCaslin Brook on USFS land. During recertification 3 years ago, the USFS reduced the allowable weight limit from 6 tons to 3.5 tons. The new 11,500 lb groomer (plus 5,500 lb drag) does not meet the new weight restriction. this is the ifnal year of the 3 year USFS waiver. the groomer detour will be 4 miles without the upgrade. The Bridge will be upgraded to the 20,000 lb design weight load which meets the USFS requirements and currently allowed by the council. Snow and ATV funds requested. RTA eligible.	*Structure Engineer Soil test Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers	\$11,314 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$15,214 50/50 Split \$7,607		5	10	0	17	10	10	10	15	5					10	10	92
	16																					

No questions.

No questions.
This project was moved here after the bridge ranking was recieved.

No questions.

1. Variance will be required to exceed Administrative Code maximum bridge load council

2016- 2017 SNOWMOBILE BRIDGE REHABILITATION REQUESTS

Region	County	Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Max Pts	Permits	Location	Funding	Easement	Condition	Impact	LT Cost	Cause	Active	Incompl	CSS	County	
		Approaches	\$0																
		Culverts	\$0																
		Riprap	\$0																
		Labor	\$3,900																
		Equip Rental	\$0																
		Other	\$0																
		# of New Mi	0																
		50/50 or other split? TRUE or FALSE= TRUE Old Bridge Size: 38' x 11' New Bridge Size 38' x 11' Water Body: McCaslin Brook Material: Years in System: 25 Design Weight Load: 20,000																	

Maximum bridge load, Council will likely entertain this request due to USFS requirements.

2016- 2017 SNOWMOBILE BRIDGE REHABILITATION REQUESTS

Region	County	Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Max Pts	Permits	Location	Funding	Easement	Type	Condition	Impact	LT Cost	Cause	Active	Incompl	CSS	County		
NOR-R	Iron	Iron County proposes to replace an undersized bridge over Moose Creek on Trail 12 . The existing 14'x30' bridge was installed in 2002 by the Mercer Sno Goers, but has experienced erosion problems and is in danger of falling. The old bridge would be moved to the Montreal River on Trail 11A (see Iron's Montreal River grant request) and a new 14'x45' steel beam bridge would be built at this location. Note: ATV #12 depends on this being approved.	*Structure Engineer Soil test Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other	\$0 \$1,500 \$0 \$0 \$10,851 \$0 \$0 \$4,806 \$0 \$0 \$4,174 \$2,767 \$948	\$25,046 50/50 Split \$25,046			10	5	17	10	15	20	25	22	-10	-10	16	10	140	
	17																				
No questions.																					
NOR-R	Iron	Iron County proposes to replace a deteriorating bridge over Montreal River on Trail 11A with a bridge currently located on Moose Creek, pending the approval of that bridge rehab project by the Snowmobile Council. The original bridge at this location was built in 1989 out of power poles. The bridge at Moose Creek is too short for that location, but would work well as a replacement to the existing bridge at this location. The east abutment will need to be rebuilt and the decking rebuilt, but the west abutment existing at this site is sufficient. 50% has been requested of the ATV program. Note: This project depends on Snow #17 being approved.	*Structure Engineer Soil test Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other	\$0 \$500 \$0 \$0 \$3,457 \$2,179 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$1,391 \$738 \$1,000	\$9,265 50/50 Split \$4,633			10		17	8	10	5	25	5				3	83.3	
	18																				
County needs to submit bridge inventory.																					
NOR-R	Florence	Florence County proposes to replace a 40' bridge with a 90' bridge over the Little Popple River on Trail 6 in the town of Homestead. The current bridge is too short and approaches not straight. Trail will also be rehabed on both sides to straighten the approach.	*Structure Engineer Soil test Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other	\$70,000 \$8,000 \$0 \$1,000 \$1,200 \$10,000 \$0 \$2,000 \$0 \$2,000 \$8,250 \$1,894 \$3,000	\$107,344 50/50 Split \$107,344			5	5	3	5	10	10	15	20	5				5	83
	19																				
1. What was the date the previous grant was received																					

2016- 2017 SNOWMOBILE BRIDGE REHABILITATION REQUESTS

Region	County	Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Max Pts	Permits	Location	Funding	Easement	Type	Condition	Impact	LT Cost	Cause	Active	Incompl	CSS	County	
NOR-R	Price	Price County proposes to replace an existing bridge over Crane and Chase Creek with a new 32' x 12.8' built-on-site bridge. The existing bridge needs to be replaced and cannot be repaired. Ramps will be constructed on both sides of the bridge to eliminate the need to fill a wetland. NOTE FROM J. STEFFES, DNR CSS - Funding status of this trail/bridge is unclear, county is currently working on providing up-to-date trail maps with funded vs club trails identified. Maps currently in circulation are unclear or variable.	*Structure	\$0	\$30,000		10	10	5	17	10	15	20	25	22	-10	-10	16	10	140
			Engineer	\$0	50/50 Split															
			Soil test	\$0	\$30,000															
			Site prep	\$0																
			Materials	\$15,000																
			Abutments	\$0																
			Pilings/Piers	\$3,000																
			Approaches	\$500																
			Culverts	\$0																
			Riprap	\$0																
Labor	\$8,000																			
Equip Rental	\$3,500																			
Other	\$0																			
# of New Mi	0	\$30,000																		
50/50 or other split? TRUE or FALSE= FALSE																				
Old Bridge Size:	(not indicated)	New Bridge Size	12.8' x 32'																	
Water Body:	Crane & Chase	Material:	Wooden																	
Years in System:		Design Weight Load:	12,000																	
WC	Pepin & Dunn	Pepin County proposes to install replace a bridge known as Eau Galle River Bridge that was located in Dunn County on Corridor 37. The structure will be placed approximately 1/2 mile downstream of the current bridge to utilize a better location for a permanent bridge and a common landowner on both sides of the river. The trail will be re-routed to re-connect to common start and end points about 2 trails miles apart. The new trail is mostly fields and will require very little development on the east side and on the the other side a former snowmobile trail will be used. Both counties have been working together on this project. The old bridge was a homemade, removable bridge.	*Structure	\$105,000	\$134,000		5	15	10	10	20	5								65
			Engineer	\$10,000	50/50 Split															
			Soil test	\$0	\$134,000															
			Site prep	\$2,000																
			Materials	\$0																
			Abutments	\$10,000																
			Pilings/Piers	\$0																
			Approaches	\$0																
			Culverts	\$0																
			Riprap	\$0																
Labor	\$5,000																			
Equip Rental	\$0																			
Other	\$2,000																			
# of New Mi	0	\$134,000																		
50/50 or other split? TRUE or FALSE=																				
Old Bridge Size:		New Bridge Size	12' x 100'																	
Water Body:	Eau Galle Riiver	Material:	steel																	
Years in System:	30	Design Weight Load:	14,000																	
WC	Dunn	Dunn County proposes to replace a bridge known as the Connersville Bridge over Flayton Creek. The current bridge is badly deteriorated and no longer safe.	*Structure	\$12,000	\$25,000		5	5	0	15	10	10	5	5	5					60
			Engineer	\$3,000	50/50 Split															
			Soil test	\$0	\$25,000															
			Site prep	\$1,000																
			Materials	\$0																
			Abutments	\$2,000																
			Pilings/Piers	\$0																
			Approaches	\$0																
			Culverts	\$0																
			Riprap	\$2,000																
Labor	\$5,000																			
Equip Rental	\$0																			
Other	\$0																			
# of New Mi	0	\$25,000																		
50/50 or other split? TRUE or FALSE=																				
Old Bridge Size:	20' x 10'	New Bridge Size	20' x 12'																	
Water Body:	Flayton Creek	Material:	steel																	
Years in System:	unknown	Design Weight Load:	14,000																	

1. Is this a funded trail? Provide map and details.
 2. Written easement required.
 3. Engineered plans must be provided before build.
 4. What were the old bridge specifications?

No questions.
 This project was moved due to incorrect bridge ranking. This was not a county mistake.

No questions.

2016- 2017 SNOWMOBILE BRIDGE REHABILITATION REQUESTS

Region	County	Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Max Pts	Permits	Location	Funding	Easement	Type	Condition	Impact	LT Cost	Cause	Active	Incompl	CSS	County		
NO	Burnett	Burnett County proposes to replace the decking on a bridge on trail 150. The bridge is on private land and owned by the landowner and is jointly used by the snowmobile club and the landowner for access to his residence. Trail 150 Bridge Rehab.	*Structure Engineer Soil test Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other	\$2,000 \$11,000 \$9,000	\$22,000 50/50 Split \$22,000 0.00		10	10	5	17	10	15	20	25	22	-10	-10	16	10	140	
	22	50/50 or other split? TRUE or FALSE= FALSE Old Bridge Size: 88x12 New Bridge Size Water Body: Material: wood Years in System: 15 Design Weight Load: 14000																			
WC	Vernon	Vernon County proposes to place riprap and stabilize a streambank and then reset the bridge on a bridge known as Black Valley Bridge.	*Structure Engineer Soil test Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$2,500 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$2,500 50/50 Split \$2,500 0															0	
	23	50/50 or other split? TRUE or FALSE= Old Bridge Size: New Bridge Size Water Body: Material: Years in System: Design Weight Load:																			

The council's copy of this project was incomplete, it will be reviewed at the committee meeting on Sunday, August

1. Is this a funded trail?
2. Could this be repaired with maintenance funds? The structure looks like it will need work in less than 10 years.

2016- 2017 SNOWMOBILE TRAIL REHABILITATION REQUESTS

Region	County	Description	Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Comments
WC	Eau Claire <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; width: 40px; margin: 0 auto;">30</div>	Eau Claire Co. proposes to rehabilitate 1.8 miles of existing trail known as the Corkscrew ATV Trail by straightening corners, improving drainage by crowning and adding gravel, and moving the tree line back and stumping out trees within 10' of the trail footprint to help divert water where needed. This high use section of trail serves as the main corridor to Clark County trails. Drainage needs to be improved to provide for safer riding trails. This trail is also a ATV Winter/Snowmobile trail.	*Structure Engineer Soil test Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other	\$12,324 \$14,400 \$120	\$26,844 50/50 \$13,422		
		50/50 or other split? TRUE or FALSE= TRUE					
		Old Bridge Size: _____ Water Body: _____ Years in System: _____	New Bridge Size _____ Material: _____ Design Weight Load: _____	# of New Mi		\$13,422	
WC	La Crosse <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; width: 40px; margin: 0 auto;">31</div>	La Crosse County proposes to improve 6-8 miles of funded trail on the south end where it comes out of Bangor and heads north. Project would include some rock and fill, seeding and removing some trees and filling in holes left behind.	*Structure Engineer Soil test Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other	\$2,400 \$520 \$1,286	\$4,206 50/50 \$4,206	\$0	
		50/50 or other split? TRUE or FALSE=					
		Old Bridge Size: _____ Water Body: _____ Years in System: _____	New Bridge Size _____ Material: _____ Design Weight Load: _____	# of New Mi		\$4,206	
NOR-R	Vilas <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; width: 40px; margin: 0 auto;">32</div>	Vilas County proposes to rehabilitate a 3 mile segment of Trail 51 in Arbor Vitae . Project would include removing trees, dozing to widen and ease corners. This is presented as Alternative 1. A second alternative (Alt 2 under Disc Relocation Project #47) would be to abandon this segment and relocate the trail. The relocation would involve no development costs but would require an an increase of 0.6 miles in maintenance.	*Structure Engineer Soil test Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other	 \$9,500	\$9,500 50/50 \$9,500	\$0	
		50/50 or other split? TRUE or FALSE= FALSE					
		Old Bridge Size: _____ Water Body: _____ Years in System: _____	New Bridge Size _____ Material: _____ Design Weight Load: _____	# of New Mi		\$9,500	

2016-2017 SNOWMOBILE TRAIL REHABILITATION REQUESTS

Region	County	Description	Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Comments
NO	33	The Tri-County Corridor Commission proposes to rehab 8.8 miles of trail by grading and adding a 4 inch gravel lift to the trail. Ashland/Moquah Rehab.	*Structure		\$144,438	\$0	
			Engineer		50/50		
			Soil test		\$72,219		
			Site prep	\$138,438			
			Materials				
			Abutments				
			Pilings/Piers				
			Approaches				
			Culverts				
			Riprap				
			Labor	\$6,000			
			Equip Rental				
			Other				
			# of New Mi		\$72,219		
		50/50 or other split?	TRUE or FALSE=	TRUE			
		Old Bridge Size:	New Bridge Size				
		Water Body:	Material:				
		Years in System:	Design Weight Load:				
NO	34	The Tri-County Corridor Commission proposes to rehab 7.5 miles of trail by grading and adding a 4 inch gravel lift to the trail. Moccasin Mike Rehab.	*Structure		\$123,307	\$0	
			Engineer		50/50		
			Soil test		\$61,654		
			Site prep	\$118,807			
			Materials				
			Abutments				
			Pilings/Piers				
			Approaches				
			Culverts				
			Riprap				
			Labor	\$4,500			
			Equip Rental				
			Other				
			# of New Mi		\$61,654		
		50/50 or other split?	TRUE or FALSE=	TRUE			
		Old Bridge Size:	New Bridge Size				
		Water Body:	Material:				
		Years in System:	Design Weight Load:				
					\$161,001	\$0	

2016-2017 SNOWMOBILE MANDATORY TRAIL RELOCATION REQUESTS

Region	County	Description	Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Comments
WC	36	La Crosse Co has a mandatory re-route due to the city (Onalaska) redesigning streets and area where the trail was. This re-route is known as thre Great River Landing Trail Relocation. Onalaska is an important liink between the La Crosse River Trail and the Great River Trail. The city is paying for the ground work for the trail. They are putting in a paved trail for handicapped accessibility and wanted to run the snowmobile trail adjacent on the grass. Due to fear of damage to the paved path and yearly damage to the grass, the club wants to put a gravel bae with 4" of screening 10' wide the length of the relocated trail.	*Structure		\$6,460		
			Engineer		50/50 Split		
			Soil test		\$6,460		
			Site prep				
			Materials				
			Abutments				
			Pilings/Piers				
			Approaches				
			Culverts				
			Riprap				
			Labor				
			Equip Rental				
			Other	\$6,460			
			# of New Mi		\$6,460		
		50/50 or other split?	TRUE or FALSE= <input type="checkbox"/>				
		Old Bridge Size:	New Bridge Size	12' x 80'			
		Water Body:	Material:	steel			
		Years in System:	Design Weight Load:	14,000			
WC	37	Pierce County proposes to re-route a .7 miles trail due to a landowner closure issue. The new trail will be 1.5 miles in length and require an 80' clear span bridge. New trail and bridge will be located on private land with a 25 year agreement for the bridge.	*Structure	\$62,000	\$103,400		1. Different LUA terms in application? 2. How many new net miles? 3. Why can't the temporary trail continue?
			Engineer	\$15,000	50/50 Split		
			Soil test		\$103,400		
			Site prep	\$1,000			
			Materials				
			Abutments	\$8,000			
			Pilings/Piers				
			Approaches	\$2,000			
			Culverts				
			Riprap	\$2,000			
			Labor				
			Equip Rental	\$5,000			
			Other	\$8,400			
			# of New Mi	0.00	\$103,400		
		50/50 or other split?	TRUE or FALSE= <input type="checkbox"/>				
		Old Bridge Size:	New Bridge Size	12' x 80'			
		Water Body:	Material:	steel			
		Years in System:	Design Weight Load:	14,000			
SC	38	Iowa Co. has a mandatory relocation due to a loss of landowner permission. This re-route will mean new bridge to be known as Dodge Branch Creek Bridge. This project includes a H & H study.	*Structure	\$33,200	\$52,900		1. Is this trail funded? Provide map. 2. Did old trail have a bridge? If so, was that bridge funded and when?
			Engineer		50/50 Split		
			Soil test		\$52,900		
			Site prep				
			Materials				
			Abutments				
			Pilings/Piers				
			Approaches				
			Culverts				
			Riprap				
			Labor				
			Equip Rental	\$8,200			
			Other	\$11,500			
			# of New Mi		\$52,900		
		50/50 or other split?	TRUE or FALSE= <input type="checkbox"/>				
		Old Bridge Size:	New Bridge Size	70' x 12'			
		Water Body:	Material:	steel			
		Years in System:	Design Weight Load:				

2016-2017 SNOWMOBILE MANDATORY TRAIL RELOCATION REQUESTS

Region	County	Description	Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Comments
SER	39	Sheboygan County proposes to reroute an existing trail over the Pigeon River. The reroute requires a new bridge on private property with a 10 year easement. The bridge will be 52' long with two 30' approaches totaling 112'.	*Structure	\$44,100	\$55,800 50/50 Split \$55,800		No questions.
			Engineer				
			Soil test				
			Site prep	\$1,000			
			Materials				
Abutments							
Pilings/Piers							
Approaches							
Culverts							
Riprap							
Labor	\$7,000						
Equip Rental	\$3,000						
Other	\$700						
50/50 or other split?			TRUE or FALSE=	FALSE			
Old Bridge Size:		New Bridge Size	112' x 12'				
Water Body:		Material:	steel				
Years in System:		Design Weight Load:	14000				
				# of New Mi	\$55,800		
SER	40	Washington County proposes to install a bridge over the Milwaukee River east of the City of West Bend due to a mandatory relocation of a portion of Corridor #5, the main north/south corridor trail through the eastern portion of Washington County. The only north/south snowmobile bridge that crossed the Milwaukee river has been replaced with a pedestrian bridge in the City of West Bend. The City of West Bend prohibits snowmobiles to operate within City limits but has given permission to the snowmobile clubs to use the pedestrian bridge for a limited time. Washington County has also applied for RTA and Motorized Stewardship funding.	*Structure	\$90,000	\$578,300 50/50 Split \$578,300		Committee recommended that the Council not fund this project.
			Engineer	\$33,300			
			Soil test	\$7,000			
			Site prep	\$108,000			
			Materials				
Abutments	\$90,000						
Pilings/Piers	\$60,000						
Approaches	\$42,000						
Culverts							
Riprap	\$7,500						
Labor	\$75,000						
Equip Rental	\$60,000						
Other	\$5,500						
50/50 or other split?			TRUE or FALSE=	FALSE			
Old Bridge Size:		New Bridge Size	256' x 12'				
Water Body: Milwaukee River		Material:	steel				
Years in System: 20 +		Design Weight Load:	14000				
				# of New Mi	0.00	\$578,300	
NOR-R	41	Vilas County proposes to relocate a 0.4 mile segment of trail (Trail 2 near Manitowish Waters) due to loss of easement. Failure to relocate will result in a 14 mile segment of trail being closed, as no alternate locations have been identified. Local snow club has purchased land to facilitate this, but construction of a new '66 bridge bridge is required to create the new trail.	*Structure	\$60,000	\$149,500 50/50 Split \$149,500		No questions.
			Engineer	\$20,000			
			Soil test	\$4,000			
			Site prep	\$4,000			
			Materials				
Abutments	#####						
Pilings/Piers							
Approaches							
Culverts							
Riprap	\$2,000						
Labor	\$30,000						
Equip Rental	\$17,000						
Erosion Cont	\$2,500						
50/50 or other split?			TRUE or FALSE=	FALSE			
Old Bridge Size:		New Bridge Size	12' x 66'				
Water Body: Papoose Creek		Material:	Steel				
Years in System:		Design Weight Load:	14,000				
				# of New Mi	0.00	\$149,500	

2016-2017 SNOWMOBILE MANDATORY TRAIL RELOCATION REQUESTS

Region	County	Description	Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Comments
NOR-R	42	Price County proposes to relocate 1.5 miles of trail (Trail 116) due to requirement of landowner to move the trail. Work includes dozing and brushing.	*Structure		\$6,000	50/50 Split \$6,000	
			Engineer				
			Soil test				
			Site prep				
			Materials				
			Abutments				
			Pilings/Piers				
			Approaches				
			Culverts				
			Riprap				
			Labor	\$6,000			
			Equip Rental				
			Other				
			# of New Mi	0.00	\$6,000		
50/50 or other split? TRUE or FALSE= FALSE							
		Old Bridge Size:	New Bridge Size				
		Water Body:	Material:				
		Years in System:	Design Weight Load:				
NER	43	Green Lake County proposes to build a bridge over a drainage ditch on Corr 30W trail. The landowner is requiring the trail to move off the farm field. The bridge will be on private property with a 20 year easement.	*Structure		\$27,400	50/50 Split \$27,400	1. Why a bridge and not a culvert? 2. Could packed snow work with no bridge or culvert?
			Engineer				
			Soil test				
			Site prep	\$1,000			
			Materials	\$20,000			
			Abutments				
			Pilings/Piers				
			Approaches				
			Culverts				
			Riprap	\$1,200			
			Labor	\$3,200			
			Equip Rental	\$2,000			
			Other				
			# of New Mi	0.00	\$27,400		
50/50 or other split? TRUE or FALSE= FALSE							
		Old Bridge Size:	New Bridge Size	12' x 35'			
		Water Body:	Material:	steel-lumber			
		Years in System:	Design Weight Load:	14000			
NER	44	Waushara County proposes to reroute the trail due to a lost easement. The reroute requires a 12' by 20' bridge over DNR land.	*Structure		\$16,148	50/50 Split \$16,148	1. provide pictures of site with out the snow cover. 2. Is a bridge needed?
			Engineer				
			Soil test				
			Site prep	\$850			
			Materials	\$10,588			
			Abutments				
			Pilings/Piers				
			Approaches	\$440			
			Culverts				
			Riprap				
			Labor	\$2,140			
			Equip Rental	\$1,661			
			Other	\$469			
			# of New Mi	0.00	\$16,148		
50/50 or other split? TRUE or FALSE= FALSE							
		Old Bridge Size:	New Bridge Size	12' x 20'			
		Water Body:	Material:				
		Years in System:	Design Weight Load:	12000			

2016-2017 SNOWMOBILE MANDATORY TRAIL RELOCATION REQUESTS

Region	County	Description	Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Comments															
NO	Washburn	<p>Washburn County proposes to relocate 13.4 miles of trail with 6.6 miles of new trail construction due to lost easement by landowner. Project involves 4 new bridges, gates, and trail construction, . This project includes \$122,158 from an existing Motorized Stewardship grant. Trail 140 Relocation.</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 40px; height: 40px; margin: 10px auto; text-align: center; font-size: 24px; font-weight: bold;">45</div>	*Structure Engineer Soil test Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other	\$14,860 \$10,690 \$1,950	\$27,500 50/50 Split \$27,500 \$27,500		<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 10px; color: red; font-weight: bold;">No questions.</div>															
		<table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="background-color: #cccccc;">50/50 or other split?</td> <td>TRUE or FALSE=</td> <td style="color: red; font-weight: bold;">FALSE</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">Old Bridge Size:</td> <td style="width: 50%;">New Bridge Size</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Water Body:</td> <td>Material:</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Years in System:</td> <td>Design Weight Load:</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </table>	50/50 or other split?		TRUE or FALSE=	FALSE	Old Bridge Size:	New Bridge Size			Water Body:	Material:			Years in System:	Design Weight Load:			# of New Mi	\$27,500		
50/50 or other split?		TRUE or FALSE=	FALSE																			
Old Bridge Size:	New Bridge Size																					
Water Body:	Material:																					
Years in System:	Design Weight Load:																					
NO	Rusk	<p>Rusk County proposes to re-route trail 31 due to lost easement. The project involves the relocation of an existing 12x58 bridge and trail construction and placement of 2 culverts.</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 40px; height: 40px; margin: 10px auto; text-align: center; font-size: 24px; font-weight: bold;">46</div>	*Structure Engineer Soil test Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other	\$37,600 \$5,850 \$2,700	\$46,150 50/50 Split \$46,150 \$46,150		<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 10px; color: red; font-weight: bold;"> 1. Better breakdown of costs needed. a. whoe's doing the work? b. Why take the bridge apart? c. Price of a new structure, compared to moving the old? 2. Does part of this reroute run on a road? </div>															
		<table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="background-color: #cccccc;">50/50 or other split?</td> <td>TRUE or FALSE=</td> <td style="color: red; font-weight: bold;">FALSE</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">Old Bridge Size:</td> <td style="width: 50%;">12x58</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Water Body:</td> <td>Material: steel</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Years in System:</td> <td>Design Weight Load: 14000</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </table>	50/50 or other split?		TRUE or FALSE=	FALSE	Old Bridge Size:	12x58			Water Body:	Material: steel			Years in System:	Design Weight Load: 14000			# of New Mi	0.00		
50/50 or other split?		TRUE or FALSE=	FALSE																			
Old Bridge Size:	12x58																					
Water Body:	Material: steel																					
Years in System:	Design Weight Load: 14000																					
					\$1,069,558		\$0															

2016-2017 SNOWMOBILE MANDATORY TRAIL RELOCATION REQUESTS

Region	County	Description				Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Comments

2016- 2017 SNOWMOBILE DISCRETIONARY TRAIL RELOCATION REQUESTS

Region	County	Description	Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Max:	Reason	LUA Length	Safety	Trail Type	Miles Lost	Other Funds	Snowfall	County Pts.	Deduct: Multi-gr	Ded: RR Xing	Ded: Incomplete	TOTAL	
NOR-R	Vilas	Vilas County proposes to relocate a 3 mile segment of Trail 51 in Arbor Vitae . This would eliminate the need for a trail rehab project on the existing footprint, and the relocation can be done with no development cost, but would increase maintenance miles by 0.6 miles. This is presented as Alternative 2 - the original rehab project is also presented to the council under the Trail Rehab category as Alternative 1, Proj #32	*Structure Engineer Soil test Site prep Materials Abutments Pillings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other		\$0 50/50 Split \$0	\$0		3	15	5	10	10	10	5	10*	10	10	10	43	
		47	50/50 or other split? TRUE or FALSE= <input type="checkbox"/>																	
		Old Bridge Size:	New Bridge Size																	
		Water Body:	Material:																	
		Years in System:	Design Weight Load:																	
NOR-R	Forest	Forest County proposes to develop 0.3 miles of trail adjacent to State Hwy 52 west of Windfall Lake, compressing two one-way trails on each side of the highway into one two-way trail. The new two-way trail segment will be built on USFS land. NOTE FROM CSS JILLIAN STEFFES - I have requested the county submit additional maps and scoring.	*Structure Engineer Soil test Site prep Materials Abutments Pillings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other		\$2,313 50/50 Split \$2,313															
		48	50/50 or other split? TRUE or FALSE= <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>																	
		Old Bridge Size:	New Bridge Size																	
		Water Body:	Material:																	
		Years in System:	Design Weight Load:																	
NOR-R	Forest	Forest County proposes to relocate 1.3 miles of trail south of the Town of Armstrong Creek. The current trail is on and adjacent to Engleking Road. In the past, this road was unplowed in the winter, but a new subdivision has been developed on Camp 6 Road, resulting in creased road traffic and plowing on Engleking Rd. The proposed new trail segment would be built on USFS land. NOTE FROM CSS JILLIAN STEFFES - I have requested the county submit additional maps and scoring.	*Structure Engineer Soil test Site prep Materials Abutments Pillings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other		\$6,400 50/50 Split \$6,400															
		49	50/50 or other split? TRUE or FALSE= <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>																	
		Old Bridge Size:	New Bridge Size																	
		Water Body:	Material:																	
		Years in System:	Design Weight Load:																	

2016- 2017 SNOWMOBILE DISCRETIONARY TRAIL RELOCATION REQUESTS

Region	County	Description	Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Max:	Reason	LUA Length	Safety	Trail Type	Miles Lost	Other Funds	Snowfall	County Pts.	Deduct: Multi-gr	Ded: RR Xing	Ded: Incomplete	TOTAL	
NO	Bayfield	Bayfield County proposes to reroute .5 mi of trail 15 on Forest Service land. Kimball Rd Trail 15 Re-route.	*Structure		\$8,318	\$0														
	50		Engineer		50/50 Split															
			Soil test		\$8,318															
			Site prep																	
			Materials	\$3,498																
			Abutments																	
			Pilings/Piers																	
			Approaches																	
			Culverts																	
			Riprap																	
		50/50 or other split?	TRUE or FALSE=	FALSE																
		Old Bridge Size:	New Bridge Size																	
		Water Body:	Material:		\$4,820															
		Years in System:	Design Weight Load:																	
			# of New Mi			\$8,318														
						\$17,181														
																				\$0

2016-2017 SNOWMOBILE NEW BRIDGE REQUESTS

Region	County	Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Max →	10	10	5	17	10	15	20	25	22	-10	-10	16	10	140	

2016- 2017 SNOWMOBILE NEW BRIDGE REQUESTS

Region	County	Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Max →	10	10	5	17	10	15	20	25	22	-10	-10	16	10	140																		
NOR-R	Price	Price County proposes to construct a 38' clear span bridge over Needle Creek . Ice crossings have been used in the past but present safety concerns. A 50% cost share is being requested of RTA.	*Structure Engineer Soil test Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other	\$18,000 \$3,000 \$500 \$10,000 \$3,500	\$35,000 50/50 Split \$17,500																0																	
	55	<table border="1"> <tr> <td colspan="2">50/50 or other split?</td> <td>TRUE or FALSE=</td> <td>TRUE</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Old Bridge Size:</td> <td>none</td> <td>New Bridge Size</td> <td>12.8' x 38'</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Water Body:</td> <td>Needle Creek</td> <td>Material:</td> <td>Wooden</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Years in System:</td> <td></td> <td>Design Weight Load:</td> <td>12,000</td> </tr> </table>	50/50 or other split?		TRUE or FALSE=	TRUE	Old Bridge Size:	none	New Bridge Size	12.8' x 38'	Water Body:	Needle Creek	Material:	Wooden	Years in System:		Design Weight Load:	12,000	# of New Mi	\$17,500																		
50/50 or other split?		TRUE or FALSE=	TRUE																																			
Old Bridge Size:	none	New Bridge Size	12.8' x 38'																																			
Water Body:	Needle Creek	Material:	Wooden																																			
Years in System:		Design Weight Load:	12,000																																			
NOR-R	Price	Price County proposes to construct a 35' clear span bridge over Little Elk River . Ice crossings have been used in the past but present safety concerns.	*Structure Engineer Soil test Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other	\$16,000 \$3,000 \$500 \$10,000 \$3,500	\$33,000 50/50 Split \$33,000																	0																
	56	<table border="1"> <tr> <td colspan="2">50/50 or other split?</td> <td>TRUE or FALSE=</td> <td>FALSE</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Old Bridge Size:</td> <td>none</td> <td>New Bridge Size</td> <td>12.8' x 35'</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Water Body:</td> <td>Little Elk Riv</td> <td>Material:</td> <td>Wooden</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Years in System:</td> <td></td> <td>Design Weight Load:</td> <td>12,000</td> </tr> </table>	50/50 or other split?		TRUE or FALSE=	FALSE	Old Bridge Size:	none	New Bridge Size	12.8' x 35'	Water Body:	Little Elk Riv	Material:	Wooden	Years in System:		Design Weight Load:	12,000	# of New Mi	\$33,000																		
50/50 or other split?		TRUE or FALSE=	FALSE																																			
Old Bridge Size:	none	New Bridge Size	12.8' x 35'																																			
Water Body:	Little Elk Riv	Material:	Wooden																																			
Years in System:		Design Weight Load:	12,000																																			
NOR-R	Lincoln	Lincoln County proposes to build a 35' x 12' bridge on the state funded snowmobile Trail 39 between Merrill and Tomahawk. Snow/ice bridges have been utilized in past years to cross an unnamed stream, but maintaining a good safe crossing has been very difficult, and a permanent bridge would make for a safer crossing.	*Structure Engineer Soil test Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other	\$29,000 \$4,200 \$8,000 \$30,000	\$71,200 50/50 Split \$71,200																	0																
	57	<table border="1"> <tr> <td colspan="2">50/50 or other split?</td> <td>TRUE or FALSE=</td> <td>FALSE</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Old Bridge Size:</td> <td></td> <td>New Bridge Size</td> <td>35' x 12'</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Water Body:</td> <td>wetland</td> <td>Material:</td> <td>Steel</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Years in System:</td> <td></td> <td>Design Weight Load:</td> <td>14,000</td> </tr> </table>	50/50 or other split?		TRUE or FALSE=	FALSE	Old Bridge Size:		New Bridge Size	35' x 12'	Water Body:	wetland	Material:	Steel	Years in System:		Design Weight Load:	14,000	# of New Mi	\$71,200																		
50/50 or other split?		TRUE or FALSE=	FALSE																																			
Old Bridge Size:		New Bridge Size	35' x 12'																																			
Water Body:	wetland	Material:	Steel																																			
Years in System:		Design Weight Load:	14,000																																			
NOR-R	Taylor	Taylor County proposes to construct a bridge on a funded Snowmobile/Winter ATV trail (Corridor 23) to cross the W Branch of Little Black River . Historically, an ice crossing has been sufficient to cross this waterbody, but there have been safety concerns recently due to poor ice conditions. The water has opened up during trail season, and groomer is unable to cross. Funding is being requested of both the ATV and the Snowmobile program. UPDATE WITH COST ESTIMATE AND BRIDGE STATS WHEN AVAILABLE	*Structure Engineer Soil test Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental	TBD	\$0 50/50 Split \$0																	0																
	58	<table border="1"> <tr> <td colspan="2">50/50 or other split?</td> <td>TRUE or FALSE=</td> <td>TRUE</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Old Bridge Size:</td> <td>none</td> <td>New Bridge Size</td> <td>TBD</td> </tr> </table>	50/50 or other split?		TRUE or FALSE=	TRUE	Old Bridge Size:	none	New Bridge Size	TBD																												
50/50 or other split?		TRUE or FALSE=	TRUE																																			
Old Bridge Size:	none	New Bridge Size	TBD																																			

2016- 2017 SNOWMOBILE NEW BRIDGE REQUESTS

Region	County	Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Max ->	Permits	Location	Funding	Easement	Type	Condition	Impact	LT Cost	Cause	Active	Incompl	CSS	County			
							10	10	5	17	10	15	20	25	22	-10	-10	16	10	140		
NER	Green Lake	Water Body: Lil Black Riv	Material: TBD	Other																		
		Years in System:	Design Weight Load: TBD	# of New Mi	\$0																	
	59	Green Lake County proposes to build a new bridge on Corr 11N to avoid a bridge crossing. The bridge would be club built on private property with a 20 year easement.	*Structure		\$24,900			5	5	0	15	10	15	25							75	
			Engineer		50/50 Split																	
			Soil test		\$24,900																	
			Site prep	\$1,000																		
			Materials	\$18,000																		
			Abutments																			
			Pilings/Piers																			
			Approaches																			
			Culverts																			
			Riprap	\$1,200																		
			Labor	\$2,900																		
			Equip Rental	\$1,800																		
			Other																			
			# of New Mi		\$24,900																	
		50/50 or other split?	TRUE or FALSE=	FALSE																		
		Old Bridge Size:	New Bridge Size	12' x 30'																		
		Water Body:	Material:	steel-lumber																		
		Years in System:	Design Weight Load:	14000																		
NER	Manitowoc	Water Body: Lil Black Riv	Material: TBD	Other																		
		Years in System:	Design Weight Load: TBD	# of New Mi	\$0																	
	60	Manitowoc County will install a bridge on an old railroad grade (site 1) adjacent to Hwy 10. Currently the riders are forced to leave the safety of the trail and drive on the shoulder of Hwy 10. No permit required. Potential 50% RTA funding.	*Structure	\$43,750	\$54,250			5	10	0	17	10	5	5							5	57
			Engineer		50/50 Split																	
			Soil test		\$27,125																	
			Site prep																			
			Materials																			
			Abutments																			
			Pilings/Piers																			
			Approaches																			
			Culverts																			
			Riprap																			
			Labor	\$8,000																		
			Equip Rental	\$1,500																		
			Other	\$1,000																		
			# of New Mi		\$27,125																	
		50/50 Split?	Enter TRUE or FALSE	TRUE																		
		Old Bridge Size:	New Bridge Size	62' x 12'																		
		Water Body: ditch	Material:	steel, wood																		
		Years in System:	Design Weight Load:	14000																		
NER	Manitowoc	Water Body: Lil Black Riv	Material: TBD	Other																		
		Years in System:	Design Weight Load: TBD	# of New Mi	\$0																	
	61	Manitowoc County will install a bridge on an old railroad grade (site 2) adjacent to Hwy 10. Currently the riders are forced to leave the safety of the trail and drive on the shoulder of Hwy 10. Permit it required. Potential 50% RTA funding.	*Structure	\$53,000	\$67,000			5	10		17	10	5	5							5	57
			Engineer		50/50 Split																	
			Soil test		\$33,500																	
			Site prep																			
			Materials																			
			Abutments																			
			Pilings/Piers																			
			Approaches																			
			Culverts																			
			Riprap																			
			Labor	\$11,000																		
			Equip Rental	\$2,000																		
			Other	\$1,000																		
			# of New Mi		\$33,500																	
		50/50 Split?	Enter TRUE or FALSE	TRUE																		
		Old Bridge Size:	New Bridge Size	80' x 12'																		
		Water Body: ditch	Material:	steel, wood																		
		Years in System:	Design Weight Load:	14000																		
NO	Burnett	Water Body: Lil Black Riv	Material: TBD	Other																		
		Years in System:	Design Weight Load: TBD	# of New Mi	\$0																	
	62	Burnett County proposes to construct a 380ft Puncheon Bridge over a wetland area. The trail is winter atv and snowmobile. Trail 130 Puncheon Bridge	*Structure	\$110,200	\$110,700																	0
			Engineer		50/50 Split																	
			Soil test		\$55,350																	
			Site prep																			
			Materials																			

2016-17 NEW MILES

Deduct if Parallel 15 pts
 Deducts 0 - 20 pts
 CSS Pts 2 pts/Co.
 Co. Coord Pts 10 pts
 Groom Equip 5 - 1 pts
 Closes Gap 2 pts
 Trail Ratio 8 - 0 pts
 Mean Snowfall 9-5 pts
 Connects Pop Ctrs 8 pts
 Connects 2 funded 8 pts
 Basic Interior 8 pts
 Connects 8 pts
 Rail Grade 6 pts
 Public Lands 5 pts

REGION	COUNTY	TRAIL NAME	MILES	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	#	#	#	#	#	TO	MILES	\$ MTC	\$ DEV	\$ TOTAL	FUNDED	Proj #
WC	Chippewa	Quality Fuel Trail	1.2																	\$300		\$300		97
WC	Chippewa	Mornings Corner Trail	1																	\$250		\$250		98
WC	Chippewa	Bloomer Kwik Trip Trail	1																	\$250		\$250		99
WC	Chippewa	Extreme Motorsports Trail	0.5																	\$125		\$125		100
WC	Chippewa	Cedar Bay Trail	0.2																	\$50		\$50		101
WC	Chippewa	Flambeau Ridge North	9.3																	\$2,325		\$2,325		102
WC	Chippewa	Lake Hallie Trail	12.8																12.8	\$3,200		\$3,200		103
WC	Chippewa	Boyd Loop Trail	1.2																	\$300		\$300		104
WC	Chippewa	Loopy's Trail	2																	\$500		\$500		105
WC	Chippewa	Rock Lake Trail	0.5																	\$125		\$125		106
WC	Chippewa	Art's Loop Trail	3.1																3.1	\$775		\$775		107
WC	Chippewa	Riverview Trail	0.6																	\$150		\$150		108
WC	Eau Claire	Fairchild Connector New Miles	12.2																	\$3,050		\$3,050		109
WC	Juneau	Sunset Drifters Trail	25.5																25.5	\$6,375		\$6,375		110
WC	Juneau	Castle Rock River Runners	3.9																	\$975		\$975		111
WC	La Crosse	Trail # 1	23																	\$5,750		\$5,750		112
WC	La Crosse	Trail # 2	15																	\$3,750		\$3,750		113
WC	Marathon	Stratford Connector Trail	6.6																6.6	\$1,650		\$1,650		114
WC	Marathon	West Marathon City Connector	6.3																6.3	\$1,575		\$1,575		115
WC	Marathon	North Marathon City Connector	2.8																2.8	\$700		\$700		116
WC	Marathon	South Marathon City Connector	2.9																	\$725		\$725		117
WC	Marathon	Lions Park Connector Trail	1.3																1.3	\$325		\$325		118
WC	Marathon	Wiouwash Connector Trail	0.7																0.07	\$175		\$175		119
WC	Marathon	Wittenberg Connector Trail	2.3																2.3	\$575		\$575		120
WC	Marathon	Hatley Connector Trail	5.5																5.5	\$1,375		\$1,375		121
WC	Marathon	Memories Connector Trail	4.4																4.4	\$1,100		\$1,100		122
WC	Monroe	Kendall to Clifton Trail	8																	\$2,000		\$2,000		123
WC	Monroe	Middle Ridge Trail	12																	\$3,000		\$3,000		124
WC	Monroe	Tomah to Oakdale Loop	6																	\$1,500		\$1,500		125
WC	Pierce	Prescott Trail	7.5																	\$1,875		\$1,875		126
WC	Pierce	Plum Creek Trail	5.75																	\$1,438		\$1,438		127
WC	Pierce	Red Barn Trail	0.6																	\$150		\$150		128

2016-17 NEW MILES

REGION	COUNTY	TRAIL NAME	MILES	Deduct if Parallel 15 pts													MILES	\$ MTC	\$ DEV	\$ TOTAL	FUNDED	Proj #		
				1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	#	#	#	#							#	#
NOR-F	Forest	Wolf River State Trail	14.4	5	6	8	8	8	8	9		2	4	#	0	0	0	#	14.4	\$3,600	\$0	\$3,600		193
NOR-F	Lincoln	Truckstop Trail	1.3	0	0	0	0	0	8	8	4	0	3	0	0	0	0	#	1.3	\$325	\$0	\$325		194
NOR-F	Lincoln	Corridor 19 to Trail F (Schultz Country	2.7	0	0	0	0	8	8	8	2	0	3	0	0	0	#	2.7	\$675	\$0	\$675		195	
NOR-F	Lincoln	Jackknife Loop	5.8	5	0	0	0	0	8	2	0	3	0	0	0	0	#	5.8	\$1,450	\$0	\$1,450		196	
NOR-F	Lincoln	Tomahawk Railroad Grade New Trail	4.3	5	6	0	0	8	8	8	4	0	4	#	0	0	#	4.3	\$1,075	\$0	\$1,075		197	
NOR-F	Taylor	Taylor Co New Miles	22.9														0	22.9	\$5,725	\$0	\$5,725		198	
NOR-F	Price	Trail 85 Price to Sawyer Co	1.4	0	0	8	0	8	0	8	4	0	4	0	0	0	0	#	1.4	\$350	\$0	\$350		199
NOR-F	Price	Trail 94 Catawba to Phillips (Corr 16 t	6.6	5	0	0	8	8	0	8	4	0	4	#	0	0	0	#	6.6	\$1,650	\$0	\$1,650		200
NOR-F	Price	Trail 121/112 Worchester	3.1	5	0	0	8	8	0	8	4	0	4	0	0	0	0	#	3.1	\$775	\$0	\$775		201
NOR-F	Price	Trail 109 Price to Oneida Co	3	5	0	8	0	8	0	8	4	2	4	0	0	0	0	#	3	\$750	\$0	\$750		202
NOR-F	Price	Trail 106 Price to Iron Co	1.5	5	0	8	0	8	0	8	4	2	4	0	0	0	0	#	1.5	\$375	\$0	\$375		203
NOR-F	Price	Trail 88 Crane and Chase Lake	2.4	0	0	0	8	8	0	8	4	0	4	0	0	0	0	#	2.4	\$600	\$0	\$600		204
NOR-F	Price	Trail 118 Prentice	5	0	0	0	8	8	8	8	4	0	4	0	0	0	0	#	5	\$1,250	\$0	\$1,250		205
NOR-F	Price	Catawba Trail Dev w Hobbles Creek E	15.8														0	15.8	\$3,950	\$98,190	\$102,140		206	
NOR-F	Oneida	Trail 11	2.8	0	0	8	0	0	8	8		2	4	4	0	#	0	#	2.8	\$700	\$0	\$700		207
NOR-F	Oneida	Iron Gate Road	6.7	5	0	0	8	8	0	8		2	4	6	0	0	0	#	6.7	\$1,675	\$0	\$1,675		208
NER	Brown	Village of Denmark	1.3	0	0	0	8	8	0	6		0	3	#			#	1.3	\$325		\$325		209	
NER	Calumet	Badger Creek Trail	4.9	5	0	8	8	8	8	6	2	0	3	3			#	4.9	\$1,225		\$1,225		210	
NER	Calumet	Hickory Hills Rd to Paradise Rd	14														0	14	\$3,500		\$3,500		211	
NER	Calumet	Fondy County Line to Chilton	10														0	10	\$2,500		\$2,500		212	
NER	Calumet	County H to Brothertown	4														0	4	\$1,000		\$1,000		213	
NER	Calumet	County C to Lake Winn through Quinr	3														0	3	\$750		\$750		214	
NER	Calumet	County C to north side of Chilton	6														0	6	\$1,500		\$1,500		215	
NER	Calumet	County PP to Fox River Trail	9														0	9	\$2,250		\$2,250		216	
NER	Door	Rosiere Cross Trail	2.3	0			8	8				3	0	0		#	2.3	\$575		\$575		217		
NER	Door	Pines to Charudoirs Dock Cnty park	3.1	0			0	0	8			3	0	0		#	3.1	\$775		\$775		218		
NER	Door	Wilson Rd to Potawatomi Park	4	0			8	0	8			3	0	#		#	4	\$1,000		\$1,000		219		
NER	Door	West Jacksonport Cross Trail	4	0			8	0	8			3	0	0		#	4	\$1,000		\$1,000		220		
NER	Door	Peninsula Center Cross Trail	1.2	0			8	0	8			3	0	0		#	1.2	\$300		\$300		221		
NER	Door	Peninsula Park to Fish Creek	0.5	0			0	8	8			3	0	0		#	0.5	\$125		\$125		222		
NER	Door	Rites Cove Loop	8.8	0			8	0	8			3	#	0		#	8.8	\$2,200		\$2,200		223		
NER	Fond du Lac	St Peter to Pebbles	3	0	0	0	8	8	8	6	4	2	5	#		#	3	\$750		\$750		224		

2016-17 NEW MILES

REGION	COUNTY	TRAIL NAME	MILES	Deduct if Parallel 15 pts													TO	MILES	\$ MTC	\$ DEV	\$ TOTAL	FUNDED	Proj #
				1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	#	#	#	#							
NER	Fond du Lac	Ripon to Green Lake	8	0	0	8	8	8	0	6	4	0	5	0	#	8	\$2,000		\$2,000		225		
NER	Fond du Lac	New Fane to St Michael's	1.5												0	1.5	\$375		\$375		226		
NER	Fond du Lac	Rosendale Center	5.6												0	5.6	\$1,400		\$1,400		227		
NER	Green Lake	several segments	7	5	0	0	8	0	8	6			4	#	#	7	\$1,750		\$1,750		228		
NER	Kewaunee	Slovan	4	5	0	0	8	8	0	6			0	3	5	#	4	\$1,000		\$1,000	229		
NER	Kewaunee	Luxemburg	8	5	0	8	8	8	0	6			2	3	#	#	8	\$2,000		\$2,000	230		
NER	Manitowoc	Louis Corners segment	7.3	5	0	0	8	8	8	6			0	5	#	#	7.3	\$1,825		\$1,825	231		
NER	Manitowoc	Valders Viking segment	4.7	5	0	0	8	8	8	6			0	5		#	4.7	\$1,175		\$1,175	232		
NER	Manitowoc	Newton segment	4	5	0	0	8	8	8	6			0	5	0	#	4	\$1,000		\$1,000	233		
NER	Marinette	East Trail	29	5	0	0	8	8	8	7	6	2	4	2	#	#	29	\$7,250		\$7,250	234		
NER	Marinette	Hazelnut Trail	5	5	0	0	8	8	0	7	6	2	4	1	0	#	5	\$1,250		\$1,250	235		
NER	Marinette	Trail #6-Int 204 West to Int 226	6.5	5	0	0	8	8	0	7	6	2	4	1	0	#	6.5	\$1,625		\$1,625	236		
NER	Marinette	Int 206 East to Menominee River	2.5	5	0	0	8	0	0	7	6	0	4	0	0	#	2.5	\$625		\$625	237		
NER	Marinette	Int 220 to Int 217	1.6	5	0	0	8	8	0	7	6	2	4	1	0	#	1.6	\$400		\$400	238		
NER	Marinette	Bear Point to Boat Landing	2.1	5	0	0	8	0	0	7	6	0	4	0	0	#	2.1	\$525		\$525	239		
NER	Marinette	Int 224 West to Lake Noquebay	3.1	5	0	0	8	0	0	7	6	0	4	0	0	#	3.1	\$775		\$775	240		
NER	Marinette	Int 310 to Marinette, Int 315 to Int 318	7	5	0	0	8	8	0	7	6	2	4	0	0	#	7	\$1,750		\$1,750	241		
NER	Marinette	Int 213 West to Crivitz	0.8	5	0	0	8	8	0	7	6	0	4	1	0	0	#	0.8	\$200		\$200	242	
NER	Marinette	Int 308 South to Bay of Green Bay	19.7	5	0	0	8	0	0	7	6	0	4	0	0	0	#	19.7	\$4,925		\$4,925	243	
NER	Marinette	Int 314 to City of Peshtigo	5.7	5	0	0	8	0	8	7	6	0	4	1	0	0	#	5.7	\$1,425		\$1,425	244	
NER	Marinette	Middle Inlet to Camp 5	3												0	3	\$750		\$750		245		
NER	Marinette	51 Rd to Cty W, White Potato lake, ar	5.8	5	0	8	8	8	0	7	6	2	4	2		#	5.8	\$1,450		\$1,450	246		
NER	Marinette	FR1510 from FR600 to Benson Lak R	4	5	0	0	8	8	0	7	6	2	4	4	0	0	#	4	\$1,000		\$1,000	247	
NER	Marinette	Intersection 107 to 112	7.5												0	7.5	\$1,875		\$1,875		248		
NER	Marquette	Seg 1-Corr 30 to Oxford	12.7												0	12.7	\$3,175		\$3,175		249		
NER	Marquette	Seg 2-Corr 30/East cnty line-Waushar	17.5												0	17.5	\$4,375		\$4,375		250		
NER	Marquette	Seg 3-Pacwaukee Spur	2.9												0	2.9	\$725		\$725		251		
NER	Marquette	Seg 4-Oxford to Brooks Cutoff	3.8												0	3.8	\$950		\$950		252		
NER	Marquette	Seg 5-Endeavor Loop	3.9												0	3.9	\$975		\$975		253		
NER	Oconto	segment 1	5.4	2			8	8	8				5	#	#	5.4	\$1,350		\$1,350		254		
NER	Oconto	segment 2	4.4	5			8	0	8				5	#	#	4.4	\$1,100		\$1,100		255		
NER	Oconto	segment 3	1.7	0			8	8	8				5	0	#	1.7	\$425		\$425		256		

2016-17 NEW MILES

REGION	COUNTY	TRAIL NAME	MILES														MILES	\$ MTC	\$ DEV	\$ TOTAL	FUNDED	Proj #	
				1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	#	#	#	#							#
NER	Oconto	segment 4	4.9	5				8	0	8				5	0	#	#	4.9	\$1,225		\$1,225		257
NER	Oconto	segment 5	2.8	2				0	0	8				5	0		#	2.8	\$700		\$700		258
NER	Oconto	segment 6	0.9	5				8	0	8				5	0		#	0.9	\$225		\$225		259
NER	Oconto	segment 7	1.4	5				8	0	8				5	0		#	1.4	\$350		\$350		260
NER	Oconto	segment 8	2.3	5				0	0	8				5	0		#	2.3	\$575		\$575		261
NER	Oconto	segment 9	2.8	0				5	6	8				5	0		#	2.8	\$700		\$700		262
NER	Oconto	segment 10	1.3	5				8	0	8				5	0		#	1.3	\$325		\$325		263
NER	Oconto	segment 11	2	2				0	8	8				5	0		#	2	\$500		\$500		264
NER	Oconto	segment 12	1.2	0				0	8	8				5	0		#	1.2	\$300		\$300		265
NER	Oconto	segment 13	0.8	0				8	0	8				5	0		#	0.8	\$200		\$200		266
NER	Oconto	segment 14	0.8	0				0	0	8				5	0		#	0.8	\$200		\$200		267
NER	Oconto	segment 15	0.7	0				8	0	8				5	0		#	0.7	\$175		\$175		268
NER	Oconto	segment 16	1	5				0	0	8				5	0		#	1	\$250		\$250		269
NER	Oconto	segment 17	1.1	0				8	0	8				5	0		#	1.1	\$275		\$275		270
NER	Oconto	segment 18	0.4	0				0	0	8				5	0		#	0.4	\$100		\$100		271
NER	Oconto	segment 19	0.4	5				8	0	8				5	0		#	0.4	\$100		\$100		272
NER	Oconto	segment 20	0.3	0				8	0	8				5	0		#	0.3	\$75		\$75		273
NER	Oconto	segment 21	0.3	0				8	0	8				5	0		#	0.3	\$75		\$75		274
NER	Oconto	segment 22	0.2	0				8	0	8				5	0		#	0.2	\$50		\$50		275
NER	Outagamie	Hortonville to Greenville	3	5				8	8	6			4	#		#	3	\$750		\$750		276	
NER	Outagamie	Wolf River Access	3	5				0	0	6			4	0	#	#	3	\$750		\$750		277	
NER	Outagamie	Black Creek bypass to N Outagamie	3	5				8	0	6			4			#	3	\$750		\$750		278	
NER	Outagamie	Seymour bypass to North Out Co	3	5				8	0	6			4			#	3	\$750		\$750		279	
NER	Outagamie	Crystal Springs Access	0.6	0				0	0	6			4			#	0.6	\$150		\$150		280	
NER	Outagamie	Gas/Rest/Casino Access	0.7	0				0	0	6			4			#	0.7	\$175		\$175		281	
NER	Outagamie	Brenda's Bar to funded trail	0.8	0				0	0	6			4			#	0.8	\$200		\$200		282	
NER	Outagamie	Corridor 26 to Little Chute	1.9	5									4			9	1.9	\$475		\$475		283	
NER	Outagamie	Corridor 5 to Shawano Cty	1.5	5		8		8					4			#	1.5	\$375		\$375		284	
NER	Shawano	Tigerton/Wiowash to Caroline	11.68	5	0	0	8	8	8	6	2	2	4	#		#	11.68	\$2,920		\$2,920		285	
NER	Shawano	Mosling-H trail to Oconto Co	1.5	5	0	8	0	8	8	7	2	0	4			#	1.5	\$375		\$375		286	
NER	Shawano	Mattoon to Langlade Co	3.23	5	0	8	0	8	0	7	2	0	3			#	3.23	\$808		\$808		287	
NER	Shawano	Mountain Bay to Gresham	0.8	5	0	0	0	8	0	7	2	2	4			#	0.8	\$200		\$200		288	

