

| <b>Presenter/<br/>Time</b> | <b>Agenda Item</b>                               | <b>Key Points</b>   | <b>Outcomes, Next Steps, Assignments</b>   |
|----------------------------|--|---|--|
|                            | <b>Call to Order at 2:53</b>                     | <p><b>Members Present:</b> Andy Malecki, Bev Dittmar, Bob Lang, Gary Hilgendorf, Sam Landes, Dale Mayo, Steve Moran</p> <p><b>Members Absent:</b> Larry Erickson, Tom Chwala</p> <p><b>Others Present:</b> Cathy Burrow, Faith Murray, Ann Loechler, Ed Slaminski, DNR, members of the public and other council members.</p>  |  |
|                            | <b>Acceptance of Minutes of Previous Meeting</b> |   | <p><b>Motion:</b> Dale moved to accept the minutes of the previous meeting. Steve seconded.<br/>Motion passed unanimously.</p> |
|                            | <b>Public Comments</b>                           | Pat Harkins came and made a presentation regarding drags that don't fit the Grooming specs. He asked the Council if they would fund some testing.   | Members explained that he was welcome to bring results to the group, but they weren't willing to fund testing.                 |
|                            | <b>Chair Comments</b>                            | Andy talked about the items that would be covered during the meeting.   |  |
|                            | <b>Committee Member Comments</b>                 | None  |  |
|                            | <b>Communications</b>                            | <p>Andy's received information from Pat Harkins which Pat just spoke of. A copy of that is available on the website with the minutes called the Grooming Correspondence.</p> <p>Correspondence from Richland County asking if new trails would be funded this year with the extra money. Andy told him that it wouldn't be likely because of several issues. One of which is to save some money for the 3<sup>rd</sup> year of low registration and at the time of the call, he didn't know how much money had been raised.</p> |  |
|                            | <b>DNR Report</b>                                | <p><b>Standard Contracts:</b> Brigit continues to work on this. She is waiting on several approvals.</p> <p><b>Roundabout meeting w/DOT:</b> This is going to happen fairly soon. She has found a professional round-about</p>  | The roundabout meeting did happen. It went well. Brigit is pursuing a follow-up  |

|  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|
|  |  | <p>designer who also represents equestrian's groups privately who will participate in the meeting.</p> <p><b>Bridge inventories:</b> Still missing:<br/>Kenosha<br/>Marinette<br/>Milwaukee<br/>Racine</p> <p><b>Mapping Trails w/GPS:</b><br/>We need to provide more guidance to the counties as they gather and submit this information.</p> <p>Starting that by asking committee members: "What were you expecting to get out of this request?"</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Need to find out what is funded and what isn't funded. Will lead to better maps.</li> <li>• Snowmobile fund may be paying for miles that aren't funded.</li> <li>• Heard comments that no one will groom if the GPS units go into the groomers because of big brother. That may be true, but snowmobile money shouldn't pay for ineligible items.</li> <li>• Make sure the trails are going where they should, not crossing water or ending at bars.</li> <li>• How often will counties need to re-map their trails?</li> <li>• What system are counties supposed to use.</li> </ul> <p><b>Next steps:</b><br/>1. Survey the counties to see what they have.<br/>2. Evaluate that and formulate a plan. Ask the counties to get the information to us and reinforce the deadline.<br/>3. Have Infrastructure take a look at the resulting product.<br/>4. Likely we'll ask for 1 overall mapping product and then simple updates showing reroutes as reroutes happen.</p> | <p>meeting with DOT's regional planners to get recreational trails included in their planning process and on their checklists.</p> <p>Bridge Inventory: Since the meeting Racine County has turned in their bridge inventory.</p> <p><b>GIS Maps:</b> New miles will not be approved until GIS maps showing funded and non-funded trails have been submitted for the county.</p> |
|  | <p><b>SNARS - Online Reporting Project</b></p> | <p>Approved. Moving forward</p> <p>Next Steps: Vendor needs to update the system with</p>  | <p><b>Note:</b> Because of the delays in implementation, 16-17 will be a transition year. However, each county</p>   |

|  |   |  |   |
|--|---|--|---|
|  |   | <p>changes needed that were found during the pilot process.</p> <p>Counties will need to input.<br/>Their miles, their trails, club names &amp; email address.</p> <p>Clubs will be able to input their own individual contact information.</p> <p>Training for Counties &amp; Club either regionally in-person or through the use of videos. There will be a session at the AWSC fall workshop at the end of October.</p>   | <p>will be expected to learn and use the system. Paper documentation back-up should be kept at the county level.</p>  |
|  | <b>Grooming &amp; maintenance rates</b> | <p>Due to the delay in increasing the basic maintenance rate from \$250-300 the maintenance rates will need to stay the same. The intention is to raise them as soon as the maintenance rates are raised.</p>  | <p><b>Motion:</b> Dale moved, Sam seconded to adopt the same rates as last year. Motion passed unanimously.</p>   |
|  | <b>Bridge Weight Report</b>             | <p>Andy attached handouts of groomer and power unit standard weights.</p> <p>Andy talked to a bridge engineer from MSA. The change in cost moving up in weight is the superstructure. The abutments will be the same, the decking will be the same. It's just the superstructure that has to be beefed up and the cost is not likely that much more to beef it up.</p> <p>Gary – Talked to Custom Bridge and was told that it's not much difference in cost to go from 14,000 – 20,000 pounds.</p> <p>Sam talked to a railroad engineer who said when they do the rating, it's the number stated: 20,000 lbs. or whatever it is. You can't say it's OK to occasionally go over that amount. It's rated at what it says on the stamp.</p> | <p><b>Motion:</b> Dale moved and Bev seconded to raise the maximum amount that bridges will be funded at to 24,000 lbs. Motion failed. 2 Yes, 4 No</p> <p><b>Motion:</b> Steve moved to contact some bridge manufacturers to find out the difference in cost for a variety of bridges moving up from 14,000 lbs. to 24,000 lbs. Sam seconded. Motion passed unanimously.</p> <p>Bob suggested asking some uniform questions:<br/>Cost differential in moving up from 14K to 24K load capacity 40, 80, 120 feet bridges with approaches.</p> |
|  | <b>Grant Application Follow-up</b>      | <p>Cathy asked the committee to defer any review of applications because the County representatives were not present and would be at the full Council meeting the next day.</p>  |   |
|  | <b>Member items</b>                     | <p>Andy brought up using Fords vs. bridges (handout)</p>   |   |

|  |                     |   |  |
|--|---------------------|---|--|
|  |                     | <p>where appropriate. He was wondering if that was possible. He asked Ed to talk about it. Ed explained what fords are. They armor the bottom of the water bed. It's not used much on snowmobile trails but is used more on ATV trails.</p>   |  |
|  | <b>Next Meeting</b> | <p>Fuzzy: Bridges need to be addressed and feels the correct process is in place.</p> <p>Dale: Bridge issue is important and doesn't want it to take forever.</p> <p>Sam: Been looking for a safe way to cross the Wisconsin River in southern WI for a long time. First conversation he had on this was back in 1999. Last Friday a broken bridge was offered but it's a viable option and he thinks it will give us a trail from there up to central Wisconsin. Iowa, Sauk, Dane &amp; Columbia have a lot of time and effort into it. We'll be looking at a collaborative project to get a good trail in there.</p> <p>Lawsuit filed against the snowmobile trail approved in Blue Mounds State park. Sam would like a meeting with the Parks Bureau Director before the snow flies.</p> |  |
|  | <b>Adjourn</b>      |   | <p><b>Motion:</b> Dale moved to adjourn, Gary seconded.<br/>Motion passed unanimously.</p> |